

Nonlinear Radon and Fourier Transforms

François Rouvière

Université de Nice

Laboratoire Dieudonné, UMR 7351

May 16, 2015

Abstract

In this note we explain a generalization, due to Leon Ehrenpreis, of the classical Radon transform on hyperplanes. A function f on \mathbb{R}^n can be reconstructed from nonlinear Radon transforms, obtained by integrating f and a finite number of multiples $x^\alpha f$ over a family of algebraic hypersurfaces of degree m . This follows by solving a Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Fourier transform of f . We also give an inversion formula for this Radon transform.

1 Introduction

This expository note is an attempt at explaining the pages from Ehrenpreis' treatise [5] in which he develops the nonlinear Radon and Fourier transforms he had introduced in his previous papers [1][2][3][4]. The goal is to extend the classical hyperplane Radon transform $R_0 f$ (integrals of a function f over all hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n) to a family of algebraic submanifolds defined by higher degree polynomial equations. Is the generalized transform R still injective? Can we give an inversion formula? Unfortunately it is readily seen that R is no more injective (in general): reconstructing f from Radon transforms needs more than Rf alone.

We shall explain here several results of the following type: there exists a finite number of low-degree polynomial functions a_k (with $a_1 = 1$) such that f is determined by the Radon transforms $R(a_k f)$. Besides, the restriction of the $R(a_k f)$'s to a certain subfamily of algebraic manifolds may even be sufficient, provided one increases the number of polynomials a_k .

After a brief reminder of the classical hyperplane transform (this Section) we shall introduce Ehrenpreis' nonlinear Radon transform and the related nonlinear Fourier transform, so as to get a *projection slice theorem* which plays a crucial role in this study (Section 2). The reconstruction problem boils down to a Cauchy problem for a system of partial differential equations, solved in a naive way in Section 3 then, in Section 4, by the more sophisticated tools of harmonic polynomials. In Section 5 we discuss an inversion formula for the nonlinear Radon transform.

In order to motivate the forthcoming construction, let us briefly recall a few facts about the classical Radon transform R_0 . In the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n it is given by integration of a compactly supported smooth function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over the family of

all hyperplanes. A hyperplane being defined by the equation $\omega \cdot x = t$ where ω is a unit vector, t a real number and \cdot denotes the scalar product, we consider

$$R_0 f(t, \omega) := \int_{\omega \cdot x = t} f,$$

an integral with respect to the measure induced on the hyperplane by the Euclidean measure dx of \mathbb{R}^n . Note that (t, ω) and $(-t, -\omega)$ define the same hyperplane, thus $R_0 f(t, \omega) = R_0 f(-t, -\omega)$. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau\omega \cdot x} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\omega \cdot x = t} e^{i\tau\omega \cdot x} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\tau t} R_0 f(t, \omega) dt.$$

This gives the *projection slice theorem*

$$\widehat{f}(\tau\omega) = \widehat{R_0 f}(\tau, \omega) \quad (1)$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\omega\| = 1$.

Caution: on the left-hand side of (1) the hat denotes the n -dimensional Fourier transform on x but on the right-hand side it denotes the 1-dimensional Fourier transform on t . Both sides are smooth functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, rapidly decreasing with respect to τ .

Knowing the integrals of f over all hyperplanes, i.e. $R_0 f$, the Fourier transform \widehat{f} is therefore known and R_0 is easily inverted as follows. Writing the Fourier inversion formula for f in spherical coordinates we have

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\|\omega\|=1} d\omega \int_0^\infty e^{-i\tau\omega \cdot x} \widehat{R_0 f}(\tau, \omega) \tau^{n-1} d\tau$$

where $d\omega$ is the Euclidean measure on the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n . In order to use Fourier analysis in one variable we can replace \int_0^∞ by $\int_{\mathbb{R}}$: indeed $\widehat{R_0 f}(\tau, \omega) = \widehat{R_0 f}(-\tau, -\omega)$ and, changing τ into $-\tau$ then ω into $-\omega$, we obtain

$$f(x) = C \int_{\|\omega\|=1} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\tau\omega \cdot x} \widehat{R_0 f}(\tau, \omega) |\tau|^{n-1} d\tau$$

with $C := \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-n}$. Let $F(t, \omega)$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, rapidly decreasing with respect to t , and let the operator $|\partial_t|^{n-1}$ be defined by

$$(|\partial_t|^{n-1} F)(\tau, \omega) = \widehat{F}(\tau, \omega) |\tau|^{n-1}.$$

Thus $|\partial_t|^{n-1} = (-1)^k \partial_t^{n-1}$ if $n = 2k + 1$ is odd; if n is even $|\partial_t|^{n-1}$ is the composition of ∂_t^{n-1} and a Hilbert integral operator (see Helgason [7] p. 22). We infer the following inversion formula

$$f = CR_0^* |\partial_t|^{n-1} R_0 f \quad (2)$$

where the *dual transform* R_0^* is defined by

$$R_0^* F(x) := \int_{\|\omega\|=1} F(\omega \cdot x, \omega) d\omega$$

(integration over the set of all hyperplanes containing x).

2 A Nonlinear Radon Transform

2.1 Integration on Hypersurfaces

Let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on an open subset Ω of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . A convenient way to introduce our Radon transform is to consider first, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ (a smooth function with compact support contained in Ω) and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_\varphi(t) := \int_{\varphi(x) < t} f(x) dx$$

where dx is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^n . Let m and M denote the lower and upper bounds of $\varphi(x)$ for $x \in \text{supp } f$; then $f_\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq m$ and $f_\varphi(t) = \int_\Omega f(x) dx$ for $t \geq M$.

