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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that Euclidean hypersurfaces with almost extremal extrinsic radius or $\lambda_{1}$ have a spectrum that asymptotically contains the spectrum of the extremal sphere in the Reilly or Hasanis-Koutroufiotis Inequalities. We also consider almost extremal hypersurfaces which satisfy a supplementary bound on $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{\alpha}^{n}$ and show that their spectral and topological properties depends on the position of $\alpha$ with respect to the critical value $\operatorname{dim} M$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, $X: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a closed, connected, immersed Euclidean hypersurface (with $n \geqslant 2$ ). We set $v_{M}$ its volume, B its second fundamental form, $\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~B}$ its mean curvature, $r_{M}$ its extrinsic radius (i.e. the least radius of the Euclidean balls containing $M),\left(\lambda_{i}^{M}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the non-decreasing sequence of its eigenvalues labelled with multiplicities and $\bar{X}:=\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M} X d v$ its center of mass. For any function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we set $\|f\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}|f|^{\alpha} d v$.

The Hasanis-Koutroufiotis inequality asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \geqslant 1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $M$ is the Euclidean sphere $S_{M}$ with center $\bar{X}$ and radius $\frac{1}{\|H\|_{2}}$.

The Reilly inequality asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{M} \leqslant n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

once again with equality if and only if $M$ is the sphere $S_{M}$ (we give some short proof of these inequalities in section 2).

Our aim is to study the spectral properties of the hypersurfaces that are almost extremal for each of this Inequalities. The results of this paper are used in [3] to study the metric shape of the almost extremal hypersurfaces.

We set $\mu_{k}^{S_{M}}=k(n+k-1)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}$ the $k$-th eigenvalue of $S_{M}$ (labelled without multiplicities) and $m_{k}$ its multiplicity. Throughout the paper we shall adopt the notation that $\tau(\varepsilon \mid n, \cdots)$ is a positive function which depends only on the variables $\varepsilon, n, \cdots$ and which converges to zero with $\varepsilon$ when $n, \cdots$ are fixed.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. There exists a function $\tau(\varepsilon \mid n)$ such that for any immersed hypersurface $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ (or with $\frac{n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{M}} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ ) and for any $k \leqslant \frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon \mid n)}$ the interval $\left[(1-\tau(\varepsilon \mid n)) \mu_{k}^{S_{M}},(1+\tau(\varepsilon \mid n)) \mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\right]$ contains at least $m_{k}$ eigenvalues of $M$ counted with multiplicities.

Note that by Theorem 1.1, almost extremal hypersurfaces for the Reilly inequality must have at least $n+1$ eigenvalues close to $\lambda_{1}^{S_{M}}=n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}$. However, they can have the topology of any immersed hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (see below) and can be as close as possible in Hausdorff distance of any closed, connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ containing $S_{M}$ (see [3]). This is very different from the almost extremal manifolds for the Lichnerowicz Inequality in positive Ricci curvature (see for instance [1]).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on estimates for the restrictions to $M$ of homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Such a polynomial of degree $k$ satisfies the equality $\Delta^{S_{M}} P=n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} d P(X)+\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} D^{0} d P(X, X)=\mu_{k}^{S_{M}} P$ on $S_{M}$ whereas it satisfies $\Delta^{M} P=n \mathrm{H} d P(\nu)+D^{0} d P(\nu, \nu)$ on $M$, where $D^{0} d P$ is the Euclidean Hessian and $\nu$ a local unit, normal vector to $M$. We prove that on almost extremal hypersurfaces, the quantities $\nu-\mathrm{H} X$ and $|\mathrm{H}|-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}$ are small in $L^{2}$-norms, which can be used to get the following estimates (see Lemmas 5.3 and 5.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\|\varphi P\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}-\|\varphi P\|_{L^{2}\left(S_{M}\right)}^{2}\right| \leqslant \tau(\varepsilon \mid n, k)\|\varphi P\|_{L^{2}\left(S_{M}\right)}  \tag{1.3}\\
&\left\|\Delta^{M} \varphi P-\mu_{k}^{S_{M}} \varphi P\right\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leqslant \tau(\varepsilon \mid n, k)\|\varphi P\|_{L^{2}(M)} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a cut function localized near $S_{M}$ from which we easily infer Theorem 1.1. Note that these estimates are not so easy to derive since there is no known good local control of the measure on $M$ involving only the $L^{2}$-norm of the mean curvature.

Theorem 1.1 gives no information on the part of the spectrum of almost extremal hypersurfaces that is not close to the spectrum of the limit sphere $S_{M}$. Our next result shows that there is essentially no constraint on this part of the spectrum (in dimension larger than 2), even if we assume a supplementary bound on $\|B\|_{p}$ for $p<n$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M_{1}, M_{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be two immersed compact submanifolds of dimension $m \geqslant 3, M_{1} \# M_{2}$ be their connected sum and $F$ be any closed subset of $] 0,+\infty\left[\backslash \operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)\right.$ (for the induced topology). Then there exists a sequence of immersions $i_{k}: M_{1} \# M_{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with induced metric $g_{k}$ on $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ such that

1) $i_{k}\left(M_{1} \# M_{2}\right)$ converges to $M_{1}$ in Hausdorff topology,
2) the curvatures of $g_{k}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} g_{k}} \int_{M_{1} \# M_{2}}|\mathrm{H}|^{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} M_{1}} \int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{H}|^{\alpha} \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in[1, m), \\
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} g_{k}} \int_{M_{1} \# M_{2}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} M_{1}} \int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{\alpha} \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in[1, m),
\end{aligned}
$$

3) the limit spectrum $\cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\bigcup_{l \geqslant k} \operatorname{Sp}\left(g_{l}\right)}$ is equal to $F \cup \operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)$,
4) $\operatorname{Vol}\left(g_{k}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Vol} M_{1}$.

To get almost extremal submanifolds from the previous result, we just have to consider the case where $M_{1}=\mathbb{S}^{n}$ (and $F \subset[n,+\infty[$ in the Reilly case). It gives almost extremal hypersurfaces for the Reilly or Hasanis-Koutroufiotis Inequalities with the
topology of any immersible Euclidean hypersurface, a spectrum arbitrarily close of any closed set containing $\operatorname{Sp}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ (and contained in $[n,+\infty[$ in the Reilly case), even if we assume a bound on $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{\alpha}^{n}$ for any $\alpha<n$.

On the other hand, if we assume a bound on $\|\mathrm{B}\|_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>n$, we prove in [3] that the almost extremal hypersrfaces converge to $S_{M}$ in Hausdorff distance, which combined with the $\mathcal{C}^{1, \beta}$ pre-compactness theorem of [8] (or a Moser iteration as in the previous version of this paper [2]) imply the following stability in Lipschitz distance.

Proposition 1.3. Let $n<\alpha \leqslant \infty$. Any immersed hypersurface $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{\alpha}^{n} \leqslant A$ and $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ (or with $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{\alpha}^{n} \leqslant A$ and $\frac{n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ ) is diffeomorphic to $S_{M}$ and satisfies $d_{L}\left(M, S_{M}\right) \leqslant \tau(\varepsilon \mid n, \alpha, A)$. In particular, we have $\left|\lambda_{k}^{M}-\lambda_{k}^{S_{M}}\right| \leqslant \tau(\varepsilon \mid k, n, \alpha, A)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The critical case, where we assume an upper bound on $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{n}^{n}$ will be developed in a forthcoming paper. However, we construct in the present paper some examples of almost extremal hypersurfaces satisfying such a bound as a preliminary. First of all, considering the constructions of Theorem 1.2 in the case $\alpha=m$, we get a sequence of extremizing hypersurfaces for the two inequalities, with the topology of any immersible hypersurface, with $v_{M}\|B\|_{n}^{n}$ bounded and whose limit spectrum is equal to $\operatorname{Sp}\left(S_{M}\right) \cup F$, where $F$ is any fixed, finite subset of $\mathbb{R} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}\left(S_{M}\right)$ (see section 6.1 ). Note however that the bound on $v_{M}\|\mathrm{~B}\|_{n}^{n}$ for this sequence depends on the topology of the extremal hypersurfaces and on the subset $F$.

In section 6.2, we construct almost extremal hypersurfaces for the Hasanis-Koutroufiotis inequality, not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}_{M}$, not Gromov-Hausdorff close to $S_{M}$, with limit spectrum larger than the spectrum of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ and with $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{\infty}$ bounded. We set $E(x)$ the integral part of $x$.

Example 1.4. For any couple $(l, p)$ of integers there exists a sequence of embedded hypersurfaces $M_{j} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ diffeomorphic to $p$ spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ glued by connected sum along $l$ points, such that $\left\|\mathrm{H}_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(n),\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}=1,\left\|\mathrm{~B}_{j}\right\|_{n} \leqslant C(n),\left\|\left|X_{j}\right|-1\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$, $\left\|\left|\mathrm{H}_{j}\right|-1\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$, and for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lambda_{\sigma}^{M_{j}} \rightarrow \lambda_{E\left(\frac{\sigma}{p}\right)}^{\mathbb{S}^{n}}$. In particular, the $M_{j}$ have at least $p$ eigenvalues close to 0 whereas its extrinsic radius is close to 1.

Example 1.5. There exists sequence of immersed hypersurfaces $M_{j} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ diffeomorphic to 2 spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ glued by connected sum along 1 great subsphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, such that $\left\|\mathrm{H}_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(n),\left\|\mathrm{H}_{j}\right\|_{2}=1,\left\|\mathrm{~B}_{j}\right\|_{2} \leqslant C(n),\left\|\left|X_{j}\right|-1\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0,\left\|\left|\mathrm{H}_{j}\right|-1\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$, and for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lambda_{\sigma}^{M_{j}} \rightarrow \lambda_{E\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)}^{\mathbb{S}^{n, d}}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{n, d}$ is the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ endowed with the singular metric, pulled-back of the canonical metric of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ by the map $\pi:(y, z, r) \in$ $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \mapsto\left(y^{d}, z, r\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, where $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ is identified with $\mathbb{S}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ via the map $\Phi(y, z, r)=((\sin r) y,(\cos r) z)$. Note that $\mathbb{S}^{n, d}$ has infinitely many eigenvalues that are not eigenvalues of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$.

The structure of the paper is as follows: after a preliminary section 2 , where we give short proofs of the Reilly and Hasanis-Koutroufiotis inequalities, we prove some concentration properties for the volume, mean curvature and position vector $X$ of almost extremal hypersurfaces in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to estimates on the restriction on hypersurfaces of the homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. These
estimates are used in Section 5 to prove Theorem 1.1. We end the paper in section 6 by the constructions of Theorem 1.2 and of Examples 1.4 and 1.5.

