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## I will be talking about

> Influence of Conformational Dynamics on the Exciton states of DNA
> Studies of DNA Conformation : ABC

# Influence of Conformational Dynamics on the Exciton States of DNA 

## Motivation

> Photoreactions upon absorption of UV radiation by DNA can have lethal or mutagenic effects.
$>$ Whether the excited singlet states are localised on one base or extend over certain number
$>$ Standard viewpoint: excitation is localised since exciton splitting is not observed
$>$ The progress in experimental and theoretical methods

## Exciton formalism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=H_{0}+V \\
& H_{0}=\sum_{\text {molecules }, k}^{n} H_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\Phi_{m}^{i}\right\rangle=\Psi_{m}^{i} \prod_{\text {molecules }, k \neq m}^{n} \Psi_{k}^{0} \\
& V=\iint \frac{\rho^{(k)}\left({\underset{r}{r}}_{(k)}^{r^{(k)}}\right) \boldsymbol{\rho}^{(l)}\left(\stackrel{r}{r}^{(l)}\right)}{\left|r^{(k)}-r^{(l)}\right|} d r^{(k)} d r^{(l)} \\
& \left\langle\Psi_{n}^{i} \Psi_{m}^{j}\right| V\left|\Psi_{n}^{k} \Psi_{m}^{l}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{n}^{i}\right| \rho^{(n)} r\left|\Psi_{n}^{k}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{m}^{j}\right| \rho^{(m)} r\left|\Psi_{m}^{l}\right\rangle}{\left|r^{(n)}-r^{(m)}\right|} \\
& \text { Interaction between } \\
& \text { transition charges }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Experimental absorption spectra of bases



## Regular B-DNA conformation





## Topography of 3 typical eigenstates



## DNA Dynamic Structure

$(\mathrm{dA})_{12} .(\mathrm{dT})_{12}$

$(\mathrm{dAdT})_{6} .(\mathrm{dT})_{6}$


## Structural parameters
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## Diagonal term distribution



## Dipolar coupling for 100 conformations



## Correlation between dipolar coupling and helicoidal parameters
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## Comparison of the absorption spectra





## Reduction of spatial extent by dynamics




Topography of the eigenstates

$$
<1>\text { in red, }<11>\text { in blue }
$$

$$
(d A)_{12 .} \cdot(d T)_{12} \quad(d A d T)_{6} \cdot(d A d T)_{6}
$$



## Participation ratio for 30 eigenstates of $(d A)_{10}(d T)_{10}$



Single conformation Single distribution of monomer transition energies

Four conformations 500 distributions of monomer transition energies

## Topography of a few eigenstates, $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$



## Calculated absorption spectra for $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$



## Position and participation of the eigenstates



## Conclusions

> Oligomer absorption is only slightly shiftd to higher energies
> Off-diagonal terms correlated with helicoidal parameter fluctuations
> Fluctuations reduce the delocalisation
> Influence of off-diagonal disorder smaller then diagonal disorder

## DNA Conformational studies: ABC



Dixit et al. Biophys. J. 89 (2006) 3721
Lavery et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2009) submitted

## Molecular Dynamics

Molecular dynamics simulation consists of the numerical, step-bystep, solution of the classical equations of motion, which for a simple atomic system may be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i} & =m_{i} a_{i} \\
F_{i} & =-\nabla V \\
-\frac{d V}{d r_{i}} & =m_{i} \frac{d^{2} r_{i}}{d t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integration algorithms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Velocity Verlet algorithm } \\
& r(t+d t)=r(t)+v(t) d t+1 / 2 a(t) d t^{2} \\
& v(t+d t)=v(t)+1 / 2[a(t)+a(t+d t)] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Leap-frog algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(t+d t)=r(t)+v(t+1 / 2 d t) d t \\
& v(t+1 / 2 d t)=v(t-1 / 2 d t)+a(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Force field used

$$
\sum_{\text {valence }} K\left(\theta-\theta_{e q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\text {dihedrals }} V_{n} / 2[1+\cos (n \phi-\gamma)] \quad \text { Bonded energy }
$$

$$
+\sum_{i} \sum_{j=i+4}\left(\varepsilon_{i j} \sigma_{i j}^{12} / r_{i j}^{12}-2 \varepsilon_{i j} \sigma_{i j}^{6} / r_{i j}^{6}\right)
$$

Non-bonded energy

$$
+332 \sum_{i=1, n} \sum_{j=i+1, n} q_{i} q_{j} / r_{i j}
$$

## Periodic boundary conditions



## 16 possible dinucleotides

| GG | G | A | C | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | GG | GA | GC | GT |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | AG | AA | AC | AT |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | CG | CA | CC | CT |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | TG | TA | TC | TT |

