Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

Khalifa ES-SEBAIY

Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh

Euro-Maghrebin Conference on Stochastic Analysis, LEM2I, Nice, November 23-25, 2015

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Plan

Brownian case

Drift Estimation of FOU

- Ergodic case
- Non-ergodic case

Drift Estimation of OU driven FOU

- Continuous case
- Discrete case

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

- Power variation
- Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes



Brownian case

Drift Estimation of FOU

- Ergodic case
- Non-ergodic case

Drift Estimation of OU driven FOU

- Continuous case
- Discrete case

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Power variation
- Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process X_t driven by a certain type of noise Z_t is described by the following linear stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = X_0 - heta \int_0^t X_s ds + Z_t.$$

Suppose we don't know the parameter θ , and we have (discrete or continuous) observations of (X_t , $0 \le t \le T$) up to the time instant T.

Then an important problem is to estimate the parameter θ based on the observations (X_t , $0 \le t \le T$) as $T \longrightarrow \infty$.

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

If Z_t is the standard Brownian motion, this problem has been extensively studied (for example Kutoyants, Yu. A. Springer, (2004) and Liptser, R.S. and Shiryaev, A.N. Springer, 2001) and the references therein. The most popular approaches :

- the maximum likelihood estimators,
- the least squares estimators,
- the method of moments.

Other type of processes have also been studied. For example, when Z_t is an α -stable process, least squares approaches are proposed in Hu, Y. and Long COSA (2007) and SPA (2009).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Plan

Brownian case

Drift Estimation of FOU

- Ergodic case
- Non-ergodic case

Drift Estimation of OU driven FOU

- Continuous case
- Discrete case

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Power variation
- Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

Drift Estimation of Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process (FOU)

We consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ given by the following linear stochastic differential equation

$$X_0 = 0;$$
 $dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dB_t,$ $t \ge 0,$

where *B* is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is an unknown parameter. The fractional Brownian motion $\{B_t, t \ge 0\}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, is defined as a centered Gaussian process starting from zero with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}(B_tB_s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H}+s^{2H}-|t-s|^{2H}\right).$$

An interesting problem is to estimate the parameter θ when one observes the whole trajectory of *X*.

Maximum likelihood estimator for FOU

In **[Kleptsyna and Le Breton (2002)]**, the maximum likelihood estimator $\bar{\theta}_T$ for the parameter θ is obtained and has the following expression

$$\bar{\theta}_T = -\left\{\int_0^T Q^2(s)dw_s^H\right\}^{-1}\int_0^T Q(s)dZ_s,$$

where

$$\begin{split} k_{H}(t,s) &= \kappa_{H}^{-1} s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}, \quad w_{t}^{H} = \lambda_{H}^{-1} t^{2-2H}; \\ Q(t) &= \frac{d}{dw_{t}^{H}} \int_{0}^{t} k_{H}(t,s) X_{s} ds; \quad Z_{t} = \theta \int_{0}^{T} Q(s) \ dw_{s}^{H} + M_{t}^{H}; \\ M_{t}^{H} &= \int_{0}^{t} k_{H}(t,s) dB_{s} \text{ is a Gaussian martingale with } < M_{t}^{H} >= w_{t}^{H} \end{split}$$

It is proved that $\lim_{\mathcal{T}\to\infty}\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}}=\theta$ almost surely.

Drift Estimation of FOU : Ergodic case

[Hu-Nualart 2010]

In the case $\theta > 0$ (corresponding to the ergodic case), Hu and Nualart (2010) studied the parameter estimation for θ by using the least squares estimator (LSE) defined as

$$\widehat{\theta}_t = -rac{\int_0^t X_s \ \delta X_s}{\int_0^t X_s^2 ds}, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

This LSE is obtained by the least squares technique, that is, $\hat{\theta}_t$ (formally) minimizes

$$heta\longmapsto \int_0^t \left|\dot{X}_s + heta X_s\right|^2 ds.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Consistency

There is a major difference with respect to the standard Brownian motion case, because the process *X* being no longer a semimartingale, one cannot utilize the Itô integral to integrate with respect to it. However, one can choose, instead, the Skorohod integral which is connected to Young integral. By using ergodic property, they proved the strong consistence of $\hat{\theta}_t$.