Throughout the paper we adopt the notation that $C(n, k, p, \cdots)$ is function greater than 1 which depends on $p, q, n, \cdots$. It eases the exposition to disregard the explicit nature of these functions. The convenience of this notation is that even though $C$ might change from line to line in a calculation it still maintains these basic features.
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## 2. Some geometric optimal inequalities

Any function $F$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ gives rise to a function $F \circ X$ on $M$ which, for more convenience, will be also denoted $F$ subsequently. An easy computation gives the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F=n \mathrm{H} d F(\nu)+\Delta^{0} F+\nabla^{0} d F(\nu, \nu) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ denotes a local normal vector field of $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \nabla^{0}$ is the Euclidean connection, $\Delta$ denotes the Laplace operator of $(M, g)$ and $\Delta^{0}$ is the Laplace operator of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This formula is fundamental to control the geometry of a hypersurface by its mean curvature. Applied to $F(x)=\langle x, x\rangle$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the canonical product on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, Formula 2.1 gives the Hsiung formulae,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|X|^{2}=n \mathrm{H}\langle\nu, X\rangle-n, \quad \int_{M} \mathrm{H}\langle\nu, X\rangle d v=v_{M} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1. A rough geometrical bound. The integrated Hsiung formula (2.2) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality give the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{M} \frac{\mathrm{H}\langle\nu, X\rangle d v}{v_{M}} \leqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2} \geqslant 1$ is optimal since $M$ satisfies $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2}=1$ if and only if $M$ is a sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$ and center $\bar{X}$. Indeed, in this case $X-\bar{X}$ and $\nu$ are collinear on $M \backslash\{H=0\}$, hence $|X-\bar{X}|^{2}$ is locally constant on $M \backslash\{H=0\}$. This implies that $\{H=0\}=\emptyset$ and that $X$ is an isometric-cover of $M$ on the sphere $S$ of center $\bar{X}$ and radius $\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2}=\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$, hence an isometry.
2.2. Hasanis-Koutroufiotis inequality on extrinsic radius. We set $R$ the extrinsic Radius of $M$, i.e. the least radius of the balls of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which contain $M$. Then Inequality (2.3) gives $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} r_{M}=\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \inf _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\|X-u\|_{\infty} \geqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \inf _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\|X-u\|_{2}=$ $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2} \geqslant 1$ and $r_{M}=\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$ if and only if we have equality in (2.3).
2.3. Reilly inequality on $\lambda_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{M}}$. Since we have $\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{i}\right) d v=0$ for any component function of $X-\bar{X}$, by the min-max principle and Inequality (2.3), we have $\lambda_{1}^{M} \frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{M}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2}^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{M} \sum_{i}\left\|X_{i}-\bar{X}_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i}\left\|\nabla X_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}=n$ where $\lambda_{1}^{M}$ is the first non-zero eigenvalue of $M$ and where the last equality comes from the fact that $\sum_{i}\left|\nabla X_{i}\right|^{2}$ is the trace of the quadratic form $Q(u)=|p(u)|^{2}$ with respect to the canonical scalar product, where $p$ is the orthogonal projector from $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $T_{x} M$. This gives the Reilly inequality (1.2).

Here also, equality in the Reilly inequality gives equality in 2.3 and so it characterizes the sphere of radius $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}=\|X\|_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\lambda_{1}^{M}}}$.

## 3. Concentration estimates

We say that $M$ satisfies the pinching $P_{p, \varepsilon}$ when $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{p}\|X-\bar{X}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$. From the proofs of Inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) above, it appears that pinchings $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ or $n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ imply the pinching $P_{2, \varepsilon}$.

From now on, we assume, without loss of generality, that $\bar{X}=0$. Let $X^{T}(x)$ denote the orthogonal projection of $X(x)$ on the tangent space $T_{x} M$.
Lemma 3.1. If $\left(P_{2, \varepsilon}\right)$ holds, then we have $\left\|X^{T}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{3 \varepsilon}\|X\|_{2}$ and $\left\|X-\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \nu\right\|_{2} \leqslant$ $\sqrt{3 \varepsilon}\|X\|_{2}$.
Proof. Since we have $1=\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \mathrm{H}\langle X, \nu\rangle d v \leqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|\langle X, \nu\rangle\|_{2}$, Inequality $\left(P_{2, \varepsilon}\right)$ gives us $\|X\|_{2} \leqslant(1+\varepsilon)\|\langle X, \nu\rangle\|_{2}$ and $1 \leqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\|X\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$. Hence $\|X-\langle X, \nu\rangle \nu\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{3 \varepsilon}\|X\|_{2}$ and $\left\|X-\frac{\mathrm{H} \nu}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|X\|_{2}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{-2} \leqslant 3 \varepsilon\|X\|_{2}^{2}$.

We set $A_{\eta}=B_{0}\left(\frac{1+\eta}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right) \backslash B_{0}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right)$.
Lemma 3.2. If $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)\left(\right.$ for $p>2$ ), or $n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1}^{M} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$, or $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ holds (with $\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{1}{100}$ ), then we have $\left\|\|X\|-\frac{1}{\|H\|_{2}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \frac{C}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}} \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon},\||\mathrm{H}|-\| \mathrm{H}\left\|_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Vol}\left(M \backslash A_{\sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}\right) \leqslant C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon} v_{M}$, where $C=6 \times 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-2}}$ in the case $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)$ and $C=100$ in the other cases.

Proof. When $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)$ holds, we have

$$
\|\mathrm{H}\|_{p}\|X\|_{2} \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) \leqslant(1+\varepsilon)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{p}\|X\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leqslant(1+\varepsilon)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{p}\|X\|_{1}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|X\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p}}
$$

hence we get $\left\||X|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|X\|_{2}^{2}-2 \frac{\|X\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}+\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-2}} \frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \varepsilon$. Combined with the second inequality of Lemma 3.1, it gives

$$
\||\mathrm{H}|-\| \mathrm{H}\left\|_{2}\right\|_{2} \leqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\left\||X|-\frac{|\mathrm{H}|}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right\|_{2}+\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\left\||X|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant C \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}
$$

Now, by the Chebyshev inequality and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}\right) & =\operatorname{Vol}\left\{x \in M /\left||X(x)|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{M}| | X\left|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right|^{2} \leqslant C(p) \sqrt{\varepsilon} v_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ holds. We set $X_{0}$ the center of the circumsphere to $M$ of radius $r_{M}$. We have $\left\|X-X_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|X\|_{2}^{2}+\left|X_{0}\right|^{2}=r_{M}^{2} \leqslant \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}$ and then we have $\left|X_{0}\right| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{3 \varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$ and $|X| \leqslant\left|X_{0}\right|+r_{M} \leqslant \frac{1+3 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$. So we have $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}-|X|^{2} \in\left[\frac{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}, \frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right]$ on $M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}$. Chebyshev inequality and (2.3) give us

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}\right)}{v_{M}} \frac{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}} \frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}-|X|^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M \cap A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}}|X|^{2}-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{9 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

where in the last inequality we have used $|X| \leqslant \frac{1+3 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$ and, so we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\||X|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M \cap A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}}| | X\left|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}} \| X\left|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(M \backslash A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}\right)}{v_{M}} \frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{10 \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with the second inequality of Lemma 3.1, we get $\left\|\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}-\frac{|\mathrm{H}|}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \frac{C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}$.
When $n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1}^{M} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ holds, we have $\int_{M}\left(|X|^{2}-\|X\|_{2}^{2}\right) d v=0$ and so by the Poincare inequality we get $\left\||X|^{2}-\right\| X\left\|_{2}^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \frac{4\left\|X^{T}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{M}} \leqslant \frac{12(1+\varepsilon)^{2} \varepsilon\|X\|_{2}^{2}}{n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{200 \varepsilon}{n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}}$, which gives $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\left\||X|-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant\left\||X|^{2}-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right\|_{2} \leqslant\left\||X|^{2}-\right\| X\left\|_{2}^{2}\right\|_{2}+\left|\|X\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right| \leqslant \frac{12 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}$ and then we get the estimate on the volume of $A_{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}$ by the same Chebyshev procedure as for $P_{p, \varepsilon}$ and the estimate on the mean curvature by the same procedure as for $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$.

Let $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function with $\psi=0$ outside $\left[\frac{(1-2 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}, \frac{(1+2 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right]$ and $\psi=1$ on $\left[\frac{(1-\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}, \frac{(1+\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right]$. Let us consider the function $\varphi$ on $M$ defined by $\varphi(x)=\psi\left(\left|X_{x}\right|^{2}\right)$ and the vector field $Z$ on $M$ defined by $Z=\nu-\mathrm{H} X$. The previous estimates then imply the following.

Lemma 3.3. $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)($ for $p>2)$ or $n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ or $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon$ implies $\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1} \leqslant C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2},\|\varphi Z\|_{2} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{32}}$ and $\left|\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}-1\right| \leqslant C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}$, where $C$ is a constant which depends on $p$ in the case $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)$.

Proof. We have $\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1} \leqslant\||\mathrm{H}|-\| \mathrm{H}\left\|_{2}\right\|_{2} 2\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant C \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2} & =\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}|Z|^{2} d v=\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}\left(1-2 \mathrm{H}\langle\nu, X\rangle+\mathrm{H}^{2}|X|^{2}\right) d v \\
& =\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}\left|X-\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \nu\right|^{2} d v+\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{H}^{2}\right) \varphi^{2}\left(1-|X|^{2}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right) d v \\
& \leqslant\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\left\|X-\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}} \nu\right\|_{2}^{2}+8 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} \frac{\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result by Lemma 3.1. Finally, we have $1-\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(M \backslash A_{\sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}\right)}{v_{M}} \leqslant \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(A_{8} \cap M\right)}{v_{M}} \leqslant$ $\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant 1$.

## 4. Homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of degree $k$

In this section, we give some estimates on harmonic homogeneous polynomials restricted to almost extremal hypersurfaces. They will be used subsequently to derive our result on the spectrum and on the volume of almost extremal manifolds. Let us begin by general estimates on harmonic, homogeneous polynomials.
4.1. General estimates. Let $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ be the space of homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of degree $k$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ induces on $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ the spaces of eigenfunctions of $\Delta^{\mathbb{S}^{n}}$ associated to the eigenvalues $\mu_{k}:=k(n+k-1)$ with multiplicity $m_{k}:=\binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{n+2 k-1}{n+k-1}$.

On the space $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, we set $(P, Q)_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}:=\frac{1}{V_{\text {ol }}{ }^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P Q d v_{\text {can }}$, where $d v_{\text {can }}$ denotes the element volume of the sphere with its standard metric.

Remind that for any $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ and any $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have $d P(X)=k P(X)$ and $\nabla^{0} d P(X, Y)=(k-1) d P(Y)$.

Lemma 4.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, we have $|P(x)|^{2} \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k}|x|^{2 k}$.
Proof. Let $\left(P_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m_{k}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$. For any $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n}, Q_{x}(P)=$ $P^{2}(x)$ is a quadratic form on $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ whose trace is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}(x)$. Since for any $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ and any $O \in O_{n+1}$ such that $x^{\prime}=O x$ we have $Q_{x^{\prime}}(P)=Q_{x}(P \circ O)$ and since $P \mapsto P \circ O$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}(x)=\operatorname{tr}\left(Q_{x}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(Q_{x^{\prime}}\right)$. We infer that $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P_{i}^{2}(x) d v=m_{k}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}(x)\right) d v$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}(x)=m_{k}$. By homogeneity of the $P_{i}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i}^{2}(x)=m_{k}|x|^{2 k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to $P(x)=\sum_{i}\left(P, P_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P_{i}(x)$, we get the result.