The example $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi(x) = x^3$ gives $f_\varphi(t) = F(t^{1/3})$ with $F(u) = \int_{-\infty}^u f(x) dx$; thus f_φ is not necessarily smooth. However the following result holds true.

Proposition 1 *Assume the gradient φ' of φ never vanishes on Ω . For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, f_φ is then a smooth function on \mathbb{R} and we may define*

$$R_\varphi f(t) := (f_\varphi)'(t) = \partial_t \int_{\varphi(x) < t} f(x) dx. \quad (3)$$

- (i) $R_\varphi f$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R} and $\text{supp } R_\varphi f \subset [m, M]$.
(ii) For any $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(\varphi(x)) f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) R_\varphi f(t) dt. \quad (4)$$

- (iii) Let dS_t be the Euclidean measure on the hypersurface $S_t := \{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi(x) = t\}$. Then

$$R_\varphi f(t) = \int_{S_t} f(x) \frac{1}{\|\varphi'(x)\|} dS_t(x). \quad (5)$$

Formula (5) gives the geometrical meaning of $R_\varphi f$ as an integral of f over the level hypersurface $\varphi(x) = t$; we may write it for short as

$$R_\varphi f(t) = \int_{\varphi(x)=t} f. \quad (6)$$

According to (4) it may also be viewed as $R_\varphi f(t) = \langle \varphi^* \delta_t, f \rangle$ where $\varphi^* \delta_t$ is the pullback by φ of the Dirac measure δ_t of \mathbb{R} at t (see Friedlander [6] Section 7.2 or Hörmander [8] Section 6.1).

Proof. (i) and (iii) Given $a \in \Omega$ we have $\varphi'(a) \neq 0$ thus (for instance) $\partial_n \varphi(a) \neq 0$. By the inverse function theorem there exists an open neighborhood U of a such that the map $x = (x', x_n) \mapsto y = (x', \varphi(x))$ is a diffeomorphism of U onto $V \times I$, where $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, V is an open neighborhood of (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and I is an open interval containing $\varphi(a)$. Let $y = (y', y_n) \mapsto x = (y', \psi(y', y_n))$ denote the inverse map. Then $dy = |\partial_n \varphi(x)| dx$ and, assuming $\text{supp } f \subset U$, we have

$$f_\varphi(t) = \int_{\varphi(x) < t} f(x) dx = \int_{y_n < t} \frac{f}{|\partial_n \varphi|}(y', \psi(y', y_n)) dy' dy_n.$$

The y_n integral actually runs over $[a, b] \cap]-\infty, t[$ where $[a, b]$ is compact and contained in I . Thus f_φ is a smooth function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varphi f(t) &= (f_\varphi)'(t) = \int_V \frac{f}{|\partial_n \varphi|}(y', \psi(y', t)) dy' \text{ for } t \in I \\ &= 0 \text{ for } t \notin I \end{aligned}$$

is smooth on \mathbb{R} .

Besides, $\varphi(y', \psi(y', t)) = t$ for $y' \in V$ and $t \in I$ therefore

$$\partial_i \varphi(y', \psi(y', t)) + \partial_n \varphi(y', \psi(y', t)) \partial_i \psi(y', t) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. It follows that $\|\varphi'\| = |\partial_n \varphi| \left(1 + \sum_1^{n-1} (\partial_i \psi)^2\right)^{1/2}$ and, for $t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\varphi f(t) &= \int_V \frac{f}{\|\varphi'\|}(y', \psi(y', t)) \left(1 + \sum_1^{n-1} (\partial_i \psi(y', t))^2\right)^{1/2} dy' \\ &= \int_{S_t} \frac{f}{\|\varphi'\|}(x) dS_t(x), \end{aligned}$$

the hypersurface integral being computed by means of the parameters y' . The latter equality also holds for $t \notin I$ (both sides vanish) and this proves (i) and (iii) for $\text{supp } f \subset U$. The general case follows by partition of unity.

(ii) Since $\text{supp } R_\varphi f \subset [m, M]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) R_\varphi f(t) dt &= \int_m^M u(t) (f_\varphi)'(t) dt = [u(t) f_\varphi(t)]_m^M - \int_m^M u'(t) f_\varphi(t) dt \\ &= u(M) \int_\Omega f(x) dx - \int_{\varphi(x) < t < M} u'(t) f(x) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral is

$$\int_\Omega f(x) dx \int_{\varphi(x)}^M u'(t) dt = \int_\Omega f(x) (u(M) - u(\varphi(x))) dx$$

and (4) follows. ■

2.2 Nonlinear Radon and Fourier Transforms

We now wish to extend the classical Radon transform of Section 1, replacing the hyperplanes $\omega \cdot x = t$ by level hypersurfaces of homogeneous polynomials of given degree $m \geq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n . We write such polynomials as

$$\lambda \cdot p(x) := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha x^\alpha$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and, in multi-index notation, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| = \sum_1^n \alpha_i$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is easily checked that the number of terms in $\sum_{|\alpha|=m}$ is the binomial coefficient $N = N(m, n) = \frac{(m+n-1)!}{m!(n-1)!}$. Indeed let us consider

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-tx_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n (1+tx_j+t^2x_j^2+\dots).$$

Expanding the product we see that the coefficient of t^m is $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} x^\alpha$, therefore equals $N(m, n)$ when all x_i 's are 1. Thus $N(m, n)$ is the coefficient of t^m in the expansion of $(1-t)^{-n}$ and the result follows. Note that $N > n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda \neq 0$, and $\Omega := \{x | \lambda \cdot p(x) \neq 0\}$. By Euler's identity for the homogeneous function $\varphi(x) = \lambda \cdot p(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^n the gradient φ' does not vanish on Ω . The level surface $\lambda \cdot p(x) = t$ is thus a smooth hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^n for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \neq 0$. The **nonlinear Radon transform** of a test function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is then defined, in the notation of (6), by

$$Rf(t, \lambda) := R_\varphi f(t) = \int_{\lambda \cdot p(x)=t} f. \quad (7)$$

For $m = 1$ we have $N = n$ and R is the classical hyperplane Radon transform R_0 .