Assume $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then, as $t \to \infty$

 $\widehat{\theta}_t \longrightarrow \theta$

almost surely.

The LSE $\hat{\theta}_t$ of θ is asymptotically normal if $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$: Assume $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$. Then, as $t \to \infty$

$$\sqrt{t}(\widehat{\theta}_t - \theta) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \theta \sigma_H).$$

[Nualart-Ortiz-Latorre]

Let $\{F_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables in the *pth* Wiener chaos, $p \ge 2$, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} E(F_n^2) = 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- F_n converges in law to N(0, 1) as n tends to infinity.
- ▶ $||DF_n||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ converges in L^2 to a constant as *n* tends to infinity.

Non-ergodic case

[Belfadli-Es-Ouknine 2011]: Non-ergodic case corresponding to $\theta < 0$ in

$$X_0 = 0;$$
 $dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dB_t,$ $t \ge 0,$

. More precisely, we estimate θ by the LSE $\hat{\theta}_t = -\frac{\int_0^t X_s \, \delta X_s}{\int_0^t X_s^2 ds}$, where in this case, the integral $\int_0^t X_s dX_s$ is interpreted as a Young integral.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Assume $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then, as $t \longrightarrow \infty$,

 $\widehat{\theta}_t \longrightarrow \theta$ almost surely as $t \longrightarrow \infty$.

and

$$e^{-\theta t}\left(\widehat{ heta}_t - heta
ight) \stackrel{\texttt{law}}{\longrightarrow} -2 heta \mathcal{C}(1),$$

with C(1) the standard Cauchy distribution with the probability density function $\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$; $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Non-ergodic case

[El Machkouri-Es-Ouknine 2015]: Non-ergodic case corresponding to $\theta < 0$ in

$$X_0 = 0;$$
 $dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dG_t,$ $t \ge 0,$

Using the formula

$$f_t g_t = f_0 g_0 + \int_0^t g_u df_u + \int_0^t f_u dg_u$$

we can rewrite $\hat{\theta}_t$ as follows,

$$\widehat{\theta}_t = -\frac{\int_0^t X_s \, dX_s}{\int_0^t X_s^2 ds} = -\frac{X_t^2}{2\int_0^t X_s^2 ds}, \quad t \ge 0$$

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- (A1) The process *G* has Hölder continuous paths of strictly positive order.
- (A2) For every $t \ge 0$, $E(G_t^2) \le ct^{2\gamma}$ for some positive constants c and γ .

Theorem

Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. Then

 $\widehat{\theta}_t \to \theta$ almost surely as $t \to \infty$.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

(A3) The limiting variance of $e^{-\theta t} \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dG_s$ exists as $t \to \infty$ i.e., there exists a constant $\sigma_G > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E\left[\left(e^{-\theta t}\int_0^t e^{\theta s} dG_s\right)^2\right] \longrightarrow \sigma_G^2.$$

(A4) For all fixed $s \ge 0$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E\left(G_s e^{-\theta t} \int_0^t e^{\theta r} dG_r\right) = 0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Theorem

Assume that (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4) hold. Then, as $t \to \infty$,

$$e^{\theta t}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{t}-\theta\right) \xrightarrow{\exists a_{W}} \frac{2\sigma_{G}}{\sqrt{E\left(Z_{\infty}^{2}
ight)}}\mathcal{C}(1),$$

with C(1) is the standard Cauchy distribution with the probability density function $\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$; $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Applications

Fractional Brownian motion:

Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$:

$$E\left(B_t^HB_s^H
ight)=rac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H}+s^{2H}-|t-s|^{2H}
ight)$$
 ,