As an immediate consequence, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. For any $x, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|d_{x} P(u)\right|^{2} \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}|x|^{2(k-1)}|u|^{2}+\left(k^{2}-\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}\right)\langle u, x\rangle^{2}|x|^{2(k-2)}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ and $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ so that $\langle u, x\rangle=0$. Once again the quadratic forms $Q_{x, u}(P)=\left(d_{x} P(u)\right)^{2}$ are conjugate (since $O_{n+1}$ acts transitively on orthonormal couples) and so $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(u)\right)^{2}$ does not depend on $u \in x^{\perp}$ nor on $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$. By choosing an orthonormal basis $\left(u_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}$ of $x^{\perp}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(u)\right)^{2} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(d_{x} P_{i}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n \operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left|\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P_{i}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n \operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} P_{i} \Delta^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P_{i}=\frac{m_{k} \mu_{k}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose that $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then $u=v+\langle u, x\rangle x$, where $v=u-\langle u, x\rangle x$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(u)\right)^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(v)+k\langle u, x\rangle P_{i}(x)\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(v)\right)^{2}+2 k\langle u, x\rangle \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} d_{x} P_{i}(v) P_{i}(x)+m_{k}\langle u, x\rangle^{2} k^{2} \\
& =\frac{m_{k} \mu_{k}}{n}|v|^{2}+m_{k}\langle u, x\rangle^{2} k^{2}=m_{k}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}|u|^{2}+\left(k^{2}-\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}\right)\langle u, x\rangle^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have taken the derivative the equality (4.1) to compute $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} d_{x} P_{i}(v) P_{i}(x)$. By homogeneity of $P_{i}$ we get $\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(d_{x} P_{i}(u)\right)^{2}=m_{k}\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}|x|^{2(k-1)}|u|^{2}+\left(k^{2}-\frac{\mu_{k}}{n}\right)\langle u, x\rangle^{2}|x|^{2(k-2)}\right)$ and conclude once again by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
Lemma 4.3. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|\nabla^{0} d P(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} \alpha_{n, k}|x|^{2(k-2)},
$$

where $\alpha_{n, k}=(k-1)\left(k^{2}+\mu_{k}\right)(n+2 k-3) \leqslant C(n) k^{4}$.
Proof. The Bochner equality gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left|\nabla^{0} d P_{i}(x)\right|^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}}\left(\left\langle d \Delta^{0} P_{i}, d P_{i}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{0}\left|d P_{i}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} m_{k}\left(k^{2}+\mu_{k}\right) \Delta^{0}|X|^{2 k-2}=m_{k} \alpha_{n, k}|X|^{2 k-4} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

4.2. Estimates on hypersurfaces. Let $\mathcal{H}^{k}(M)=\left\{P \circ X, P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)\right\}$ be the space of functions induced on $M$ by $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$. We will identify $P$ and $P \circ X$ subsequently. There is no ambiguity since we have

Lemma 4.4. Let $M^{n}$ be a compact manifold immersed by $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right)$ be a linearly independent set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then the set $\left(P_{1} \circ X, \ldots, P_{m} \circ X\right)$ is also linearly independent.

Proof. Any homogeneous polynomial $P$ which is zero on $M$ is zero on the cone $\mathbb{R}^{+} \cdot M$. Since $M$ is compact there exists a point $x \in M$ so that $X_{x} \notin T_{x} M$ and so $\mathbb{R}^{+} \cdot M$ has non empty interior. Hence $P \circ X=0$ implies $P=0$.

We now compare the $L^{2}$-norm of $P$ on $M$ with $L^{2}$-norm of $P$ on the sphere $S_{M}=$ $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}} \mathbb{S}^{n}$. We still denote $\psi:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0,1]$ a smooth function which is 0 outside $\left[\frac{(1-\eta)^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}, \frac{(1+\eta)^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right]$, is 1 on $\left[\frac{(1-\eta / 2)^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}, \frac{(1+\eta / 2)^{2}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right]$ and satisfies the upper bounds $\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{4\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{\eta}$ and $\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{8\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}}{\eta^{2}}$. We set $\varphi(x)=\psi\left(\left|X_{x}\right|^{2}\right)$ on $M$.
Lemma 4.5. With the above restrictions on $\psi$ we have

$$
\left\|\Delta \varphi^{2}\right\|_{1} \leqslant \frac{192\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}}{\eta^{2}}\left\|X^{T}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{16 n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}{\eta}\|\varphi Z\|_{1}
$$

Proof. An easy computation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right) & =-\left.\left.\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right)|d| X\right|^{2}\right|^{2}+\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right) \Delta|X|^{2} \\
& =-4\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right)\left|X^{T}\right|^{2}-2 n\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right)\langle\nu, Z\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

But the bound on the derivatives of $\psi$ gives us $\left|\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{8\|H\|_{2}^{2}}{\eta} \psi$ and $\left|\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{48\|H\|_{2}^{4}}{\eta^{2}}$. Hence we get $\left\|\Delta \varphi^{2}\right\|_{1} \leqslant \frac{192\|H\|_{2}^{4}}{\eta^{2}}\left\|X^{T}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{16 n\|H\|_{2}^{2}}{\eta}\|\varphi Z\|_{1}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow[0,1]$ be as above. There exists a constant $C=C(n)$ such that for any isometrically immersed hypersurface $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and any $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$, we have $\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right| \leqslant\left(1-\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}+D C(n) \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}(1+\eta)^{2 k}\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}$, where $D=\|\varphi Z\|_{2}+\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{200\|H\|_{2}^{2}}{\eta^{2}}\left\|X^{\perp}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{16 n}{\eta}\|\varphi Z\|_{1}+\frac{\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}$.

Proof. For any $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}=\|\varphi d P(\nu)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\varphi d P\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\|\varphi d P(Z)\|_{2}^{2}+k^{2}\|\varphi \mathrm{H} P\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(2 k \mathrm{H} d P(\varphi Z) \varphi P+\varphi^{2} P \Delta P-\frac{P^{2} \Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)}{2}\right) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, Formula (2.1) applied to $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P=\mu_{k} \mathrm{H}^{2} P+(n+2 k-2) \mathrm{H} d P(Z)+\nabla^{0} d P(Z, Z) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}= & \|d P(\varphi Z)\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\|\mathrm{H} \varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(\varphi^{2} P \nabla^{0} d P(Z, Z)+(n+4 k-2) \varphi \mathrm{H} d P(\varphi Z) P-\frac{P^{2} \Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)}{2}\right) d v \\
= & \frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right) \varphi^{2} P^{2}+(n+4 k-2) \mathrm{H} d P(\varphi Z) \varphi P\right) d v \\
& +\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(P \nabla^{0} d P(\varphi Z, \varphi Z)-\frac{P^{2} \Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)}{2}\right) d v \\
& +\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}+\|d P(\varphi Z)\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}=\left\|\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}+k^{2}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}=\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}\left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}=\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\left(\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right)+\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}\|d P(\varphi Z)\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2} P\left(\left(\mu_{k}+k^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right) P+\mathrm{H}(n+4 k-2) d P(Z)+\nabla^{0} d P(Z, Z)\right) d v \\
& -\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \frac{P^{2} \Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right|  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\mu_{k}+k^{2}}\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}\left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right| \\
&+\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{\mu_{k}+k^{2}} \int_{M}\left(( n + 4 k - 2 ) | \mathrm { H } | \varphi \left|P \left\|d P(\varphi Z)\left|+|d P(\varphi Z)|^{2}+\left|P\left\|\nabla^{0} d P\right\| \varphi Z\right|^{2}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
&+\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(\varphi^{2}\left|\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right| P^{2}+\frac{P^{2}\left|\Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)\right|}{2}\right) d v
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left|\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right|(\varphi P)^{2} d v & \leqslant \frac{m_{k}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right||X|^{2 k} d v \\
& \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k}(1+\eta)^{2 k} \frac{\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$
\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \frac{P^{2}\left|\Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)\right|}{2} d v \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k}(1+\eta)^{2 k} \frac{\left\|\Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

and using Lemma 4.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}|P d P(Z) \mathrm{H}| d v & \leqslant \frac{m_{k} k\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}|X|^{2 k-1}|\mathrm{H} Z| d v \\
& \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} k(1+\eta)^{2 k}\|\varphi Z\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}|d P(\varphi Z)|^{2} & \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} k^{2} \frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}|\varphi Z|^{2}|X|^{2(k-1)} d v \\
& \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} k^{2}(1+\eta)^{2 k}\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, using Lemma 4.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left|P\left\|\nabla^{0} d P\right\| \varphi Z\right|^{2} & \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} \sqrt{\alpha_{n, k}} \frac{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}}{v_{M}} \int_{M}|X|^{2(k-1)}|\varphi Z|^{2} d v \\
& \leqslant\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} m_{k} \sqrt{\alpha_{n, k}}(1+\eta)^{2 k}\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, combined with (4.5) and equation (4.4), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right|}{\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}\left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right|}{\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}} \\
& +C(n) m_{k}(1+\eta)^{2 k}\left(\|\varphi Z\|_{2}+\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left\|\Delta\left(\varphi^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\varphi^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right\|_{1}}{\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k-2}\left\|\varphi \nabla^{0} P\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right|}{\left\|\nabla^{0} P\right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}}+C(n) m_{k}(1+\eta)^{2 k} D
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular for $k=1$, we have $\left|\nabla^{0} P\right|$ constant equal to $(1+n)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}$ and so

$$
\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right| \leqslant\left(1-\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}+C(n) m_{1}(1+\eta)^{2} D\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}
$$

Now, let $B_{k}=\sup \left\{\left.\frac{\| \| \mathrm{H}\left\|_{2}^{2 k}\right\| \varphi P\left\|_{2}^{2}-\right\| P \|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \mid}{\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}} \right\rvert\, P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right\}$. Then using that $\nabla^{0} P \in \mathcal{H}^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ and (4.4), we get

$$
B_{k} \leqslant B_{k-1}+C(n) m_{k}(1+\eta)^{2 k} D \leqslant 1-\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}+C(n) D \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}(1+\eta)^{2 k}
$$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1 we can use Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 to improve the estimate in Lemma 4.6 in the case $\eta=2 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 5.1. For any isometrically immersed hypersurface $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $r_{M}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} \leqslant$ $1+\varepsilon\left(\right.$ or $\lambda_{1}(1+\varepsilon)^{2} \geqslant n\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2}$ or $\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)$ for $\left.p>2\right)$ and for any $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$, we have

$$
\left|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}-\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right| \leqslant C \sqrt[32]{\varepsilon}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}
$$

where $C=C(n, k)$ in the first two cases and $C=C(p, k, n)$ in the latter case.
As a consequence, the map $P \mapsto \varphi P$ is injective on $\mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough.
Lemma 5.2. Under the assumption of Lemma 5.1, if $\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{1}{(2 C)^{32}}$ then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)\right)=$ $m_{k}$.