Properties of R .

(i) By Proposition 1, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, $Rf(\cdot, \lambda)$ is a compactly supported smooth function of t on \mathbb{R} . By (4)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda \cdot p(x), \lambda) f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t, \lambda) Rf(t, \lambda) dt$$

for $\lambda \neq 0$ and any F continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$. In particular, for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau \lambda \cdot p(x)} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\tau t} Rf(t, \lambda) dt = \widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) = \widehat{Rf}(1, \tau \lambda) \quad (8)$$

is the one-dimensional Fourier transform of Rf with respect to the variable t . This extends the *projection slice theorem* (1).

(ii) The left-hand side of (8) is well-defined for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (without assuming $\text{supp } f \subset \Omega$), and extends to an entire function of (τ, λ) on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^N$. This suggests defining $\widehat{Rf}(\tau, 0) = \int f$, that is $Rf(t, 0) = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx) \delta(t)$ where δ is the Dirac measure at the origin of \mathbb{R} .

Actually, the restrictive assumptions $\text{supp } f \subset \Omega$, $t \neq 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$ may be left out in the sequel, as we shall work with \widehat{Rf} rather than Rf .

(iii) From (8) it follows that

$$\partial_{\lambda_\alpha} \widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) = i\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau \lambda \cdot p(x)} x^\alpha f(x) dx = i\tau \widehat{R(x^\alpha f)}(\tau, \lambda), \quad (9)$$

therefore

$$\partial_{\lambda_\alpha} Rf(t, \lambda) = -\partial_t R(x^\alpha f)(t, \lambda) \quad (10)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| = m$.

(iv) Note that, for m even, $Rf = 0$ whenever f is an odd function: R is not an injective map and, in this case, f cannot be reconstructed from Rf alone. We shall see in the next sections how to circumvent this difficulty.

Let us introduce the **nonlinear Fourier transform of f** defined, for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi \cdot x + \lambda \cdot p(x))} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (11)$$

It extends to an entire function of $(\xi, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^N$. As a function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$ it is bounded by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx$ and, for fixed λ , it is rapidly decreasing with respect to ξ .

On the one hand $\tilde{f}(\xi, 0) = \widehat{f}(\xi)$ is the classical n -dimensional Fourier transform of f ; on the other hand $\tilde{f}(0, \tau\lambda) = \widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda)$ is the 1-dimensional Fourier transform of Rf :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ \tilde{f}(\xi, 0) = \widehat{f}(\xi) & & \tilde{f}(0, \lambda) = \widehat{Rf}(1, \lambda). \end{array}$$

Reconstructing $\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda)$ from $\tilde{f}(0, \lambda)$ would therefore allow to reconstruct f from Rf . For this we shall consider partial differential equations satisfied by \tilde{f} .

2.3 Partial Differential Equations

Taking derivatives of (11) under the integral sign we get, for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| = m$,

$$\partial_{\xi_j} \tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) = i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi \cdot x + \lambda \cdot p(x))} x_j f(x) dx = i \widetilde{(x_j f)}(\xi, \lambda) \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_{\lambda_\alpha} \tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) = i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(\xi \cdot x + \lambda \cdot p(x))} x^\alpha f(x) dx = i \widetilde{(x^\alpha f)}(\xi, \lambda). \quad (13)$$

Thus \tilde{f} satisfies the system of N linear partial differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\boxed{i^{m-1} \partial_{\lambda_\alpha} \tilde{f} = \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{f}} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| = m. \quad (14)$$

For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ of length m such that $x^\alpha x^\beta = x^\gamma x^\delta$ we infer that, as a function of λ , \tilde{f} satisfies the *Plücker equations*

$$(\partial_{\lambda_\alpha} \partial_{\lambda_\beta} - \partial_{\lambda_\gamma} \partial_{\lambda_\delta}) \tilde{f} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Given α, β , all such multi-indices γ, δ are obtained as $\gamma = \alpha - \varepsilon$, $\delta = \beta + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies $-\beta_j \leq \varepsilon_j \leq \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_1^n \varepsilon_j = 0$.

Example. For $m = n = 2$ we have $\lambda \cdot p(x) = \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_1 x_2$ (here $N = 3$) and

$$i \partial_{\lambda_1} \tilde{f} = \partial_{\xi_1}^2 \tilde{f}, \quad i \partial_{\lambda_2} \tilde{f} = \partial_{\xi_2}^2 \tilde{f}, \quad i \partial_{\lambda_3} \tilde{f} = \partial_{\xi_1} \partial_{\xi_2} \tilde{f}.$$

The identity $(x_1 x_2)^2 = x_1^2 x_2^2$ leads to the hyperbolic equation $\partial_{\lambda_3}^2 \tilde{f} = \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} \tilde{f}$.