- G - T -
- C - A -


## 10 unique dinucleotides

| $\mathbf{G G}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G G}$ | $\mathbf{G A}$ | $\mathbf{G C}$ | GT |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{A G}$ | $\mathbf{A A}$ |  | AT |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{C G}$ | $\mathbf{C A}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ |  | TA |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{T}- \\
-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}-
\end{array}
$$

## 136 unique tetramers

```
AAAA AAAC AAAG AAAT AAGA AAGC AAGG AAGT
AATA AATC AATG AATT ACGA ACGC ACGG ACGT
AGAA AGAC AGAG AGAT AGCA AGCC AGCG AGCT
AgGA AGGC AGGG AGGT AGTA AGTC AGTG AGTT
ATAA ATAC ATAG ATAT ATGA ATGC ATGG ATGT
CAAA CAAC CAAG CAAT CAGA CAGC CAGG CAGT
CATA CATG CCGA CCGG CGAA CGAC CGAG CGAT
CGCA CGCG CGGA CGGC CGGG CGGT CGTA CGTC
CGTG CGTT CTAA CTAG CTGA CTGC CTGG CTGT
GAAA GAAC GAAG GAAT GAGA GAGC GAGG GAGT
GATA GATC GATG GCGA GCGC GCGG GGAA GGAC
GGAG GGAT GGCA GGCC GGCG GGGA GGGC GGGG
GGGT GGTA GGTC GGTG GGTT GTAA GTAC GTAG
GTGA GTGC GTGG GTGT TAAA TAAC TAAG TAAT
TAGA TAGC TAGG TAGT TATA TCGA TGAA TGAC
TGAG TGAT TGCA TGGA TGGC TGGG TGGT TGTA
TGTC TGTG TGTT TTAA TTGA TTGC TTGG TTGT
```


## 39 oligomer database

| GGGGGGGGGGGGG | AAAAAAAAAAAAA | CGCGCGCGCGCGC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TATATATATATAT | AGAGAGAGAGAGA | TGTGTGTGTGTGT |
| AGGGAGGGAGGGA | CGGGCGGGCGGGC | TGGGTGGGTGGGT |
| GAAAGAAAGAAAG | CAAACAAACAAAC | TAAATAAATAAAT |
| CGGCCGGCCGGCC | AGGAAGGAAGGAA | TGGTTGGTTGGTT |
| TAATTAATTAATT | CGGACGGACGGAC | AGGCAGGCAGGCA |
| AGGTAGGTAGGTA | TGGATGGATGGAT | CGGTCGGTCGGTC |
| TGGCTGGCTGGCT | CAAGCAAGCAAGC | GAACGAACGAACG |
| TAACTAACTAACT | CAATCAATCAATC | TAAGTAAGTAAGT |
| GAATGAATGAATG | TGAGTGAGTGAGT | CGAGCGAGCGAGC |
| TGCGTGCGTGCGT | TAGATAGATAGAT | GACAGACAGACAG |
| TACATACATACAT | AGCTAGCTAGCTA | TGCATGCATGCAT |
| CGATCGATCGATC | TGACTGACTGACT | CGTACGTACGTAC |

# ABC oligomers - construction 

## G-D-ABCD-ABCD-ABCD-G

- 15 base pairs
- Central tetranucleotide repeats
- GC terminal base pairs for stability
- No sampling for $\mathrm{i}<3$ or $\mathrm{i}>13$
- Two copies of each tetranucleotide


## Simulation protocol

- AMBER program
- PARM94 parameters
- Truncated octahedral box (~7600 waters)
- Neutralising K+ counterions
- Particle mesh Ewald electrostatics
- 2 fs timestep (SHAKE on X-H)
- Careful equilibration, NVT $\rightarrow$ NPT
- Save configuration every 1 ps
- 15 ns trajectories (1st cycle)