Lemma 5.1 allows us to prove the following estimate on $\Delta P$.
Lemma 5.3. Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.1, if $\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{1}{(2 C)^{32}}$, then for any $P \in$ $\mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$, we have $\left\|\Delta(\varphi P)-\mu_{k}^{S_{M}} \varphi P\right\|_{2} \leqslant C \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} \mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\|\varphi P\|_{2}$ where $C=C(n, k) \quad(C=$ $C(n, k, p)$ under the pinching $\left.\left(P_{p, \varepsilon}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)$. Using (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\varphi P)= & P \Delta \varphi-2\langle d P, d \varphi\rangle+\varphi \Delta P=P \Delta \varphi-2\langle d P, d \varphi\rangle+\varphi n \mathrm{H} d P(\nu)+\varphi \nabla^{0} d P(\nu, \nu) \\
= & P \Delta \varphi-2\langle d P, d \varphi\rangle+\varphi \mu_{k}|H|\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} P+\varphi(n+k-1) \frac{\mathrm{H}}{|\mathrm{H}|}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2} d P(Z) \\
& +\varphi(n+k-1) \frac{\mathrm{H}}{|\mathrm{H}|}\left(|\mathrm{H}|-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\right) d P(\nu)+\varphi \nabla^{0} d P(\nu, Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, we get

$$
\left\|\Delta(\varphi P)-\mu_{k}\right\| H\left\|_{2}^{2} \varphi P\right\|_{2} \leqslant\|(\Delta \varphi) P\|_{2}+2\|\langle d \varphi, d P\rangle\|_{2}+\mu_{k}\left\|\left(|H|-\|H\|_{2}\right) \varphi P\right\|_{2}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(n+k-1)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\left\|\varphi\left|d P\left\|Z\left|\left\|_{2}+(n+k-1)\right\| \varphi\left(|\mathrm{H}|-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\right) d P(\nu)\left\|_{2}+\right\| \varphi\right| \nabla^{0} d P\right\| Z\right|\right\|_{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us estimate $\|(\Delta \varphi) P\|_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(\Delta \varphi) P\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant \frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{M}\left(4 \left|\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right)\left\|\left.X^{T}\right|^{2}+2 n\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right) \| Z\right|\right)^{2} P^{2} d v\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{m_{k}}{v_{M}}\left(\int _ { M } | X | ^ { 2 k } \left(4\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right)\left\|\left.X^{T}\right|^{2}+2 n\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(|X|^{2}\right) \| Z\right|\right)^{2} d v\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{m_{k}}{v_{M}} \frac{(1+2 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2 k}}{\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}}\left(\int_{A_{2}}\left(\frac{8\|H\|_{2}^{4}}{\sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}\left|X^{T}\right|^{2}+2 n \frac{2\|H\|_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}}|Z|\right)^{2} d v\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{m_{k}}{v_{M}} \frac{(1+2 \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon})^{2 k}}{\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}}\left(\int_{A_{2} \sqrt[{16 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}]{ }} \frac{128\|H\|_{2}^{8}}{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}\left|X^{T}\right|^{4}+32 n^{2} \frac{\|H\|_{2}^{4}}{\sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}|Z|^{2} d v\right)\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have $\left|X^{T}\right| \leqslant|X|$ and since Lemma 3.3 is valid with $\|\varphi Z\|_{2}^{2}$ replaced by $\frac{1}{v_{M}} \int_{A_{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}}|Z|^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(\Delta \varphi) P\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant \frac{C(n, k) \mu_{k}}{v_{M}} \frac{\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}}{\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}} \int_{A_{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\|H\|_{2}^{6}}{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}}\left|X^{T}\right|^{2}+\frac{\|H\|_{2}^{4}}{\sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}}|Z|^{2}\right) d v \\
& \leqslant \frac{C(n, k) \mu_{k}}{\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}}\|H\|_{2}^{4} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the lemma 5.1, $\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{1}{(2 C)^{32}}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \leqslant 2\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\Delta \varphi) P\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k}\|H\|_{2}^{4} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\langle d \varphi, d P\rangle\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant 4\left\|\psi ^ { \prime } ( | X | ^ { 2 } ) \left|X ^ { T } \left\|\left.d P\left|\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant \frac{16\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}}{\sqrt[166]{\varepsilon} v_{M}} \int_{A_{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}}\right| X^{T}\right|^{2}|d P|^{2} d v\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{16\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}}{\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} v_{M}}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \int_{A_{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}}\left|X^{T}\right|^{2} m_{k} n k^{2}|X|^{2(k-1)} d v \\
& \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4-2 k}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \leqslant C(n, k)\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By the same way, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi|d P| Z\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(|\mathrm{H}|-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\right) \varphi P\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leqslant \frac{m_{k}}{v_{M}}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \int_{M}\left\|H\left|-\|H\|_{2}\right|^{2}|X|^{2 k} \varphi^{2} d v\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{C(n, k)}{\|H\|_{2}^{2 k}}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2}\left\|\varphi\left(|H|-\|H\|_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k}\|H\|_{2}^{2} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By the same way, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi\left(|\mathrm{H}|-\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}\right) d P(\nu)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\mathrm{H}\|_{2}^{4}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us estimate the last terms of (5.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi \left|\nabla^{0} d P\|Z \mid\|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. & \leqslant \frac{C(n, k) \mu_{k}}{v_{M}}\|P\|_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}^{2} \int_{M} \varphi^{2}|X|^{2 k-4}|Z|^{2} d v \\
& \leqslant C(n, k) \mu_{k}\|H\|_{2}^{4} \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Reporting (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) in (5.1) we get

$$
\left\|\Delta(\varphi P)-\mu_{k}\right\| H\left\|_{2}^{2} \varphi P\right\|_{2} \leqslant C(n, k) \sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} \mu_{k}\|H\|_{2}^{2}\|\varphi P\|_{2}
$$

Let $E_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ be the space spanned by the eigenfunctions of $M$ associated to an eigenvalue in the interval $\left[(1-\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} 2 C(n, k)) \mu_{k}^{S_{M}},(1+\sqrt[16]{\varepsilon} 2 C(k, n)) \mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\right]$. If $\operatorname{dim} E_{k}^{\varepsilon}<m_{k}$, then there exists $\varphi P \in\left(\varphi \mathcal{H}^{k}(M)\right) \backslash\{0\}$ which is $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $E_{k}^{\nu}$. Let $\varphi P=\sum_{i} f_{i}$ be the decomposition of $\varphi P$ in the Hilbert basis given by the eigenfunctions $f_{i}$ of $M$ associated respectively to $\lambda_{i}$. Putting $N:=\left\{i / f_{i} \notin E_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$, by assumption on $P$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 C(n, k)^{2} \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}\left(\mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\right)^{2}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant & \sum_{i \in N}\left(\lambda_{i}-\mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\right)^{2}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\Delta(\varphi P)-\mu_{k}^{S_{M}} \varphi P\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\mu_{k}^{S_{M}}\right)^{2} C(n, k)^{2} \sqrt[8]{\varepsilon}\|\varphi P\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives a contradiction. We then have $\operatorname{dim} E_{k}^{\varepsilon} \geqslant m_{k}$.

## 6. Some examples

6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We adapt the constructions made in $[4,12,3]$. We first consider submanifolds obtained by connected sum of a small submanifold $\varepsilon M_{2}$ with a fixed submanifold $M_{1}$ along a small, adequately pinched cylinder $\varepsilon T_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ (this is actually a 2 scales collapsing sequence of submanifolds). It gives Theorem 1.2 in the case where $F$ is a singleton.

In the case where $F$ is finite, it will suffice to iterate the construction (i.e. to glue several such cylinders) to add any finite set of eigenvalues to the spectrum of $M_{1}$. Since for a general $F, F \backslash \operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the Hausdorff limit of a sequence of finite sets, we get Theorem 1.2 in the general case by a diagonal procedure.
6.1.1. Flattening of submanifolds. For any submanifold $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we set $\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}$ a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ obtained by smooth deformation of $M$ at the neighbourhood of a point $x_{0} \in M$ such that $B_{x_{0}}(4 \varepsilon)$ is flat in $\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}$ and $M^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{x_{0}}(10 \varepsilon)$ is a subset of $M$. We also set $M^{\varepsilon}=\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{x_{0}}(3 \varepsilon)$, whose boundary has a neighbourhood isometric to the flat annulus $B_{0}(4 \varepsilon) \backslash B_{0}(3 \varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

We describe precisely how to construct such a flattening $\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}$ in [3] so that it also satisfies the following curvature estimates for any $\alpha \geqslant 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M^{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\int_{M}|\mathrm{H}|^{\alpha} d v \\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M^{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\int_{M}|\mathrm{~B}|^{\alpha} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Note also that by construction, any function on $M$ can be seen as a function on $\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}$ and this identification of $H^{1}(M)$ with $H^{1}\left(\tilde{M}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ tends to an isometry as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 .
6.1.2. Control of the curvature of the gluing. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be 2 manifolds of dimension $m$ isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\lambda, L$ be some fixed, positive real numbers, with $\lambda \notin$ $\mathrm{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $L>\max \left(\frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)^{2}}{d^{2}}, 1\right)$, where $d$ is the distance between $\lambda$ and $\operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}$. We consider the flattenings $\tilde{M}_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ of $M_{2}$ around the point $x_{2}$ and $M_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ of $M_{1}$ around $x_{1}$. Let $D$ be a smooth hypersurface of revolution of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, composed of three parts, $D_{1}$, $D_{2}, D_{3}$, where $D_{1}$ is a cylinder of revolution isometric to $B_{0}(3) \backslash B_{0}(2) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ at the neighbourhood of one of its boundary component and isometric to $[0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ at the neighbourhood of its other boundary component, where $D_{2}=[0, L] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ and where $D_{3}$ is a disc of revolution with pole $x_{3}$ and isometric to $[0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ at its boundary and to a flat disc at the neighbourhood of $x_{3}$. Let $C$ be a cylinder of revolution of dimension $m$ isometric to $B_{0}(2) \backslash B_{0}(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ at the neighbourhood of its 2 boundary components.


There exists $\nu_{0}>0$ such that for any $\left.\nu \in\right] 0, \nu_{0}\left[\right.$ the gluing of $\tilde{M}_{2}^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{x_{2}}(2 \nu)$, of $\nu C$ and of $D \backslash B_{x_{3}}(2 \nu)$ along their isometric boundary components is a smoothly immersed submanifold $T_{\nu}^{\prime}$ of dimension $m$. By standard arguments (see for instance [4] or what is done in section 6.1.3 in a more complicate case), when $\nu$ tends to 0 , the Dirichlet spectrum of $T_{\nu}^{\prime}$ converges to the disjoint union of the Dirichlet spectrum of $D$ and of the spectrum of $M_{2}$. Moreover, for $\nu$ small enough, $\lambda_{1}^{D}\left(T_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)$ depends continuously on $\nu$. We infer that for any $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left(M_{2}, \lambda, L, D_{1}, D_{3}\right)\left[\right.$ there exists a $\left.\nu_{\varepsilon} \in\right] 0, \nu_{0}\left(M_{2}, \lambda, L, D_{1}, D_{3}\right)$ [ such that $\lambda_{1}^{D}\left(T_{\nu_{\varepsilon}}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{2} \lambda$ and $\lambda_{2}^{D}\left(T_{\nu_{\varepsilon}}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \Lambda_{2}\left(L, M_{2}, \lambda, D_{1}, D_{3}\right)>0$. We set $T_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon T_{\nu_{\varepsilon}}^{\prime}$. Note that we have $\int_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\mathrm{B}|^{p} \leqslant \varepsilon^{m-p} C_{2}\left(M_{2}, \lambda, L, D_{1}, D_{3}\right)$ for any $p<m, \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}}|\mathrm{B}|^{m}=$ $\int_{M_{2}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}+\int_{D_{1}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}+\int_{D_{3}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}+L C(m), \lambda_{1}^{D}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)=\lambda$ and $\lambda_{2}^{D}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right) \geqslant \frac{\Lambda_{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ for any $\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$.


We set $M_{\varepsilon}$ the $m$-submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ obtained by gluing $M_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ and $T_{\varepsilon}$ along their boundaries in a fixed direction $\nu \in N_{x_{1}} M_{1}$. Note that $M_{\varepsilon}$ is a smooth immersion of $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ (resp. an embedding when $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are embedded).