3 A Cauchy Problem

Given $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ let us now try to reconstruct $\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda)$ from $\tilde{f}(0, \lambda) = \widehat{Rf}(1, \lambda)$ by solving a Cauchy problem for the system (14) with data on $\xi = 0$. In order to achieve this goal we shall of course need more than $\widehat{Rf}(1, \lambda)$: let us recall that $\tilde{f}(0, \lambda) = 0$ for m even and f odd, though \tilde{f} may be not identically zero. It should be noted that $\tilde{f}(0, \lambda)$ satisfies the Plücker equations (15), but this fact will not be taken into account here (see Remark below however).

Since \tilde{f} is an entire function we have

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \tilde{f}(0, \lambda) \frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{\alpha!},$$

an absolutely convergent series for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

To work it out we shall only need the derivatives $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \tilde{f}(0, \lambda)$ for $|\alpha| < m$; the higher order derivatives will be given by (14). More precisely, $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \tilde{f} = i^{|\alpha|} \widetilde{x^{\alpha} f}$ for all α by (12), and equals $i^{m-1} \partial_{\lambda_{\alpha}} \tilde{f}$ by (14) if $|\alpha| = m$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we may write $|\alpha| = qm + r$ with $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq r < m$, and factorize ∂_{ξ}^{α} as

$$\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} = \partial_{\xi}^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi}^{\beta_q} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma}$$

with $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\beta_1| = \cdots = |\beta_q| = m$ and $|\gamma| = r$; this factorization is not unique. It follows that

$$\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \tilde{f} = i^{|\alpha|-q} \partial_{\lambda_{\beta_1}} \cdots \partial_{\lambda_{\beta_q}} (\widetilde{x^{\gamma} f})$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} i^{|\alpha|-q} \partial_{\lambda_{\beta_1}} \cdots \partial_{\lambda_{\beta_q}} (\widetilde{x^{\gamma} f})(0, \lambda) \frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}$$

(with $q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \gamma$ depending on α in the sum).

Remembering $(\widetilde{x^{\gamma} f})(0, \lambda) = \widehat{R(x^{\gamma} f)}(1, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, we see that \tilde{f} is determined by the nonlinear Radon transforms of all functions $x^{\gamma} f$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\gamma| < m$. Their number is $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} N(k, n) = N(m-1, n+1) = \frac{m}{n} N(m, n)$ (induction on m). In particular if $R(x^{\gamma} f) = 0$ for all γ with $|\gamma| < m$, then $f = 0$.

Example. For $m = n = 2$ (Section 2.3), $\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\xi_2}^{\alpha_2}$ factorizes as powers of $\partial_{\xi_1}^2$ and $\partial_{\xi_2}^2$, possibly composed with ∂_{ξ_1} or ∂_{ξ_2} or $\partial_{\xi_1} \partial_{\xi_2}$ according to the parity of α_1 and α_2 . Gathering together similar terms the above result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda) = & C(D_1)C(D_2)\tilde{f} + S(D_1)S(D_2)D_3\tilde{f} + \\ & + i\xi_1 S(D_1)C(D_2)(\widetilde{x_1 f}) + i\xi_2 C(D_1)S(D_2)(\widetilde{x_2 f}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= i\xi_1^2 \partial_{\lambda_1}, \quad D_2 = i\xi_2^2 \partial_{\lambda_2}, \quad D_3 = i\xi_1 \xi_2 \partial_{\lambda_3} \\ C(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(2k)!}, \quad S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(2k+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

and, in the right-hand side of (16), \widetilde{f} , $\widetilde{(x_1 f)}$, $\widetilde{(x_2 f)}$ are evaluated at $(0, \lambda)$. Thus the knowledge of the three Radon transforms Rf , $R(x_1 f)$ and $R(x_2 f)$ determines \widetilde{f} .

Remark. The Plücker equations (15), here $\partial_{\lambda_3}^2 \widetilde{f} = \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} \widetilde{f}$, haven't been taken into account. They imply $\partial_{\lambda_3}^{2k} \widetilde{f} = (\partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2})^k \widetilde{f}$, $\partial_{\lambda_3}^{2k+1} \widetilde{f} = (\partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2})^k \partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_{\lambda_3}^k \widetilde{f}(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0) \frac{\lambda_3^k}{k!} \\ &= C(E) \widetilde{f}(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0) + \lambda_3 S(E) (\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f})(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $E = \lambda_3^2 \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2}$, and similarly

$$\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f}(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \lambda_3 \partial_{\lambda_1} \partial_{\lambda_2} S(E) \widetilde{f}(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0) + C(E) (\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f})(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0). \quad (18)$$

Combining (16) (17) and (18) it follows that \widetilde{f} can be reconstructed from \widetilde{f} , $\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f}$, $\widetilde{(x_1 f)}$, $\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{(x_1 f)}$, $\widetilde{(x_2 f)}$ and $\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{(x_2 f)}$ at $(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)$ only.

Remembering (13) $\partial_{\lambda_3} \widetilde{f} = i(x_1 x_2 f)$, these 6 functions can be replaced by \widetilde{f} , $\widetilde{(x_1 f)}$, $\widetilde{(x_2 f)}$, $\widetilde{(x_1 x_2 f)}$, $\widetilde{(x_1^2 x_2 f)}$ and $\widetilde{(x_1 x_2^2 f)}$, that is \widehat{Rf} , $\widehat{R(x_1 f)}$, ..., $\widehat{R(x_1 x_2^2 f)}$ evaluated at $(1; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0)$. In other words the integrals of f , $x_1 f$, ..., $x_1 x_2^2 f$ over the conics $\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 = t$ will determine f . A stronger (and more general) result is given in the next section.