By assuming that $H > \frac{1}{2}$, Belfadli et al. studied the LSE $\hat{\theta}_t$. We extend their result to the case $H \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, we offer an elementary proof.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Applications

<u>Sub-fractional Brownian motion</u>: Subfractional Brownian motion with parameter $H \in (0, 1)$:

$$E\left(S_{t}^{H}S_{s}^{H}\right) = t^{2H} + s^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}\left((t+s)^{2H} + |t-s|^{2H}\right).$$

Bifractional Brownian motion:

Bifractional Brownian motion with parameters $(H, K) \in (0, 1)^2$:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

$$E(B_s^{H,K}B_t^{H,K}) = \frac{1}{2^K} \left(\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} \right)^K - |t-s|^{2HK} \right)$$

Plan

Brownian case

Drift Estimation of FOU

- Ergodic case
- Non-ergodic case

Drift Estimation of OU driven FOU

- Continuous case
- Discrete case

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Power variation
- Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

OUFOU with continuous observation

[El Onsy-Es-Viens 2014]:

Suppose now that $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $V = \{V_t, t \ge 0\}$ given by the following linear stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{cases} X_0 = 0; \quad dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dV_t, \quad t \ge 0\\ V_0 = 0; \quad dV_t = -\rho V_t dt + dB_t^H, \quad t \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \ge 0\}$ is a fBm of Hurst index $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, whereas $\theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ are considered as unknown parameters such that $\theta \ne \rho$.

OUFOU with continuous observation

Consider the following estimators :

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}} = -\frac{\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} X_t \delta X_t}{\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} X_t^2 dt}$$

and

$$\widehat{
ho}_{\mathcal{T}} = -rac{\int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \widehat{V}_{t} \delta \widehat{V}_{t}}{\int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \widehat{V}_{t}^{2} dt}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where $\widehat{V}_t = X_t + \widehat{\theta}_T \int_0^t X_t dt$ for every $t \leq T$.

OUFOU with continuous observation

Theorem If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, we have $\widehat{\theta}_{T} = -\frac{\int_{0}^{t} X_{t} \delta X_{t}}{\int_{0}^{T} X^{2} dt} \longrightarrow \theta^{*} = \theta + \rho \quad a.s.$ If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ $\widehat{\rho}_T = -\frac{\int_0^t V_t \delta V_t}{\int_0^T \widehat{V}_t^2 dt} \longrightarrow \rho^* = \frac{\theta \rho (\theta + \rho) \eta^2}{\eta^X + (\theta + \rho)^2 \eta^\Sigma} \quad a.s.,$ and $\sqrt{T} \left(\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^*, \widehat{\rho}_T - \rho^* \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma^T \Gamma \Sigma)$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

From a practical point of view, in parametric inference, it is more realistic and interesting to consider asymptotic estimation for fractional diffusion processes based on discrete observations. Assume that the process *X* is observed equidistantly in time with the step size Δ_n : $t_i = i\Delta_n$, i = 0, ..., n, and $T_n = n\Delta_n$ denotes the length of the 'observation window'. We will construct two estimators $\check{\theta}_n$ and $\check{\rho}_n$ of θ and ρ respectively based on the sampling data X_{t_i} , i = 0, ..., n.

Let us consider the two following auxiliary estimators $\tilde{\theta}_n$ and $\tilde{\rho}_n$ of θ^* and ρ^* respectively. $\hat{\theta}_T = -\frac{\int_0^T X_t \delta X_t}{\int_0^T X_t^2 dt}$ becomes

$$\widetilde{\theta}_n = \frac{\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} X_t \delta X_t}{Q_n(X)}$$

and
$$\widehat{\rho}_{T} = -\frac{\int_{0}^{T} \widehat{V}_{t} \delta \widehat{V}_{t}}{\int_{0}^{T} \widehat{V}_{t}^{2} dt}$$
 becomes

$$\widetilde{\rho}_n(\Sigma) = \frac{\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \widehat{V}_t^n \delta \widehat{V}_t^n}{Q_n(X) + (\widetilde{\theta}_n)^2 Q_n(\Sigma)}$$

where

$$Q_n(Z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_{t_{i-1}})^2$$

and

$$\widehat{V}_t^n = X_t + \widehat{\theta}_n \Sigma_t, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_n$$