By the computations above, the sequence $i_{k}\left(M_{1} \# M_{2}\right)=M_{\frac{1}{k}}$ converges to $M_{1}$ in Hausdorff distance and we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{H}|^{\alpha} d v \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d v=\int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{\alpha} d v
$$

for any $\alpha<m$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{m}=\int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{H}|^{m}+\int_{D_{1} \cup D_{3}}|\mathrm{H}|^{m}+C(m) L+\int_{M_{2}}|\mathrm{H}|^{m} \\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{m}=\int_{M_{1}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}+\int_{D_{1} \cup D_{3}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}+C(m) L+\int_{M_{2}}|\mathrm{~B}|^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

6.1.3. Computation of the spectrum of $M_{\varepsilon}$. We will prove that there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0$ and the spectrum of $M_{\varepsilon_{p}}$ converges to the disjoint union of $\operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and of $\{\tilde{\lambda}\}$, where $\tilde{\lambda}$ satisfies $\lambda-\frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)}{\sqrt{L}} \leqslant \tilde{\lambda} \leqslant \lambda$. Since the collapsing of $M_{\varepsilon}$ is multiscale, the cutting and rescaling technique of $[4,12]$ has to be adapted. Indeed, after rescaling of $T_{\varepsilon}$ we get another collapsing sequence of submanifolds with no uniform control of the trace and Sobolev Inequalities.

We denote by $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the union with multiplicities of the spectrum of $M_{1}$ and of $\{\lambda\}$, by $\left(\lambda_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the spectrum of $M_{\varepsilon}$ and by $\left(\mu_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the Dirichlet spectrum of the disjoint union $M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=T_{\varepsilon} \cup\left(M_{1}^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{x_{1}}(10 \varepsilon)\right)$. By the Dirichlet principle, we have $\lambda_{k}^{\varepsilon} \leqslant \mu_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known (see for instance [6]) that the Dirichlet spectrum of $M_{1}^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{x_{1}}(10 \varepsilon)$ converges to the spectrum of $M_{1}$. We infer that $\mu_{k}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \lambda_{k}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and so $\limsup \lambda_{k}^{\varepsilon} \leqslant \lambda_{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We set $\alpha_{k}=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k}^{\varepsilon}$. To get some lower bound on the $\alpha_{k}$, we need some local trace inequalities. We set $S_{t}=\left\{x \in T_{\varepsilon} / d\left(x, \partial T_{\varepsilon}\right)=-t\right\}$ for any $t \leqslant 0$ and $S_{t}=\left\{x \in M_{1}^{\varepsilon} / d\left(x, \partial M_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right)=t\right\}$ for any $t \geqslant 0$. We also set $B_{t, r}=\cup_{\{s /|s-t| \leqslant r\}} S_{s}$, $N_{r}=M_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cup B_{\frac{r}{2}, \frac{-r}{2}}$ for any $r \leqslant 0$ and $N_{r}=M_{1}^{\varepsilon} \backslash B_{\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}}$ for any $r \geqslant 0$. Let $a_{M_{1}}$ be a constant such that the volume density $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ of $M_{\varepsilon}$ in normal coordinates to $S_{-2 \varepsilon}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{a_{M_{1}}}\left(3+\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)^{m-1} \geqslant \theta_{\varepsilon}(t, u) \geqslant a_{M_{1}}\left(3+\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)^{m-1}$ for any $t \in\left[-2 \varepsilon, a_{M_{1}}\right]$ and any $u \in S_{-2 \varepsilon}$. Let $\varepsilon d$ be the distance in $M_{\varepsilon}$ between $M_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\varepsilon D_{2}$ and $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ be a constant such that for any $t \in[-(L+d+2) \varepsilon,-2 \varepsilon]$ and any $u \in S_{-2 \varepsilon}$ we have $\frac{\theta_{\varepsilon}(t, u)}{\theta_{\varepsilon}(-2 \varepsilon, u)} \in\left[\frac{1}{C\left(D_{1}\right)}, C\left(D_{1}\right)\right]$. Let $\eta:\left[-2 \varepsilon, a_{M_{1}}\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\eta(t)=1$ for any $t \leqslant \frac{a_{M_{1}}}{2}$, $\eta\left(a_{M_{1}}\right)=0$ and $\left|\eta^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{4}{a_{M_{1}}}$. For any $r \in\left[-2 \varepsilon, a_{M_{1}} / 2\right]$ and any $f \in H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_{r}} & f^{2}=\int_{S_{-2 \varepsilon}}\left(\int_{r}^{a_{M_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\eta(\cdot) f(\cdot, u)] d s\right)^{2} \theta_{\varepsilon}(r, u) d u \\
& \leqslant \int_{r}^{a_{M_{1}}} \frac{\sup _{u \in S_{-2 \varepsilon}} \theta_{\varepsilon}(r, u)}{\inf _{u \in S_{-2 \varepsilon}} \theta_{\varepsilon}(s, u)} d s \int_{S_{-2 \varepsilon}} \int_{r}^{a_{M_{1}}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\eta(\cdot) f\left(\cdot, \frac{\varepsilon}{10} u\right)\right]\right)^{2} \theta_{\varepsilon}(s, u) \\
& \leqslant c\left(M_{1}\right) \int_{r}^{a_{M_{1}}} \frac{(3+r / \varepsilon)^{m-1}}{(3+s / \varepsilon)^{m-1}} d s\|f\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}} f^{2} \leqslant c\left(M_{1}\right)(3 \varepsilon+r)\|f\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $m \geqslant 3$. By the same way, for any $r \in[-(L+d+2) \varepsilon,-2 \varepsilon]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}} f^{2} \leqslant-c\left(M_{1}, D_{1}\right)(\varepsilon+r)\|f\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use this local trace inequality to get some estimates on the eigenfunctions of $M_{\varepsilon}$. We set $\varphi: M_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function equal to 1 on $N_{21 \varepsilon / 2} \cup\left(M_{\varepsilon} \backslash N_{-\varepsilon / 2}\right)$, equal to 0 outside $M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and such that $\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{4}{\varepsilon}$. For any $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)$, integration of Inequalities (6.1) and (6.2) gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{M_{\varepsilon}} f_{1} f_{2}-\int_{M_{\varepsilon}} \varphi f_{1} \varphi f_{2}\right| \leqslant \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|\varphi^{2}-1\right|\left|f_{1}\left\|f_{2} \mid \leqslant c\left(M_{1}\right) \varepsilon^{2}\right\| f_{1}\left\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right\| f_{2} \|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right. \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M_{\varepsilon}}\left|d \varphi f_{1}\right|^{2} & \leqslant \int_{M_{\varepsilon}}|d \varphi|^{2} f_{1}^{2}+2 \varphi f_{1}\left(d f_{1}, d \varphi\right)+\varphi^{2}\left|d f_{1}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{16}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\operatorname{Supp}(d \varphi))}^{2}+\frac{8}{\varepsilon}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\operatorname{Supp}(d \varphi))}\left\|d f_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|d f_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leqslant c\left(M_{1}\right)\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left(f_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ be a $L^{2}$-orthonormal, complete set of eigenfunctions of $M_{\varepsilon}$. For any $k$, we set $\tilde{f}_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ the function on $M_{1}$ equal to $\varphi f_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ on $N_{10 \varepsilon}$ and extended by 0 . By Inequality (6.4), we have $\left\|\tilde{f}_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(M_{1}\right)}^{2} \leqslant c\left(M_{1}\right)\left(1+\lambda_{k}\right)$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. We infer by diagonal extraction that there exists some sequences $\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(h_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in H^{1}\left(M_{1}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lambda_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \rightarrow \alpha_{k}$ and $\left(\tilde{f}_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)_{p}$ converges weakly in $H^{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{2}\left(M_{1}\right)$ to $h_{k}$, for any $k$. It is easy to prove that $h_{k}$ is a weak solution of $\Delta h_{k}=\alpha_{k} h_{k}$ on $H^{1}\left(M_{1} \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right)=H^{1}\left(M_{1}\right)$. By elliptic regularity, either $h_{k}=0$ or $\alpha_{k}$ is an eigenvalue of $M_{1}$.

Let $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_{k_{0}}=\lambda$. Since $D_{2}$ isometric to $[0, L] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$, any $f_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}}$ can be seen as a function on $\left[0, \varepsilon_{p} L\right] \times \varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$. For any $f=\sum_{i \leqslant k_{0}} \beta_{i} f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \in \operatorname{Vect}\left\{f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}} / i \leqslant k_{0}\right\}$, we define the rescaling $F_{p}$ on $c=[0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ by $F_{p}(t, x)=\varepsilon_{p}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} L^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\varepsilon_{p} L t, \varepsilon_{p} x\right)$. By Inequality (6.2), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{c} F_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p}^{2} L^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon_{p} D_{2}} f^{2} \leqslant c\left(M_{1}, D_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{2}{L}\right)(1+\lambda)\|f\|_{2}^{2}, \\
\int_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{L \varepsilon_{p}} \int_{\varepsilon_{p}\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right)} f^{2} \leqslant \frac{c\left(M_{1}, D_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)\|f\|_{2}^{2}}{L}, \\
\text { and } \quad \int_{\{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{L \varepsilon_{p}} \int_{\varepsilon_{p}\left(D_{3} \cap D_{2}\right)} f^{2} \leqslant(1+\lambda) c\left(M_{1}, D_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{d}{L}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