4 Harmonic Polynomials and the Cauchy Problem

Two chapters of [5] are devoted to a general theory of harmonic polynomials which, when applied to nonlinear Radon transforms, leads to a refined version of the results of Section 3. We shall only present here a simplified approach to the harmonic polynomials relevant to our problem.

Notation. All polynomials considered here have complex coefficients. Let us order the N monomials $(x^\alpha)_{|\alpha|=m}$ as x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m first, then $(x^\beta)_{\beta \in B}$ where B is the set of the $N - n$ remaining multi-indices of length m . In accordance with this we replace our previous notation $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{|\alpha|=m} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mu = (\mu_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$; the former $\sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha x^\alpha$ is replaced by $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^m + \sum_{\beta \in B} \mu_\beta x^\beta$. Let $(x, p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ denote dual variables to (ξ, λ, μ) , with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q = (q_\beta)_{\beta \in B} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$.

In this new notation the partial differential equations (14) become

$$(-i\partial_{\xi_j})^m \widetilde{f} = -i\partial_{\lambda_j} \widetilde{f}, \quad (-i\partial_\xi)^\beta \widetilde{f} = -i\partial_{\mu_\beta} \widetilde{f} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \mu \in B. \quad (19)$$

They are dual to

$$x_j^m F = p_j F, \quad (x^\beta - q_\beta) F = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \mu \in B, \quad (20)$$

where F is the tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^{n+N} corresponding to \widetilde{f} via the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^{n+N} (being smooth and bounded, \widetilde{f} is tempered on \mathbb{R}^{n+N}).

Let us introduce the following N polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n} = \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$u_j(x, q) := x_j^m, \quad u_\beta(x, q) := x^\beta - q_\beta \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \beta \in B. \quad (21)$$

The system (20) implies that the support of F is contained in the closed set V of \mathbb{R}^{n+N} defined by the N equations

$$V = \{(x, p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n} \mid u_j(x, q) = p_j, u_\beta(x, q) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n, \beta \in B\}.$$

Being the graph of a map $x \mapsto (p, q)$, V is a n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+N} .

Definition 2 A polynomial function $h(x, q)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ is called **harmonic** if

$$u_j(\partial_x, \partial_q)h = 0, \quad u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q)h = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \beta \in B.$$

It is called **homogeneous of degree d** if $h(tx, t^m q) = t^d h(x, q)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (thus each x_j has degree 1 and each q_β has degree m).

Proposition 3 Let $D := \sum_{\beta \in B} q_\beta \partial_x^\beta$. Then $u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) = -e^D \circ \partial_{q_\beta} \circ e^{-D}$. The space of harmonic polynomials is m^n -dimensional. Its elements are given by

$$h = e^D f$$

where f is an arbitrary polynomial of the following form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \text{ with } 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq m-1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Besides $h = e^D f$ is homogeneous of degree d (in the sense of Definition 4) if and only if f is homogeneous of degree d .

Proof. Since $u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) = \partial_x^\beta - \partial_{q_\beta}$ we have $[D, u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q)] = \partial_x^\beta$ and $[D, \partial_x^\beta] = 0$, thus $(\text{ad } D)^2 u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-D} u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) e^D &= e^{-\text{ad } D} u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) = (1 - \text{ad } D) u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) \\ &= u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) - \partial_x^\beta = -\partial_{q_\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

[This proof may also be written without any Lie formalism, by computing the derivative with respect to t of $e^{-tD} u_\beta(\partial_x, \partial_q) e^{tD}$.]

Since e^D is a linear isomorphism of the space of polynomials onto itself, a polynomial $h(x, q)$ is harmonic if and only if

$$\partial_{x_j}^m h = 0, \quad \partial_{q_\beta} (e^{-D} h) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \beta \in B.$$

The latter equations imply $h = e^D f$ for some polynomial f in the x variables. Since $[D, \partial_{x_j}^m] = 0$ the former equations imply $\partial_{x_j}^m f = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ whence our claim about f .

The operator D preserves homogeneity in (x, q) and the last statement follows. ■

Examples. Let us write down, as an example, a basis of homogeneous harmonic polynomials for $n = 2$ and $m = 4$. Here $N = 5$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ with $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 3$, $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 4$, $q = (q_{13}, q_{22}, q_{31})$ and $D = \sum q_{\beta_1 \beta_2} \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\beta_2}$. The 16 monomials $f(x) = x_1^a x_2^b$, $0 \leq a \leq 3$, $0 \leq b \leq 3$, make up a basis of the relevant polynomials f . Since the degree of f is 6 at most we have $D^2 f = 0$ and the 16 corresponding harmonic polynomials are $h = f + Df$, that is¹

$$\begin{aligned} & 1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^2, x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^3, \\ & x_1^3 x_2 + 6q_{31}, x_1^2 x_2^2 + 4q_{22}, x_1 x_2^3 + 6q_{13}, \\ & x_1^3 x_2^2 + 12q_{22} x_1 + 12q_{31} x_2, x_1^2 x_2^3 + 12q_{13} x_1 + 12q_{22} x_2, \\ & x_1^3 x_2^3 + 18q_{13} x_1^2 + 36q_{22} x_1 x_2 + 18q_{31} x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = n = 2$ (already considered) we have $N = 3$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding basis of harmonic polynomials is

$$1, x_1, x_2, x_1 x_2 + q.$$

More generally, let A denote the set of all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq m - 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. By Proposition 5 the $h_\alpha := e^D x^\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, make up a basis of the space of harmonic polynomials.