## Mtf binding site - twist_8 $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$red, $\mathrm{K}^{+}$blue



a $\gamma$ transition

## $\alpha / \gamma$ transitions in $\mathbf{N a}^{+}$dynamics



## Refinement of the amber force field for nucleic acids simulations: PARMBSCO



Pérez et al. Biophys. J. 92 (2007) 3817

## AMBER PARM BSCO



X-ray
Minimized

BSC0: Pérez et al. Biophys. J. 92 (2007) 3817

## $A B C$ - round $2-39 \times 18$-mers

| GCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGC | GCATCAATCAATCAATGC | GCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGC | Amber parmbsc0 150 mM KCl <br> 11,500 water molecules <br> 37,000-47,000 atoms <br> $2.75 \mu$ s of trajectories 50-100 ns / oligomer 3,000,000 snapshots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCACAAACAAACAAACGC | GCCGCGCGCGCGCGCGGC | GCGTGGGTGGGTGGGTGC |  |
| GCATAAATAAATAAATGC | GCGACGGACGGACGGAGC | GCACTAACTAACTAACGC |  |
| GCAGAGAGAGAGAGAGGC | GCGCCGGCCGGCCGGCGC | GCAGTAAGTAAGTAAGGC |  |
| GCCGAGCGAGCGAGCGGC | GCGTCGGTCGGTCGGTGC | GCATTAATTAATTAATGC |  |
| GCCTAGCTAGCTAGCTGC | GCTACGTACGTACGTAGC | GCTATATATATATATAGC |  |
| GCGAAGGAAGGAAGGAGC | GCTGCGTGCGTGCGTGGC | GCGATCGATCGATCGAGC |  |
| GCGCAGGCAGGCAGGCGC | GCAAGAAAGAAAGAAAGC | GCGATGGATGGATGGAGC |  |
| GCGTAGGTAGGTAGGTGC | GCACGAACGAACGAACGC | GCGCTGGCTGGCTGGCGC |  |
| GCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCGC | GCATGAATGAATGAATGC | GCGTTGGTTGGTTGGTGC |  |
| GCTGAGTGAGTGAGTGGC | GCTAGATAGATAGATAGC | GCTATGTATGTATGTAGC |  |
| GCGCATGCATGCATGCGC | GCGAGGGAGGGAGGGAGC | GCTCTGTCTGTCTGTCGC |  |
| GCAGCAAGCAAGCAAGGC | GCGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGC | GCTGTGTGTGTGTGTGGC |  |

- data on all base pairs with all possible nearest neighbours ( 32 cases +4 nn neighbours)
- data on all inter-base pair steps with all possible nearest neighbours (136 cases)


Distributions of helical parameters for $\mathrm{A}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{9} \mathrm{G}_{10}$ in the AGTC oligomer:
$0-50 \mathrm{~ns}$ with SPC/E water $\square$
$50-100 \mathrm{~ns}$ with SPC/E water $(\square$
$0-50 \mathrm{~ns} \quad$ with TIP4PEW water $(-)$

GCTACGTAC $\dot{\$} T A C G T A G C$

## CGATGCATGなATGCATCG



Distributions of helical parameters for the central $G$ base pair and central GT step in three CGTA tetranucleotide fragments in positions $5 \rightarrow 8\left(\_\right), 11 \rightarrow 14\left(\_\right)$and $13 \rightarrow 16\left(\__{(—)}\right)$
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Average base pair step parameters and distributions
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Nearest-neighbour effects on base pair step parameters


Next-nearest neighbour effects on base pair parameters ...

| Sequence | Xdisp | Inclination | Propeller | Buckle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAAAA CAAAC | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-1 \AA \\ -1.6 \AA \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0^{\circ} \\ & 7.5^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -16^{\circ} \\ & -18^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\circ} \\ & 8^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| GCATG <br> TCATT | $\begin{aligned} & -1.8 \AA \\ & -1.3 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{\circ} \\ & 4^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12^{\circ} \\ & -10^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} -3^{\circ} \\ -3^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GGGGG } \\ & \text { CGGGC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.1 \AA \\ & -1.6 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11^{\circ} \\ & 7^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4^{\circ} \\ & -14^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2^{\circ} \\ & -6^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| ACGTA TCGTT | $\begin{aligned} & -1.3 \AA \\ & -0.9 \AA \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\circ} \\ & 4^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11^{\circ} \\ & -8^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline-6^{\circ} \\ 1^{\circ} \end{array}$ |

And so onwards to hexanucleotides ...

GCAAACGTAAACGTAAACGTAAGC GCCAACGTCAACGTCAACGTCAGC GCGAACGTGAACGTGAACGTGAGC GCTAACGTTAACGTTAACGTTAGC GCACACGTACACGTACACGTACGC GCGCACGTGCACGTGCACGTGCGC GCAGACGTAGACGTAGACGTAGGC GCCGACGTCGACGTCGACGTCGGC GCGGACGTGGACGTGGACGTGGGC GCATACGTATACGTATACGTATGC

GC-Y-XABCDY-XABCDY-X-GC
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