We have

$$\widetilde{\theta}_n = \frac{\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} X_t \delta X_t}{Q_n(X)} := \frac{J_n^{\theta}(X)}{Q_n(X)}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\rho}_n(\Sigma) = \frac{\frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \widehat{V}_t^n \delta \widehat{V}_t^n}{Q_n(X) + (\widetilde{\theta}_n)^2 Q_n(\Sigma)} := \frac{J_n^{\rho}(\widehat{V})}{Q_n(X) + (\widetilde{\theta}_n)^2 Q_n(\Sigma)}$$

where

$$J_n^{ heta}(X) = (
ho + heta)\eta^X + o(rac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}}).$$

where $o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}})$ denotes a random variable such that $\sqrt{T_n}o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}})$ converges to zero almost surely . and

$$J_n^{\rho}(\widehat{V}) = -\rho\theta(\rho+\theta)\eta^{\Sigma} + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}}).$$

$$\begin{cases} \check{\theta}_{n} + \check{\rho}_{n} = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)[(\check{\rho}_{n})^{2-2H} - (\check{\theta}_{n})^{2-2H}]}{(\check{\rho}_{n} - \check{\theta}_{n})Q_{n}(X)} \\ \frac{(\check{\theta}_{n})^{2} - (\check{\rho}_{n})^{2}}{\left[(\check{\theta}_{n})^{2-2H} - (\check{\rho}_{n})^{2-2H} + (\check{\rho}_{n} + \check{\theta}_{n})^{2}((\check{\rho}_{n})^{-2H} - (\check{\theta}_{n})^{-2H})\right]} = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{Q_{n}(X) + (\check{\theta}_{n} + \check{\rho}_{n})^{2}Q_{n}(\Sigma)}$$

We find that the definition of $(\check{\theta}_n, \check{\rho}_n)$ is equivalent to the following:

$$F(\check{\theta}_n,\check{\rho}_n)=(Q_n(X),Q_n(\Sigma))$$

where *F* is a positive function of the variables (x, y) in $(0, +\infty)^2$ defined by: for every $(x, y) \in (0, +\infty)^2$

$$F(x,y) = H\Gamma(2H) \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y^2 - x^2} \left(y^{2-2H} - x^{2-2H}, x^{-2H} - y^{-2H} \right) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ \left((1-H)x^{-2H}, Hx^{-2H-2} \right) & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

We have

$$J_{F}\left(x,y
ight) =$$
 2×

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-H)x^{1-2H}(x^2-y^2)-x(x^{2-2H}-y^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & \frac{(1-H)y^{1-2H}(y^2-x^2)-y(y^{2-2H}-x^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \\ \frac{Hx^{-2H-1}(x^2-y^2)+x(x^{-2H}-y^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & \frac{Hy^{-2H-1}(y^2-x^2)+y(y^{-2H}-x^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of $J_F(x, y)$ is non-zero on $(0, +\infty)^2$. Hence

$$\left(\widehat{\theta}_n,\widehat{\rho}_n\right) = G(Q_n(X),Q_n(\Sigma)).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where *G* is the inverse function of *F*.

Theorem Assume $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (even if $\Delta_n = 1$).

$$(\check{\theta}_n,\check{\rho}_n)\longrightarrow (\theta,\rho)$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem Suppose that $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ and $\Delta_n \to 0$. Then

$$\sqrt{T_n} \left(\check{\theta}_n - \theta, \check{\rho}_n - \rho \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{M}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^T \Gamma \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{M})$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ~豆 > ◆○ ◆

Plan

Brownian case

Drift Estimation of FOU

- Ergodic case
- Non-ergodic case

Drift Estimation of OU driven FOU

- Continuous case
- Discrete case

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

- Power variation
- Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

Method of moments approach [Es-Viens 2015]

Consider, for every $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ even, the following power variation

$$P_{q,n}(Z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (Z_i)^q.$$

Define
$$\delta_Z(q) := E\left[(Z_0)^q
ight] = rac{q!}{(rac{q}{2})!2^{q/2}} \left[E\left(Z_0^2
ight)
ight]^{q/2}$$
 .