Proposition 4 *For any polynomial $P(x, q)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ there exists a family of m^n polynomials Q_α , $\alpha \in A$, on \mathbb{R}^N such that*

$$P(x, q) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha(u_1(x, q), \dots, u_N(x, q)) h_\alpha(x, q),$$

where u_1, \dots, u_N denote the polynomials defined by (21).

Proof. Let $\langle a, b \rangle = a(\partial) \bar{b}(0)$ be the Fischer inner product on the space of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$. Then h is harmonic if and only if $u_k(\partial_x, \partial_q) \bar{h} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$, i.e. $\langle a u_k, h \rangle = 0$ for all polynomials a . The space of harmonic polynomials is thus the orthogonal complement of the ideal $\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N a_k(x, q) u_k(x, q) \right\}$ generated by the u_k 's (where the a_k 's are arbitrary polynomials).

A given $P(x, q)$ now has a unique decomposition as

$$P = h + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k u_k$$

with h harmonic. Separating homogeneous components we may assume P is homogeneous of degree d (in the sense of Definition 2). Since u_k is homogeneous, each homogeneous component of a harmonic polynomial is harmonic. We may therefore assume h and all $a_k u_k$ homogeneous of degree d , therefore a_k is homogeneous of degree $d - m$. Writing similar decompositions for each a_k the result easily follows. ■

¹Cf. [5] p. 312, where the coefficients 16 should be replaced, I think, by 18.

Example. For $m = n = 2$ the generators and harmonic polynomials are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= x_1^2, u_2 = x_2^2, u_3 = x_1x_2 - q \\ h_0 &= 1, h_1 = x_1, h_2 = x_2, h_3 = x_1x_2 + q \end{aligned}$$

and the first non-trivial examples of decomposition in Proposition 4 are:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1x_2 &= u_3h_0 + h_3, 2q = -u_3h_0 + h_3 \\ x_1q &= -u_3h_1 + u_1h_2, x_2q = -u_3h_2 + u_2h_1 \\ q^2 &= u_1u_2h_0 - u_3h_3, 2x_1x_2q = (2u_1u_2 - u_3^2)h_0 - u_3h_3. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x_j by $-i\partial_{\xi_j}$ and q_β by $-i\partial_{\mu_\beta}$ we infer from Proposition 4 an equality of differential operators. Applying them to \tilde{f} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\tilde{f} &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha \left((-i\partial_{\xi_j})^m, (-i\partial_\xi)^\beta - (-i\partial_{\mu_\beta}) \right) h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\tilde{f} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha(-i\partial_\lambda, 0) h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\tilde{f} \end{aligned}$$

in view of (19) and the commutativity of differential operators. In particular all derivatives $\partial_\xi^\rho \partial_\mu^\sigma \tilde{f}$ may be written in this form with polynomials Q_α depending on ρ, σ whence, by Taylor's formula on the variables (ξ, μ) ,

$$\tilde{f}(\xi, \lambda, \mu) = \sum Q_{\alpha\rho\sigma}(-i\partial_\lambda, 0) h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu) \tilde{f}(0, \lambda, 0) \frac{\xi^\rho}{\rho!} \frac{\mu^\sigma}{\sigma!} \quad (22)$$

where \sum runs over all $\rho \in \mathbb{N}^n, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{N-n}$ and $\alpha \in A$. Remembering (12)(13) $-i\partial_{\xi_j}\tilde{f} = \widetilde{x_j f}, -i\partial_{\mu_\beta}\tilde{f} = \widetilde{x^\beta f}$ we have $h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\tilde{f} = (h_\alpha(x, q)f)^\sim$ with $q_\beta = x^\beta$ for $\beta \in B$.

Lemma 5 For all α there exists a positive integer C_α such that, when replacing each q_β by x^β for $\beta \in B$,

$$h_\alpha(x, q) = h_\alpha(x, (x^\beta)_{\beta \in B}) = C_\alpha x^\alpha.$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Dx^\alpha &= \sum_{\beta \in B} q_\beta \partial_x^\beta x^\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in B} \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - \beta)!} q_\beta x^{\alpha - \beta} \\ D^2x^\alpha &= \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in B} \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - \beta - \gamma)!} q_\beta q_\gamma x^{\alpha - \beta - \gamma} \end{aligned}$$

etc (the coefficients being 0 unless $\beta \leq \alpha$, resp. $\beta + \gamma \leq \alpha$). When replacing q_β by x^β, q_γ by x^γ etc, the polynomials Dx^α, D^2x^α etc thus become x^α times a positive integer coefficient. The same holds for $h_\alpha = e^D x^\alpha$, whence the lemma. ■

Going back to (22) we have $h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\widetilde{f} = C_\alpha \widetilde{x^\alpha f}$ and we conclude that, for $(\xi, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$,

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi, \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\rho, \sigma, \alpha} C_\alpha Q_{\alpha\rho\sigma}(-i\partial_\lambda, 0)(\widetilde{x^\alpha f})(0, \lambda, 0) \frac{\xi^\rho \mu^\sigma}{\rho! \sigma!}.$$

Therefore the restriction to all $(0, \lambda, 0)$ of the m^n functions $\widetilde{x^\alpha f}$, $\alpha \in A$, determines f . In other words, the Cauchy problem for (19) is well-posed with the Cauchy data $h_\alpha(-i\partial_\xi, -i\partial_\mu)\widetilde{f} = C_\alpha \widetilde{x^\alpha f}$ on the n -plane of \mathbb{R}^{n+N} defined by $\xi = \mu = 0$.