for $p$ large enough (note that we have $d \geqslant 2$ by construction). Moreover, we have $\int_{c}\left|d F_{p}\right|^{2} \leqslant \int_{\varepsilon_{p} D_{2}}|d f|^{2} \leqslant \lambda\|f\|_{2}^{2}$. So we can assume that there exists $F_{\infty} \in H^{1}(c)$ such that the sequence $\left(F_{p}\right)$ converges to $F_{\infty}$ weakly in $H^{1}(c)$ and strongly in $L^{2}(c)$. We set $j_{p}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}(t, x) d x$ and $j_{\infty}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{\infty}(t, x) d x$, we have $j_{p}, j_{\infty} \in H^{1}([0,1])$ (with $\left.j_{p}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial t}(t, x) d x\right), j_{p} \rightarrow j_{\infty}$ strongly in $L^{2}([0,1])$ and weakly in $H^{1}([0,1])$. By the estimates above and the compactness of the trace operator on $c$, we have $\left|j_{\infty}(0)\right| \leqslant \frac{C\left(M_{1}\right) \sqrt{1+\lambda}\|f\|_{2}}{\sqrt{L}}$ and $\left|j_{\infty}(1)\right| \leqslant \sqrt{1+\lambda}\|f\|_{2} C\left(M_{1}\right)$. Hence $l(t)=j_{\infty}(t)-$
$\left(j_{\infty}(0)+\left(j_{\infty}(1)-j_{\infty}(0)\right) t\right)$ is in $H_{0}^{1}([0,1])$. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}([0,1])$, we set $\psi_{p}(t, x)=$ $\varepsilon_{p} L \psi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon_{p} L}\right)$ seen as a function in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\varepsilon_{p} D_{2}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} l^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} d t=\int_{0}^{1} j_{\infty}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} d t \\
& =\lim _{p} \int_{0}^{1} j_{p}^{\prime}(t) \psi^{\prime}(t) d t=\lim _{p} \int_{c} \frac{\partial F_{p}}{\partial t} \psi^{\prime}=\lim _{p} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p}^{\frac{m}{2}} \sqrt{L}} \int_{\varepsilon_{p} D_{2}}\left\langle d f, d \psi_{p}\right\rangle d t d x \\
& =\lim _{p} \sum_{i} \frac{\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{\frac{m}{2}} \sqrt{L}} \int_{\varepsilon_{p} D_{2}} f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \psi_{p} d t d x=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} L^{2} \lim _{p} \varepsilon_{p}^{2} \int_{c} F_{i, p} \psi d t d x \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{i, p}(t, x)=\varepsilon_{p}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} L^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\left(\varepsilon_{p} L t, \varepsilon_{p} x\right)$. We infer $l$ is harmonic and in $H_{0}^{1}([0,1])$, i.e. $l=0$ and $j_{\infty}(t)=j_{\infty}(0)+\left(j_{\infty}(1)-j_{\infty}(0)\right) t$ on $[0,1]$. Since the Poincare inequality on $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}(t, x)^{2} d x & \leqslant \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}(t, x) d x\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}\left|d_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} j_{p}^{2}(t)+\frac{\varepsilon_{p}}{(m-1) L} \int_{\varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}}\left|d_{\varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f\right|^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{p} L t, x\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{L \varepsilon_{p}^{2}} \int_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{L}\right] \times \varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f^{2}=L \int_{\left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} F_{p}^{2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{L}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}} j_{p}^{2}(t) d t+\frac{1}{(m-1) L} \int_{\left[0, \varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{L}\right] \times \varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}}\left|d_{\varepsilon_{p} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{L}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}} j_{p}^{2}(t) d t+\frac{\lambda}{(m-1) L}\|f\|^{2} \\
& \quad \rightarrow \frac{L}{\operatorname{Vol} \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}} j_{\infty}(t)^{2} d t+\frac{\lambda}{(m-1) L}\|f\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)\|f\|^{2}}{\sqrt{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the family $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i<k_{0}}$ is not free in $L^{2}\left(M_{1}\right)$, then either one $h_{i}$ is null or they are all eigenfunctions of $M_{1}$. Since the eigenspaces are in direct sum, we infer that there exists $\mu \leqslant \lambda_{k_{0}-1}$ and $\left(\beta_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{0}} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\sum_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}=1, \sum_{i} \beta_{i} h_{i}=0$ and $\alpha_{i}=\mu$ for any $i$ such that $\beta_{i} \neq 0$. We set $f=\sum_{i} \beta_{i} f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}}$ and $\eta: M_{\varepsilon_{p}} \rightarrow[0,1]$ a smooth function equal to 1 on $M_{\varepsilon_{p}} \backslash N_{-(2+d+\sqrt{L}) \varepsilon_{p}}$, equal to 0 on $N_{-(2+d) \varepsilon_{p}}$ and such that $\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{L}}$. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\right| d(\eta f)\right|^{2}-\mu \int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}(\eta f)^{2}\left|=\left|\int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\right| d \eta\right|^{2} f^{2}+\left\langle d f, d\left(\eta^{2} f\right)\right\rangle-\mu \int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}(\eta f)^{2} \mid \\
& \leqslant \frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)}{\sqrt{L}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}} \sum_{i, j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}}-\mu\right) \beta_{i} \eta f_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \beta_{j} \eta f_{j}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequalities (6.3) and (6.2) imply that $\int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}(\eta f)^{2} \rightarrow 1$. Since $\eta f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)$ and since by construction of $T_{\varepsilon_{p}}$, we have $\lambda_{1}^{D}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)=\lambda$, we then have $\int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}|d(\eta f)|^{2} \geqslant \lambda \int_{M_{\varepsilon_{p}}}(\eta f)^{2}$.

Letting $p$ tend to $\infty$ in Inequality (6.5) we get that $\lambda-\lambda_{k_{0}-1} \leqslant \frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)}{\sqrt{L}}$, which contradicts the choice made on $L$ at the beginning of this subsection.

We infer that $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i<k_{0}}$ is free in $L^{2}\left(M_{1}\right)$. This implies that $\alpha_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $M_{1}$ and $h_{i}$ is an eigenfunction of $M_{1}$ for any $i<k_{0}$. Since $\alpha_{i}=\lim \lambda_{i}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \leqslant \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)$ for any $i<k_{0}$, we infer that $\alpha_{i}=\lambda_{i}$ for any $i<k_{0}$ and that the $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i<k_{0}}$ is a basis of the eigenspaces of $M_{1}$ associated to the first $k_{0}$ eigenvalues. By the same way, if $h_{k_{0}} \neq 0$, then $\alpha_{k_{0}}=\lambda_{k_{0}-1}$ (since it is an eigenvalue of $M_{1}$ less than $\lambda$ ) and so the family $\left(h_{i}\right)_{i \leqslant k_{0}}$ is not free. The same argument as above gives a contradiction. So we have that $h_{k_{0}}=0$.

Assume that there exists another index $l \neq k_{0}$ such that $h_{l}=0$. Then, Inequality (6.3) gives that $\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}} \varphi f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \varphi f_{l}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \rightarrow 0, \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\left(\varphi f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 1$ and $\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\left(\varphi f_{l}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 1$ and Inequality (6.4) gives that $\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\left|d \varphi f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right|^{2}$ and $\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}\left|d \varphi f_{l}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right|^{2}$ remain bounded as $\varepsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0$. We set $g_{p}$ a unitary eigenfunction of $T_{\varepsilon_{p}}$ for the Dirichlet problem associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda$. If we set $\left(\left.\varphi f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right|_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}=\beta_{k_{0}}^{p} g_{p}+\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right.$ and $\left(\varphi f_{l}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)_{\mid T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}=\beta_{l}^{p} g_{p}+\delta_{l}^{p}$, with $\beta_{k_{0}}^{p}, \beta_{l}^{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}, \delta_{l}^{p}$ orthogonal to $g_{p}$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)$. The previous relations and the lower bound on $\lambda_{2}^{D}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)$ imply that

$$
\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}} \left\lvert\, d\left(\varepsilon f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)^{2} \geqslant \lambda\left(\beta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{D}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)\left\|\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2} \geqslant\left(\beta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right)^{2} \lambda+\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2} .\right.
$$

By the same way, $\left(\beta_{l}^{p}\right)^{2} \lambda+\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{l}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2}$ is bounded, and so $\left\|\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2}$ and $\left\|\delta_{l}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2}$ tend to 0 with $\varepsilon_{p}$. Now, we have $\left(\beta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right)^{2}+\left\|\delta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ and so $\left|\beta_{k_{0}}^{p}\right| \rightarrow 1$. By the same way, we have $\left|\beta_{l}^{p}\right| \rightarrow 1$, which contradicts the fact that $\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{p}}} \varphi f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \varphi f_{l}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \rightarrow 0$. We infer that for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\left\{k_{0}\right\}$ we have that $\alpha_{k}$ is an eigenvalue of $M_{1}$. Moreover, if we decompose $\left(\varphi f_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)_{\mid T_{\varepsilon_{p}}}=\beta_{k}^{p} g_{p}+\delta_{k}^{p}$ as above, Inequality (6.4) implies that $\left(\beta_{k}^{p}\right)^{2}+\frac{\Lambda_{2}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{2}}\left\|\delta_{k}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2}$ remains bounded and so we have $\lim \left\|\delta_{k}^{p}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)}^{2}=0$ and Inequality (6.3) gives

$$
0=\lim \int_{M_{\varepsilon}} f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}} \varepsilon_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}}=\lim \beta_{k}^{p} \beta_{k_{0}}^{p}=\lim \beta_{k}^{p}
$$

and so $\left(\varphi f_{k}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)_{\mid T_{\varepsilon_{p}}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}\left(T_{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)$ for any $k \neq k_{0}$. Once again, Inequality (6.3) gives us that for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\left\{k_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
\int_{M_{1}} h_{k} h_{l}=\delta_{k l} .
$$

From the min-max principle, it gives that we have $\alpha_{k} \geqslant \lambda_{k}$ for any $k \neq k_{0}$. Since we have $\alpha_{k} \leqslant \lambda_{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we infer that for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\left\{k_{0}\right\}$ we have $\alpha_{k}=\lambda_{k}$. Finally, Inequality (6.5), applied to $f=f_{k_{0}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}$ and $\mu=\alpha_{k_{0}}$ gives that $\alpha_{k_{0}} \in\left[\lambda-\frac{C\left(M_{1}\right)(1+\lambda)}{\sqrt{L}}, \lambda\right]$.
6.1.4. End of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and case $\alpha=m$. Since we can take $L$ as large as needed while keeping $\int_{M_{\varepsilon}}|\mathrm{B}|^{\alpha} \rightarrow \int_{M_{1}}|B|^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha<n$, we get Theorem 1.2 for $F=\operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right) \cup\{\lambda\}$ by diagonal extraction. Iterating the construction (with $M_{2}$ replaced by $\mathbb{S}^{m}$ for any supplementary gluing) we get the result for any disjoint union $F=\operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right) \cup\{$ finite set $\}$ and then for any $F$, since any closed set $F$ is the limit in pointed-Hausdorff topology of a sequence of finite sets.

In the case $\alpha=m$, the limit $\int_{M_{\varepsilon}}|\mathrm{B}|^{m}$ depend on $L$ and so we are only able to get a weak version of Theorem 1.2 with $F=\operatorname{Sp}\left(M_{1}\right) \cup G$, where $G$ is a finite set whose elements are known up to an error term and where the point 2) is replaced by $\int_{i_{k}\left(M_{1} \# M_{2}\right)}|\mathrm{B}|^{m}$ is bounded by a constant that depend on $M_{1}, M_{2}, D_{1}, D_{3}, G$ and on the error term.
6.2. Example 1.4. We set $I_{\varepsilon}=\left[\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ for $\varepsilon>0$ and let $\varphi: I_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow(-1,+\infty)$ be a function continuous on $I_{\varepsilon}$ and smooth on $\left(\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. For any $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$, we consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\varphi}: \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{k} \times I_{\varepsilon} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}=\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \\
x=(y, z, r) & \longmapsto(1+\varphi(r))(y \sin r+z \cos r)
\end{aligned}
$$

whose image $X_{\varphi}$ is a smooth embedded submanifold (with boundary) diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{n} \backslash B\left(\mathbb{S}^{k}, \varepsilon\right)$. We denote respectively by $\mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{q}(\varphi)$ the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of $X_{\varphi}$ at the point $q$. They are given by the following formulae.