Theorem (almost sure convergence) Suppose that Z is ergodic. Then, as $n \to \infty$

$$P_{q,n}(Z) \longrightarrow \delta_Z(q) a.s.$$

Let $c_{q,2k} = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^q H_{2k}(x) dx$ be the coefficients of the monomial x^q expanded in the basis of Hermite polynomials:

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$$x^q = \sum_{k=0}^{q/2} c_{q,2k} H_{2k}(x).$$

Then we can write,

$$\begin{split} V_{P_{q,n}}(Z) &= \sqrt{n} \left(P_{q,n}(Z) - E\left[(Z_0)^q\right] \right) \\ &= \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(E\left[\left(\frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}} \right)^q \right] - E\left[\left(\frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}} \right)^q \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}(Z) H_{2k}(Y_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l_{2k} \left(\varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} l_{2k} \left(c_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k} \right) \end{split}$$

where $r_Z(k) := E(Z_0Z_k)$ and $Y_i = Y(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}}$.

Furthermore,

$$E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^{2}(Z)\right]$$

$$= [r_{Z}(0)]^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^{2}(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_{Y}(i-j)|^{2k}$$

$$= [r_{Z}(0)]^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^{2}(Z)(2k)! \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-1-j)|r_{Y}(j)|^{2k}\right)$$

$$= [r_{Z}(0)]^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^{2}(Z)(2k)! \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |r_{Y}(j)|^{2k} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j |r_{Y}(j)|^{2k}\right)$$

Lemma

Let $(Z_k)_{k\geq 0}$ be a stationary Gaussian sequence with $E(Z_0^2) < \infty$, and let $\lambda > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ even. Consider the sequence

$$R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k) := \left(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k}Z_0\right)^q - (Z_k)^q$$

Then for every $p \ge 1$ there exits a constant $c(\lambda, q)$ depending on λ , q and $E(Z_0^2)$ such that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{P,q}(\lambda,Z_k)\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\leqslant\frac{c(\lambda,q)}{n}.$$

Moreover for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \longrightarrow 0$. a.s.

Theorem

Let $(V_{P_{q,n}}(Z))_{n \ge 0}$ and $(R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k))_{n \ge 0}$ be the sequences defined in the above.

1) Then there exist C depending on λ , q and $r_Z(0)$ such that

$$d_{W}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^{2}(Z)\right]}}\left(P_{q,n}\left(Z_{k}-e^{-\lambda k}Z_{0}\right)-\delta_{Z}(q)\right),N\right)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{C}{E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^{2}(Z)\right]}\left(\sqrt{\frac{E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^{2}(Y)\right]}{n}}+\sqrt{E\left[V_{P_{2,n}}^{2}(Y)\right]}\sqrt{\kappa_{4}(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))}+\kappa_{4}(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))\right).$$
where $Y = Z/\sqrt{r_{Z}(0)}$ and $\kappa_{4}(Y) = E[Y^{4}] - 3E[Y^{2}]^{2}$.