In terms of Radon transforms we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6 *A function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniquely determined by the m^n nonlinear Radon transforms $R(x^\alpha f)(t, \lambda, 0)$ (with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $0 \leq \alpha_j < m$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$), that is by the integrals of each $x^\alpha f$ on the hypersurfaces*

$$\lambda_1 x_1^m + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n^m = t.$$

5 Inversion Formulas

Let us now look for an inversion formula for the nonlinear Radon transform. The nonlinear Fourier transform \widetilde{f} is greatly overdetermined, with $n + N$ variables (ξ, λ) instead of n for f . As in Section 3 we shall restrict \widetilde{f} to $\xi = 0$ and, assuming the monomials x^α are ordered as x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m first, followed by the other x^β 's, it turns out that (as in the final remark of Section 3) we can also restrict to $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, 0, \dots, 0)$, written as $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for short. Then

$$\widetilde{f}(0, \tau\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau \sum_1^n \lambda_j x_j^m} f(x) dx = \widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) \text{ with } \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (23)$$

5.1 First Case: m odd

Let U denote the dense open subset of \mathbb{R}^n defined by $x_j \neq 0$ for all j . For m odd the map $\psi : x \mapsto y = x^m := (x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m)$ is a diffeomorphism of U onto itself. Then

$$\widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau \lambda \cdot y} g(y) dy = \widehat{g}(\tau\lambda) \quad (24)$$

with $\lambda \cdot y = \sum_1^n \lambda_j y_j$ and

$$g(y) := m^{-n} |y_1 \cdots y_n|^{(1/m)-1} f(y^{1/m}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As above \widehat{g} denotes the classical n -dimensional Fourier transform and \widehat{Rf} is the 1-dimensional Fourier transform with respect to t .

The change $x \mapsto y$ thus reduces the nonlinear Radon transform R to the linear one considered in the introduction: $Rf(t, \lambda) = R_0 g(t, \lambda)$. But g is not necessarily smooth, $\widehat{g}(\lambda) = \widehat{Rf}(1, \lambda)$ is not necessarily rapidly decreasing and the inversion formula (2) may become invalid here. However g is integrable on \mathbb{R}^n and vanishes outside a compact set, therefore defines a tempered distribution. Denoting by \mathcal{F} the

inverse Fourier transform for tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^n we have $g = \mathcal{F}\widehat{g}$ hence, for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U f(x)u(x^m)dx &= \int_U g(y)u(y)dy = \langle \mathcal{F}\widehat{g}(y), u(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle (\psi^* \mathcal{F}\widehat{g})(x), |\det \psi'(x)|u(\psi(x)) \rangle \\ &= \langle m^n(x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1} (\psi^* \mathcal{F}\widehat{g})(x), u(x^m) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using the pullback by ψ of the distribution $\mathcal{F}\widehat{g}$ on U (cf. [6] p. 80). The absolute value may be skipped here since $m - 1$ is even and $\det \psi' > 0$. Therefore, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$f(x) = m^n(x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1}(\psi^* \mathcal{F}\widehat{Rf}(1, \cdot))(x), \quad (25)$$

an equality of distributions on U .

5.2 Second Case: m even

The above map $\psi : x \mapsto y$ is no more a bijection: given y with all $y_j > 0$, the equations $y = x^m$ now have 2^n solutions $x = (\pm y_1^{1/m}, \dots, \pm y_n^{1/m})$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write $xy := (x_1y_1, \dots, x_ny_n)$. Let $E := \{1, -1\}^n$ denote the set of all $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ with $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ and

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Viewing the integral (23) as a sum of integrals over the quadrants $\varepsilon\mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\varepsilon \in E$, we obtain, by the change of variables $x \mapsto y$ with $x_j = \varepsilon_j y_j^{1/m}$, $y_j > 0$, on $\varepsilon\mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$\widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) = \widetilde{f}(0, \tau\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} e^{i\tau\lambda \cdot y} g(y) dy$$

with $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and, for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$g(y) := m^{-n} (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{(1/m)-1} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} f(\varepsilon y^{1/m}).$$

Let H denote the Heaviside function $H(y) = 1$ if $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $H(y) = 0$ otherwise. Equation (24) is now replaced by

$$\widehat{Rf}(\tau, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\tau\lambda \cdot y} H(y) g(y) dy = \widehat{Hg}(\tau\lambda).$$

Again Hg is integrable and vanishes outside a compact set, hence tempered on \mathbb{R}^n , and as above the Fourier inversion $Hg = \mathcal{F}\widehat{Hg}$ implies the following equality of distributions on \mathbb{R}_+^n

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} f(\varepsilon x) = m^n (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1} (\psi^* \mathcal{F}\widehat{Rf}(1, \cdot))(x). \quad (26)$$

This gives f if its support is contained in some quadrant $\varepsilon\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Otherwise we must separate the components $f(\varepsilon x)$, which can be achieved by replacing f with $x^\alpha f$ for suitably chosen α 's as follows.

With each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in E$ we associate the monomial

$$p_\varepsilon(x) := x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ is the (ordered) set of indices i such that $\varepsilon_i = -1$; for instance, $n = 4$ and $\varepsilon = (-1, 1, -1, 1)$ yield $p_\varepsilon(x) = x_1 x_3$. The map $\varepsilon \mapsto p_\varepsilon$ is a bijection of E onto the set of divisors of $x_1 \cdots x_n$.