Lemma 6.1. Let $x=(y, z, r) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{k} \times I_{\varepsilon}, q=\Phi_{\varphi}(x)$ and $(u, v, h) \in T_{x} X_{\varepsilon}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \mathrm{H}_{q}(\varphi)=\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left[-(1+\varphi(r)) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)+(1+\varphi(r))^{2}+2 \varphi^{\prime 2}(r)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}}{1+\varphi(r)}\left[-(n-k-1) \varphi^{\prime}(r) \cot r+(n-1)(1+\varphi(r))+k \varphi^{\prime}(r) \tan r\right] \\
& \left|\mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)\right|= \\
& \quad \frac{(1+\varphi(r))^{-1}}{\left(1+\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{1+\varphi(r)}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \max \left(\left|1-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{1+\varphi} \cot r\right|,\left|1+\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{1+\varphi} \tan r\right|,\left|1+\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-(1+\varphi) \varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.4, we set $a<\frac{\pi}{10}$ and define the function $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ on $I_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(r)= \begin{cases}f_{\varepsilon}(r)=\varepsilon \int_{1}^{\frac{r}{\varepsilon}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{2(n-k-1)}-1}} & \text { if } \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant a+\varepsilon \\ u_{\varepsilon}(r) & \text { if } r \geqslant a+\varepsilon \\ b_{\varepsilon} & \text { if } r \geqslant 2 a+\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

where $b_{\varepsilon}$ is a constant and $u_{\varepsilon}$ is chosen so that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is smooth on $\left(\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and strictly concave on $(\varepsilon, 2 a+\varepsilon]$. Since we have $f_{\varepsilon}(x) \rightarrow 0, f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow 0, f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow 0$ for any fixed $x \in(\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon]$, the concavity implies that $b_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (hence $b_{\varepsilon}$ can be chosen less than $\frac{1}{2}$ ), that $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $I_{\varepsilon}$ and that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ converges uniformly to 0 on any compact subset of $\left(\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. Moreover, $u_{\varepsilon}$ can be chosen such that $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of $\left(\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.

On $(\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon], \varphi_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{(n-k-1)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right)}{r} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty=-\lim _{t \rightarrow \varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)$. On $\left(-b_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)$, we define $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}(t)=$ $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(|t|)$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the equation $y y^{\prime \prime}=(n-k-1)\left(1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$ with initial data $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}(0)=\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)=0$, it is smooth at 0 , hence on $\left(-b_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Now we consider the two applications $\Phi_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}$ and $\Phi_{-\varphi_{\varepsilon}}$ defined as above, and we set $M_{\varepsilon}^{+}=X_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}, M_{\varepsilon}^{-}=X_{-\varphi_{\varepsilon}}$ and $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}=M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cup M_{\varepsilon}^{-} . M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ since the function $F_{\varepsilon}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\left|p_{1}\right|^{2}-|p|^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}(|p|-1)\right)$, defined on

$$
U=\left\{p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k+1} / p_{1} \neq 0, p_{2} \neq 0,-b_{\varepsilon}+1<|p|<b_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}
$$

gives a smooth, local equation of $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ at the neighborhood of $M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$that satisfies

$$
\nabla F_{\varepsilon}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2 p_{1} \cos ^{2} \varepsilon-2 p_{2} \sin ^{2} \varepsilon \neq 0
$$

on $M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$.
We denote respectively by $H_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}$, the mean curvature and the second fundamental form of $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$.
Theorem 6.2. $\left\|H_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{n-k}$ remain bounded whereas $\left\|H_{\varepsilon}-1\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $\||X|-1\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 6.3. We have $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{q} \rightarrow \infty$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for any $q>n-k$.
Proof. From the lemma 6.1 and the definition of $\varphi_{\varepsilon}, \mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|$ converge uniformly to 1 on any compact of $M_{\varepsilon}^{k} \backslash M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$. On the neighborhood of $M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$, we have $n\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x}=n h_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm}(r)$ and $\left|n h_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm}\right| \leqslant h_{1, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}+h_{2, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}+h_{3, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}$, where
$h_{2, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}(r)=\frac{k|\tan (r)|}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leqslant \frac{k}{1-b_{\varepsilon}} \tan \frac{\pi}{5}$
$h_{3, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}(r)=\frac{1}{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left(n-1++\frac{2 \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{n+1}{1-b_{\varepsilon}}$
and by differential Equation (6.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}(r)=\left|(n-k-1) \frac{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cot (r)+\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad(n-k-1) \frac{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left|\cot (r)-\frac{1}{r}\right| \\
& \quad+\frac{n-k-1}{r}\left|\frac{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \frac{n}{1-b_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\cot (r)\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\frac{n\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}}{r\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \\
& \left.\frac{n}{1-b_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\cot (r)\right)+\frac{n}{r} \varphi_{\varepsilon} \frac{2 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 3}}{\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}-\cot (r)\right)+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{r} n \frac{2+b_{\varepsilon}}{1-b_{\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{r}=\frac{\varepsilon}{r} \int_{1}^{r / \varepsilon} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{2(n-k-1)}-1}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \int_{1}^{r / \varepsilon} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}}$ and $\frac{1}{x} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{2}-1}} \sim_{+\infty} \frac{\ln x}{x}$, we get that $h_{1, \varepsilon}^{ \pm}$is bounded on $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$, hence $\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded on $M_{\varepsilon}$. By the Lebesgue theorem we have $\left\|\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}-1\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$.

We now bound $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{q}$ with $q=n-k$. The volume element at the neighbourhood of $M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{g_{\varepsilon}}=\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{n}\left(1+\left(\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin ^{n-k-1}(r) \cos ^{k}(r) d v_{n-k-1} d v_{k} d r \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d v_{n-k-1}$ and $d v_{k}$ are the canonical volume element of $\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{k}$ respectively. By Lemma 6.1 and Equation (6.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}}=\frac{1}{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \max \left(\left|1-\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \cot r\right|,\left|1+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \tan r\right|\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left|1+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+(n-k-1)\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} / r}{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}\right|\right)\right]^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}} \leqslant \min (1, x)$, it is easy to see that, if we set $h_{\varepsilon}=\min \left(1,\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|1-\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \cot r\right|}{\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}}+\frac{\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}}{\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}+1}} \frac{\cot r}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{h_{\varepsilon} \cot r}{\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}} \leqslant 4\left(1+\frac{h_{\varepsilon}}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $r \in[\varepsilon, \pi / 5+\varepsilon]$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough, we have

$$
\frac{\left|1+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}} \tan r\right|}{\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}} \leqslant 4\left(1+h_{\varepsilon} \tan r\right) \leqslant 8\left(1+h_{\varepsilon} r\right) \leqslant 8\left(1+\frac{h_{\varepsilon}}{r}\right)
$$

And since $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=0$ for $r \geqslant \pi / 5+\varepsilon$, this inequality is also true for $r \in(\varepsilon, \pi / 2]$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}}\left|1+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+(n-k-1)\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} / r}{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}}{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{n}{r} \frac{\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}{\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{n h_{\varepsilon}}{r\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)} \frac{\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{2}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}+2 \frac{n h_{\varepsilon}}{r} \frac{\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leqslant 2\left(2+9 \frac{n h_{\varepsilon}}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}} & \leqslant C(n, k)\left(1+\frac{h_{\varepsilon}}{r}\right)^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant C(n, k)\left(r+h_{\varepsilon}\right)^{q} r^{-1}\left(1+\frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon}}\right) d v_{n-k-1} d v_{k} d r \\
& \leqslant C(n, k) r^{-1}\left(r+h_{\varepsilon}\right)^{q}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r / \varepsilon)^{2(n-k-1)}-1}}\right) d v_{n-k-1} d v_{k} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left|\mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant C(n, k)\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{2^{\frac{1}{2(n-k-1)}} \varepsilon} r^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r / \varepsilon)^{2(n-k-1)}-1}}\right) d r\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{2^{2(n-k-1)} \varepsilon}^{2 a+\varepsilon} r^{n-k-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{r \sqrt{(r / \varepsilon)^{2(n-k-1)-1}}}\right)^{q} d r\right) \\
& \leqslant C(n, k)\left(\int_{1}^{2^{\frac{1}{2(n-k-1)}}} s^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2(n-k-1)}-1}}\right) d s+\int_{2^{2(n-k-1)}}^{2 a / \varepsilon+1} s^{n-k-1}\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{s^{q}}\right)^{q} d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon^{\frac{-1}{q}} \leqslant \frac{2 a}{\varepsilon}+1$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M_{\varepsilon}^{k}}\left|\mathrm{~B}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d v_{g_{\varepsilon}} & \leqslant C(n, k)\left(1+\int_{2^{\frac{\varepsilon^{2(n-k-1)}}{\frac{-1}{q}}}} \frac{2 s^{n-k-1}}{s^{q^{2}}} d s+\int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{-1}{q}}}^{2 a / \varepsilon+1} 2 s^{n-k-1} \varepsilon^{q} d s\right) \\
& \leqslant C(n, k)\left(1+\varepsilon^{n-k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which remains bounded when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Since $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is constant outside a neighborhood of $M_{\varepsilon}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon}^{-}$(given by $a$ ), $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ is a smooth submanifold diffeomorphic to the sum of two spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ along a (great) subsphere $\mathbb{S}^{k} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n}$.


If we denote $\tilde{M}_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ one connected component of the points of $M_{\varepsilon}^{k}$ corresponding to $r \leqslant 3 a$, we get some pieces of hypersurfaces
that can be glued together along pieces of spheres of constant curvature to get a smooth submanifold $M_{\varepsilon}$, diffeomorphic to $p$ spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ glued each other along $l$ subspheres $S_{i}$, and with curvature satisfying the bounds of Theorem 1.4 (when all the subspheres have dimension 0 ) or of the remark before Theorem 1.3.


Since the surgeries are performed along subsets of capacity zero, the manifold constructed have a spectrum close to the spectrum of $p$ disjoints spheres of radius close to 1 (i.e. close to the spectrum of the standard $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with all multiplicities multiplied by $p$ ). More precisely, we set $\eta \in\left[2 \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{20}\right]$, and for any subsphere $S_{i}$, we set $N_{i, \eta, \varepsilon}$ the tubular neighborhood of radius $\eta$ of the submanifold $\tilde{S}_{i}=M_{\varepsilon, i}^{+} \cap M_{\varepsilon, i}^{-}$in the local parametrization of $M_{\varepsilon}$ given by the map $\Phi_{\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}}$ associated to the subsphere $S_{i}$. We have $M_{\varepsilon}=\Omega_{1, \eta, \varepsilon} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{p, \eta, \varepsilon} \cup N_{1, \eta, \varepsilon} \cup \cdots \cup N_{l, \eta, \varepsilon}$ where $\Omega_{i, \eta, \varepsilon}$ are the connected component of $M \backslash \cup_{i} N_{i, \eta, \varepsilon}$. The $\Omega_{i, \eta, \varepsilon}$ are diffeomorphic to some $S_{i, \eta}$ (which does not depend on $\varepsilon$
and $\eta$ ) open set of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ which are complements of neighborhoods of subspheres of dimension less than $n-2$ and radius $\eta$, endowed with metrics which converge in $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ topology to standard metrics of curvature 1 on $S_{i, \eta}$. Indeed, $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ converge to 0 in topology $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $\left[r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, where $\int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\varepsilon}^{i, \eta}} \sqrt{\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\right)^{2}+\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\eta$ since it converges in $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ topology on any compact of $\left[\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and since we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta \geqslant \int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}}\left(1-b_{i, \varepsilon}\right) d t=\left(r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}-\varepsilon\right)\left(1-b_{i, \varepsilon}\right) \\
\eta \leqslant \int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}}\left(1+b_{i, \varepsilon}\right) d t+\int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2(n-k-1)}-1}}=\left(r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{i, \pm}-\varepsilon\right)\left(1+b_{i, \varepsilon}\right) \\
+\varepsilon \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{2(n-k-1)}-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

so $r_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \eta$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. So the spectrum of $\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta, \varepsilon} \subset M_{\varepsilon}$ for the Dirichlet problem converges to the spectrum of $\amalg_{i} S_{i, \eta} \subset \amalg_{i} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ for the Dirichlet problem as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 (by the min-max principle). Since any subsphere of codimension at least 2 has zero capacity in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, we have that the spectrum of $\amalg_{i} S_{i, \eta} \subset \amalg_{i} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ for the Dirichlet problem converges to the spectrum of $\amalg_{i} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ when $\eta$ tends to 0 (see for instance [6] or adapt what follows). Since the spectrum of $\amalg_{i} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ is the spectrum of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with all multiplicities multiplied by $p$, by diagonal extraction we infer the existence of two sequences $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)$ and $\left(\eta_{m}\right)$ such that $\varepsilon_{m} \rightarrow 0, \eta_{m} \rightarrow 0$ and the spectrum of $\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}} \subset M_{\varepsilon_{m}}$ for the Dirichlet problem converges to the spectrum of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with all multiplicities multiplied by $p$. Finally, note that $\lambda_{l}\left(M_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{l}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon}\right)$ for any $l$ by the Dirichlet principle.