On the other hand if $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$, we can write

$$d_{W}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{v_{P_{q}}(Z)}}\left(P_{q,n}\left(Z_{k}-e^{-\lambda k}Z_{0}\right)-\eta_{Z}(q)\right),N\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{v_{P_{q}}(Z)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{P_{q}}(Z)}{n}}+\sqrt{E\left[V_{P_{2,n}}^{2}(Y)\right]\sqrt{\kappa_{4}(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))}+\kappa_{4}(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))}\right)$$

$$+\left|v_{q}(Z)-E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^{2}(Y)\right]\right|.$$

where $v_{P_q}(Z) := \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Z)\right]$. 2) Assume that $r_Y(k) \sim c|k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\frac{n^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\nu_q(Z)}}\left(\mathsf{P}_{q,n}\left(Z_k-e^{-\lambda k}Z_0\right)-\eta_Z(q)\right)\xrightarrow{law}\frac{\mathcal{C}_{q,2}}{\sqrt{D}}F_{\infty}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

 $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by a fractional Brownian motion $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \ge 0\}$ of Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$. That is, X is the solution of

$$X_0 = 0; \quad dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dB_t^H, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where $\theta > 0$ is considered as unknown parameter. The solution *X* has the following explicit expression:

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dB_s^H.$$

We can also write

$$X_t = Z_t^{\theta} - e^{-\theta t} Z_0^{\theta}$$

where

$$Z_t^{\theta} = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dB_s^{H}.$$

Moreover, Y^{θ} is an ergodic stationary Gaussian process.

Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

Lemma
Let
$$H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$
, $m, m' > 0$. Then,
 $E\left[\left(Z_0^{\theta}\right)^2\right] = H\Gamma(2H)\theta^{-2H}$

and for large |t|

$$E\left[Z_0^{\theta}Z_t^{\theta}\right] \sim rac{H(2H-1)}{\theta^2}|t|^{2H-2}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Construction of the estimators

Fix $q \ge 2$ and assume that q is even. We can write

$$P_{q,n}(X) = P_{q,n}(Z^{\theta}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{P,q}(\theta, Z_k^{\theta})$$

Since Z^{θ} is ergodic we conclude that, almost surely,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{q,n}(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{q,n}(Z^{\theta})$$

= $\gamma_{Z^{\theta}}(q)$
= $\frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})!2^{q/2}} \left(H\Gamma(2H)\theta^{-2H} - 1\right)^{q/2}$
:= $\mu_q(\theta)$.

Hence we obtain the following estimator for θ

$$\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} = \mu_q^{-1} \left[P_{q,n}(X) \right].$$

Consistency

As consequence, we have the following strong consistence of $\hat{\theta}_{q,n}$.

Theorem Let $H \in (0, 1)$. Then, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{n}} \longrightarrow \theta$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

almost surely.

Theorem

Denote $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$, then there exists C depending on q, H and θ such that

$$d_{W}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E\left[V_{q,n}^{2}(Z^{\theta})\right]}}\left(\mu_{q}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}\right)-\mu_{q}(\theta)\right),N\right)$$

$$\leqslant C\left\{\begin{array}{ll}n^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & \text{if } 0 < H < \frac{5}{8}\\ n^{-\frac{1}{4}}\log^{\frac{3}{4}}(n), & \text{if } H = \frac{5}{8}\\ n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}}, & \text{if } \frac{5}{8} < H < \frac{3}{4}\\ \log^{-\frac{1}{4}}(n), & \text{if } H = \frac{3}{4}.\end{array}\right.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

If
$$H = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log(n)}} \left(\mu_q\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}\right) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}\left(0, 4b_{q,2}^2(Z^\theta) \right)$$

where in this case $E\left[V_{q,n}^2(Z^\theta)\right] \sim 4b_{q,2}^2(Z^\theta)\log(n)$. In the case when $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\mu_q \left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} \right) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{law} \frac{b_{q,2}(Z^{\theta})}{\sqrt{D}} F_{\infty}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where F_{∞} is defined above.

Theorem If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$, then

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{E\left[V_{q,n}^{2}(Z^{\theta})\right]}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}-\theta\right)} \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}\left(0,\left(\mu_{q}^{\prime}(\theta)\right)^{-2}\right).$$

If $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}-\theta\right) \xrightarrow{law} \frac{b_{q,2}}{\mu_{q}'(\theta)\sqrt{D}}F_{\infty}$$

Because,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\mu_{q}(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n})-\mu_{q}(\theta)\right) = \mu'_{q}(\xi_{q,n})\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}-\theta\right)$$

where $\xi_{q,n}$ is a random variable between θ and $\hat{\theta}_{q,n}$.