Let $\varepsilon, \eta \in E$. A minus sign occurs in $p_\varepsilon(\eta x) = p_\varepsilon(\eta_1 x_1, \dots, \eta_n x_n)$ each time there is a factor x_i , that is $\varepsilon_i = -1$, and the corresponding η_i is -1 . Therefore

$$p_\varepsilon(\eta x) = a_{\varepsilon, \eta} p_\varepsilon(x) \text{ with } a_{\varepsilon, \eta} := (-1)^{k(\varepsilon, \eta)}, \quad (27)$$

where $k(\varepsilon, \eta)$ denotes the number of indices i such that $\varepsilon_i = \eta_i = -1$.

Example. For $n = 2$ the matrix $(a_{\varepsilon, \eta})$ is given by the table:

p_ε	1	x_1	x_2	$x_1 x_2$
ε	++	-+	+-	--
η				
++	1	1	1	1
-+	1	-1	1	-1
+-	1	1	-1	-1
--	1	-1	-1	1

Our inversion formula for R will be inferred from the following combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 7 *The set $E = \{1, -1\}^n$ being provided with some ordering, the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix $A = (a_{\varepsilon, \eta})_{\varepsilon, \eta \in E}$ is symmetric and $A^2 = 2^n I$ (where I is the unit matrix).*

Proof. The symmetry is clear by the definition of $k(\varepsilon, \eta)$.

For $\varepsilon, \eta, \zeta \in E$ we have $k(\varepsilon, \eta\zeta) = k(\varepsilon, \eta) + k(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ since $\varepsilon_i = \eta_i \zeta_i = -1$ is equivalent to $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and $\eta_i = -1, \zeta_i = 1$ or (exclusive or) $\varepsilon_i = -1$ and $\eta_i = 1, \zeta_i = -1$. Therefore

$$a_{\varepsilon, \eta} a_{\varepsilon, \zeta} = a_{\varepsilon, \eta\zeta}. \quad (28)$$

Besides, for fixed $\eta \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \eta_i x_i) &= 1 + \sum_i \eta_i x_i + \sum_{i < j} \eta_i \eta_j x_i x_j + \cdots + \eta_1 \cdots \eta_n x_1 \cdots x_n \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} p_\varepsilon(\eta x) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} p_\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 1$ this gives the sum of elements in each column (or row) of A :

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \eta_i) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } \eta = (1, \dots, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now (28) implies

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in E} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} a_{\varepsilon, \zeta} = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } \eta\zeta = (1, \dots, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But $\eta\zeta = (1, \dots, 1)$ is equivalent to $\eta_i = \zeta_i$ for all i , that is $\eta = \zeta$. Remembering the symmetry of A , we infer that $A^2 = 2^n I$. ■

Let us consider $Sf(x) := \sum_{\eta \in E} f(\eta x)$. Replacing f by $p_\varepsilon f$ we obtain, in view of (27),

$$S(p_\varepsilon f)(x) = \sum_{\eta \in E} (p_\varepsilon f)(\eta x) = p_\varepsilon(x) \sum_{\eta} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} f(\eta x),$$

which can be inverted by $A^{-1} = 2^{-n} A$ (Lemma 7) as

$$f(\eta x) = 2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} p_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} S(p_\varepsilon f)(x)$$

for each $\eta \in E$. By (26) applied to each $p_\varepsilon f$ we have

$$S(p_\varepsilon f)(x) = m^n (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1} \psi^*(\widehat{\mathcal{F}R p_\varepsilon f}(1, \cdot))(x)$$

on \mathbb{R}_+^n and the latter equations show that f can be reconstructed in each quadrant of \mathbb{R}^n from the 2^n nonlinear Radon transforms $Rf, R(x_i f), R(x_i x_j f), \dots, R(x_1 \cdots x_n f)$.

Summarizing we have proved the following theorem. Let us recall our notation: $\widehat{Rf} = \widehat{Rf}(1, \lambda)$ is given by (23) with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \mathcal{F} is the inverse Fourier transform of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^n , ψ^* is the pullback of distributions by $\psi(x) = (x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m)$, $E = \{1, -1\}^n$ and $p_\varepsilon, a_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ are defined before Lemma 7.

Theorem 8 *The nonlinear Radon transform (7) is inverted by the following formulas, where $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(i) if m is odd

$$f(x) = m^n (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1} (\psi^* \widehat{\mathcal{F}Rf})(x)$$

(equality of distributions on the open set $x_1 \neq 0, \dots, x_n \neq 0$);

(ii) if m is even: for $\eta \in E$,

$$f(\eta x) = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in E} a_{\varepsilon, \eta} p_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m-1} (\psi^* \widehat{\mathcal{F}R p_\varepsilon f})(x)$$

(equality of distributions on the open set $x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0$).

References

- [1] Ehrenpreis, Leon, *The Radon Transform and Tensor Products*, Contemp. Math. 113 (1990), 57-63.
- [2] Ehrenpreis, Leon, *Nonlinear Fourier Transform*, Contemp. Math. 140 (1992), 39-48.

- [3] Ehrenpreis, Leon, *Parametric and Non Parametric Radon Transform*, in *75 Years of Radon Transform*, International Press 1994.
- [4] Ehrenpreis, Leon, *Some Nonlinear Aspects of the Radon Transform*, Lectures in Applied Math. 30 (1994), 69-81.
- [5] Ehrenpreis, Leon, *The Universality of the Radon Transform*, Oxford University Press 2003.
- [6] Friedlander, F., *Introduction to the Theory of Distributions*, Cambridge University Press 1982.
- [7] Helgason, Sigurdur, *Integral Geometry and Radon transforms*, Springer 2011.
- [8] Hörmander, Lars, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag 1983.