On the other hand, by using functions of the distance to the $\tilde{S}_{i}$ we can easily construct on $M_{\varepsilon}$ a function $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ with value in [ 0,1$]$, support in $\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta, \varepsilon}$, equal to 1 on $\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon}$ and whose gradient satisfies $\left|d \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|_{g_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\eta}$. It readily follows that

$$
\left\|1-\psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{1}+\left\|d \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{4}{\eta^{2}}\right) \sum_{i} \frac{\operatorname{Vol} N_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon}}{\operatorname{Vol} M_{\varepsilon}}
$$

To estimate $\sum_{i} \operatorname{Vol} N_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon}$, note that $N_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon}$ corresponds to the set of points with $r^{i, \pm} \leqslant$ $r_{\varepsilon, 2 \eta}^{i, \pm}$ in the parametrization of $M_{\varepsilon}$ given by $\Phi_{\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}}$ at the neighborhood of $\tilde{S}_{i}$, where, as above, $r_{\varepsilon, 2 \eta}^{i, \pm}$ is given by

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\varepsilon, 2 \eta}^{i, \pm}} \sqrt{\left(1 \pm \varphi_{\epsilon, i}\right)^{2}+\left(\varphi_{\epsilon, i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=2 \eta
$$

hence satisfies $\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{\varepsilon, 2 \eta}^{i, \pm}-\varepsilon\right) \leqslant 2 \eta$ (since we have $1-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ ). By formula 6.7 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Vol} N_{i, 2 \eta, \varepsilon} \leqslant C(n) \int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\eta}^{-}}\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\right)^{n-1} \sqrt{\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\right)^{2}+\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} t^{n-k-1} d t \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{r}
+C(n) \int_{\varepsilon}^{r_{\eta}^{+}}\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\right)^{n-1} \sqrt{\left(1+\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\right)^{2}+\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} t^{n-k-1} d t \\
\quad \leqslant C(n)(4 \eta+\varepsilon)^{n-k-1} \eta \leqslant C(n, k) \eta^{n-k}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} \leqslant 2$ and $2 \varepsilon \leqslant \eta$. We then have

$$
\left\|1-\psi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{1}+\left\|d \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant C(n, k, l, p) \eta^{n-k}
$$

To end the proof of the fact that $M_{\varepsilon_{m}}$ has a spectrum close to that of $\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}}$ we need the following proposition, whose proof is a classical Moser iteration (we use the Simon and Michael Sobolev Inequality).

Proposition 6.4. For any $q>n$ there exists a constant $C(q, n)$ so that if $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is any Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $E_{N}=\left\langle f_{0}, \cdots, f_{N}\right\rangle$ is the space spanned by the eigenfunctions associated to $\lambda_{0} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_{N}$, then for any $f \in E_{N}$ we have

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(q, n)\left(\left(v_{M}\right)^{1 / n}\left(\lambda_{N}^{1 / 2}+\|\mathrm{H}\|_{q}\right)\right)^{\gamma}\|f\|_{2}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{1}{2} \frac{q n}{q-n}$.
Since we already know that $\lambda_{\sigma}\left(M_{\varepsilon_{m}}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{\sigma}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{E(\sigma / p)}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for any $\sigma$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, we infer that for any $N$ there exists $m=m(N)$ large enough such that on $M_{\varepsilon_{m}}$ and for any $f \in E_{N}$, we have (with $q=2 n$ and since $\|\mathrm{H}\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(n)$ )

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant C(p, N, n)\|f\|_{2}
$$

By the previous estimates, if we set

$$
L_{\varepsilon_{m}}: f \in E_{N} \mapsto \psi_{\varepsilon_{m}} f \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
\|f\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant\left\|L_{\varepsilon_{m}}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant\|f\|_{2}^{2}-\|f\|_{\infty}^{2}\left\|1-\psi_{\varepsilon_{m}}^{2}\right\|_{1} \geqslant\|f\|_{2}^{2}\left(1-C(k, l, p, N, n) \eta_{m}^{n-k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left\|d L_{\varepsilon_{m}}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} M_{\varepsilon_{m}}} \int_{M_{\varepsilon_{m}}} \right\rvert\, f d \psi_{\varepsilon_{m}} & +\left.\psi_{\varepsilon_{m}} d f\right|^{2} \\
\leqslant(1+h)\|d f\|_{2}^{2}+ & \left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} M_{\varepsilon_{m}}} \int_{M_{\varepsilon_{m}}} f^{2}\left|d \psi_{\varepsilon_{m}}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant(1+h)\|d f\|_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right) C(k, l, p, N, n)\|f\|_{2}^{2} \eta_{m}^{n-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $h>0$. We set $h=\eta_{m}^{\frac{n-k}{2}}$. For $m=m(k, l, p, N, n)$ large enough, $L_{\varepsilon_{m}}: E_{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}}\right)$ is injective and for any $f \in E_{N}$, we have

$$
\frac{\left\|d L_{\varepsilon_{m}}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\left\|L_{\varepsilon_{m}}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2}} \leqslant\left(1+C(k, l, p, N, n) \eta_{m}^{\frac{n-k}{2}}\right) \frac{\|d f\|_{2}^{2}}{\|f\|_{2}^{2}}+C(k, l, p, N, n) \eta_{m^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}
$$

By the min-max principle, we infer that for any $\sigma \leqslant N$, we have

$$
\lambda_{\sigma}\left(M_{\varepsilon_{m}}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{\sigma}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{m}, \varepsilon_{m}}\right) \leqslant\left(1+C(k, l, p, N, n) \eta_{m}^{\frac{n-k}{2}}\right) \lambda_{\sigma}\left(M_{\varepsilon_{m}}\right)+C(k, l, p, N, n) \eta_{m}^{\frac{n-k}{2}}
$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma}\left(\cup_{i} \Omega_{i, \eta_{M}, \varepsilon_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{E(\sigma / p)}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, this gives that $\lambda_{\sigma}\left(M_{\varepsilon_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{E(\sigma / p)}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for any $\sigma \leqslant N$. By diagonal extraction we get the sequence of manifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)$ of Theorem 1.4.

To construct the sequence of Theorem 1.5, we consider the sequence of embedded submanifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)$ of Theorem 1.4 for $p=2, k=n-2$ and $l=1$. Each element of the sequence admits a covering of degree $d$ given by $y \mapsto y^{d}$ in the local charts associated to the maps $\Phi$. We endow these covering with the pulled back metrics. Arguing as
above, we get that the spectrum of the new sequence converge to the spectrum of two disjoint copies of

$$
\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], d r^{2}+d^{2} \sin ^{2} r g_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}+\cos ^{2} r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}\right)
$$
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## Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 6.1

Let $(u, v, h) \in T_{x} S_{\varepsilon}$ and put $w=d\left(\Phi_{\varphi}\right)_{x}(u, v, h) \in T_{q} X_{\varphi}$ where $S_{\varepsilon}=\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{k} \times I_{\varepsilon}$. An easy computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
w & =(1+\varphi(r))((\sin r) u+(\cos r) v) \\
& +\varphi^{\prime}(r)((\sin r) y+(\cos r) z) h+(1+\varphi(r))((\cos r) y-(\sin r) z) h \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We set

$$
\tilde{N}_{q}=-\varphi^{\prime}(r)((\cos r) y-(\sin r) z)+(1+\varphi(r))((\sin r) y+(\cos r) z)
$$

and $N_{q}=\frac{\tilde{N}_{q}}{\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}$ is a unit normal vector field on $X_{\varphi}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)(w, w) & =\left\langle\nabla_{w}^{0} N, w\right\rangle=\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left\langle\nabla_{w}^{0} \tilde{N}, w\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w\left(\tilde{N}^{i}\right) \partial_{i}, w\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\partial_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w\left(\tilde{N}^{i}\right) \partial_{i}= & -\varphi^{\prime}(r)((\cos r) u-(\sin r) v)+(1+\varphi(r))((\sin r) u+(\cos r) v) \\
& -\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)((\cos r) y-(\sin r) z) h+2 \varphi^{\prime}(r)((\sin r) y+(\cos r) z) h \\
& +(1+\varphi(r))((\cos r) y-(\sin r) z) h
\end{aligned}
$$

Reporting this in (A.2) and using (A.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)((u, v, h),(u, v, h))=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}}}\left[-\varphi^{\prime}(r)(1+\varphi(r)) \sin r \cos r\left(|u|^{2}-|v|^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(1+\varphi(r))^{2}\left(\sin ^{2} r|u|^{2}+\cos ^{2} r|v|^{2}\right)-(1+\varphi(r)) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) h^{2}+2 \varphi^{\prime 2}(r) h^{2}+(1+\varphi(r))^{2} h^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\left(u_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-k-1}$ and $\left(v_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k}$ be orthonormal bases of respectively $\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}$ at $y$ and $\mathbb{S}^{k}$ at $z$. We set $g=\Phi_{\varphi}^{\star}$ can and $\xi=(0,0,1)$, then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=(1+\varphi(r))^{2} \sin ^{2} r \delta_{i j}, \quad g\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=(1+\varphi(r))^{2} \cos ^{2} r \delta_{i j}, \quad g\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=0, \\
g(\xi, \xi)=\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}, \\
g\left(u_{i}, \xi\right)=g\left(v_{j}, \xi\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now setting $\tilde{u}_{i}=d\left(\Phi_{\varphi}\right)_{x}\left(u_{i}\right), \tilde{v}_{i}=d\left(\Phi_{\varphi}\right)_{x}\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $\tilde{\xi}=d\left(\Phi_{\varphi}\right)_{x}(\xi)$, the relation above allows us to compute the trace and norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)\right|=\max \left(\max _{i} \frac{\left|\mathrm{~B}_{q}(\varphi)\left(\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right)\right|}{g\left(u_{i}, u_{i}\right)}, \max _{j} \frac{\left|\mathrm{~B}_{q}(\varphi)\left(\tilde{v}_{j}, \tilde{v}_{j}\right)\right|}{g\left(v_{j}, v_{j}\right)}, \frac{\left|\mathrm{B}_{q}(\varphi)(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi})\right|}{g(\xi, \xi)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}}} \max \left(\left|1-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{1+\varphi} \cot r\right|,\left|1+\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{1+\varphi} \tan r\right|,\left|1+\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-(1+\varphi) \varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime 2}+(1+\varphi)^{2}}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of the second fundamental form.
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