Let $U = \{U_t, t \ge 0\}$ be a fOU process with the second kind defined as

$$U_0 = 0$$
, and $dU_t = -\alpha U_t dt + dY_t^{(1)}, \quad t \ge 0$,

where $Y_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{a_s}$ with $a_s = He^{\frac{s}{H}}$ and $B = \{B_t, t \ge 0\}$ is a fBm.

 U_t admits an explicit solution

$$U_t = e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dY_s^{(1)} = e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{(\alpha - 1)s} dB_{a_s}$$

= $H^{(1-\alpha)H} e^{-\alpha t} \int_{a_0}^{a_t} r^{(\alpha - 1)H} dB_r.$

Hence $U_t = U_t^{\alpha} + R(\alpha, U^{\alpha})$ where $U_t^{\alpha} = e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\alpha-1)s} dB_{a_s} = H^{(1-\alpha)H} e^{-\alpha t} \int_{0}^{a_t} r^{(\alpha-1)H} dB_r$.

Lemma Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then, $E\left[(U_0^{\alpha})^2\right] = \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\alpha}\beta(1-H+\alpha H, 2H-1).$ and for large |t|

$$r_{U^{\alpha}}(t) = E\left[U_0^{\alpha}U_t^{\alpha}\right] = O\left(e^{-\min\{\alpha,\frac{1-H}{H}\}t}\right).$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Since U^{α} is ergodic we conclude that almost surely

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{q,n}(U) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{q,n}(U^{\alpha}) = \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})!2^{q/2}} \left(E\left[(U_0^{\alpha})^2 \right] - 1 \right)^{q/2}$$
$$= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})!2^{q/2}} \left(\frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\alpha} \beta (1-H+\alpha H, 2H-1) - 1 \right)^{q/2}$$
$$:= \nu_q(\alpha).$$

Hence we obtain the following estimator for α

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{q,n} = \nu_q^{-1} \left[Q_{q,n}(U) \right].$$

By construction, we have the strong consistence of $\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}$.

Theorem Let $H \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Then, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

 $\widehat{\alpha}_{q,n} \longrightarrow \alpha$, almost surely.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Theorem Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{E\left[V_{q,n}^{2}(U^{\alpha})\right]}}\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{q,n}-\alpha\right)\xrightarrow{law}\mathcal{N}\left(0,\left(\nu_{q}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)^{-2}\right).$$

(generalization of the work of Azmoodeh and Viitasaari (2014))

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- R. Belfadli, K. Es-Sebaiy and Youssef Ouknine. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes : Non-ergodic case. (2011), Frontiers in Science and Engineering (An International Journal Edited by Hassan II Academy of Science and Technology), Volume:N 1/2.
- P. Cénac and K. Es-Sebaiy (2015). Almost sure central limit theorems for random ratios and applications to LSE for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes.. Accepted under revision to the Probability and Mathematical Statistics.
- B. El Onsy, K. Es-Sebaiy and C. Tudor (2014). Statistical analysis of the non-ergodic fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. Preprint.
- B. El Onsy, K. Es-Sebaiy, F. Viens (2014). Parameter Estimation for a partially observed Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with long-memory noise. Preprint.
- K. Es-Sebaiy (2013). Berry-Esséen bounds for the least squares estimator for discretely observed fractional

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes.. Statistics and Probability Letters, Volume 83, Issue 11, Pages 2524-2525.

- N. Djibril and K. Es-Sebaiy (2014). On drift estimation for nonergodic fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with discrete observations. Afr. Stat. 9, 615-625.
- K. Es-Sebaiy, I. Nourdin (2013). Parameter estimation for α -fractional bridges.. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics Volume 34, pp 385-412.
- K. Es-Sebaiy, F. Viens (2015). Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes. Preprint.

・ロト・日本・モト・モー ショー ショー

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION