

# Teaching proofs for freshmen with Coqweb

Blanc, Giacometti, Hirschowitz, Pottier

PATE 07

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Teachers, students, logicians and proofs
- 3 Which notion of proof?
- 4 Some strong points of Coqweb

# First-rate proof assistants

## allow highly respectable proofs

- FTA
- Four color theorem
- Jordan curve theorem
- Lambda-Calculus = initial exponential monad

## but require

- a logic-oriented way of thinking
- days (weeks?) of training

hence are not (yet?) convenient for freshmen

.

# Coqweb

## is an free-software interface

- designed for teaching
- Coq-based
- web-based
- developed in ocaml and php
- by Loïc Pottier and Co.

# Main features of Coqweb

- a language of statements  
fairly close to the standard mathematical language  
(thus full of ambiguities)
- a language of proof (tactics)  
fairly close to the standard mathematical practice
- proofs are (essentially) performed by clicking
- it can be coupled with Wims, hence
- teachers can include Coqweb exercises  
into their Wims class sheets.

# Coupling Coqweb with Wims

## has been experimented

- for three years
- at the university of Nice-Sophia-Antipolis
- with the regular freshmen  
(in mathematics and computer sciences)
- via a small amount of proving activity.

# WikiCoqweb

## is an additional wiki where

- (expert) teachers may enter
  - mathematical statements in a declarative style
  - exercises
  - using Latex
- students may
  - read courses
  - try exercises (without feedback)

# The design of Coqweb

## aims at

- being **accepted** by typical students
- being **accepted** and **used** by typical teachers who may incidentally add new exercises
- being **extended** by expert teachers who may add new theories.

## Accordingly Coqweb offers two modes

- the **student mode**, where students (or teachers)
  - **read** theories
  - **solve** exercises
- the **teacher mode**, where teachers
  - **write** theories
  - **add** exercises.

with focus on the student mode.

# Plan of the talk

- 1 firstly, we review the position of teachers, students and logicians with respect to proofs
- 2 secondly, we then discuss what can be a good notion of proof for students
- 3 thirdly, we stress some strong points of Coqweb and finish with a small demo.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Teachers, students, logicians and proofs**
- 3 Which notion of proof?
- 4 Some strong points of Coqweb

# Teachers in maths

## many of them at least

- do not know logic
- do not understand set theory
- are satisfied with their very vague notion of proof
- teach proofs by the method of **Leo Lacroix**

## because

- they were not taught (logic and proofs)
- the method of Leo Lacroix was successful with them
- no other method is available
- huge syllabi leave no room for teaching proofs

# Students in maths

## too many of them at least

- do not learn rigor
- quit without degree  
(not only because of proofs: see objectif70)

## while

"In order to learn a complex process such as proof and disproof, effective integration of new modes of thought with pre-existing contradictory modes is a major undertaking. It is not surprising that easy solutions have not yet been discovered" (Susanna Epp 2003).

# Cocorico

## Claim:

A precise notion of proof is a crucial ingredient when trying to teach proofs for freshmen.

## Question:

what are doing logicians in order to fill the gap between the abstract notions of proof and the daily activity of mathematicians?

## Answer:

this task is essentially handled by the theorem-prover community, in particular the Coq team.

## Interlude

1. e4 c5 2. N f3 d6 3. B b5+ B d7 4. B xd7+ Q xd7 5. c4  
N c6 6. N c3 N f6 7. O -O g6 8. d4 cxd4 9. N xd4 B g7  
10. N 4e2 Q e6 11. N d5 Q xe4 12. N c7+ K d7 13. N xa8  
Q xc4 14. N b6+ a xb6 15. N c3 R a8 16. a4 N e4 17. N  
xe4 Q xe4 18. Q b3 f5 19. B g5 Q b4 20. Q f7 B e5 21.  
h3 R xa4 22. R xa4 Q xa4 23. Q xh7 B xb2 24. Q xg6 Q  
e4 25. Q f7 B d4 26. Q b3 f4 27. Q f7 B e5 28. h4 b5 29.  
h5 Q c4 30. Q f5+ Q e6 31. Q xe6 K xe6 32. g3 f xg3 33.  
f xg3 b4 34. B f4 B d4+ 35. K h1 b3 36. g4 K d5 37. g5  
e6 38. h6 N e7 39. R d1 e5 40. B e3 K c4 41. B xd4 e  
xd4 42. K g2 b2 43. K f3 K c3 44. h7 N g6 45. K e4 K c2  
46. R h1 d3 47. K f5 b1= Q 48. R xb1 K xb1 49. K xg6 d2  
50. h8= Q d1= Q 51. Q h7 b5 52. K f6+ K b2 53. Q h2+ K  
a1 54. Q f4 b4 55. Q xb4 Q f3+ 56. K g7 d5 57. Q d4+ K  
b1 58. g6 Q e4 ?? 59. Q g1+ K b2 60. Q f2+ K c1 61. K  
f6 d4 62. g7

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Teachers, students, logicians and proofs
- 3 Which notion of proof?
- 4 Some strong points of Coqweb

# What is a game of chess

- A game of chess consists of a legal sequence of **moves**, yielding a legal sequence of **positions**.
- The sequence of positions enlightens the corresponding sequence of moves.
- Moves are simple, positions are complex.
- Understanding a game of chess is much more than checking that all moves were legal.

What would you think of a teacher teaching chess  
**without any chessboard?**

# Claims

- A proof is a sequence of positions and/or moves (called **tactics**) in a subtle **game**.
- The sequence of **positions** enlightens the corresponding sequence of **moves**.
- Moves and positions are extremely complex (for a freshman).
- Understanding a proof is much more than checking that all moves were legal.

## When teaching proofs, we have to

- say what is a proof
- show positions.

# Procedural versus declarative proofs

## A formal proof may be:

- a sequence of deductions (Hilbert)
- a lambda-term (Curry-Howard)
- a script of tactics (procedural vision, e.g. Coq)
- a script of declarations (in Mizar, see also [AS][C][S]).

## Procedural or declarative or even both (F. Wiedijk)?

This is a serious debate among serious people in the theorem-prover community:  
to choose the right amount of information.

## Claim

For students, an informal notion of proof mentioning altogether **states** (lists of goals) and **transitions** (performed by tactics) is adequate.

# Interlude: How to show positions?

On the blackboard?

Too difficult!

On the computer-screen?

Too easy!

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Teachers, students, logicians and proofs
- 3 Which notion of proof?
- 4 Some strong points of Coqweb**

# Concepts versus images

Drawback of the method of Leo Lacroix:

the lack of helpful concepts and associated images.

Coqweb's student window offers persistent areas (hence images) for the following key concepts related to proofs:

- states
- purposes
- contexts
- goals
- variables
- hypotheses
- tactics

# Formal versus informal proofs

Typical teachers can only be interested in a tool for teaching **informal** proofs.

For them, teaching formal proofs is relevant only if it is a way to teach informal proofs.

To this effect, Coqweb offers the guided mode, where

- the student is offered a hint all along the proof
- the hint may take the form of an informal proof so that the student has to understand it in order to translate it into a formal one.
- or the hint may be totally explicit in terms of tactics, in which case the student may be asked to replay the proof (without hint) or to write down an informal proof.

# Acknowledgments

We thank Valérie Moreau-Villeger, Joachim Yaméogo and Xiao Gang who took care in various ways of the connection between Coqweb and Wims. We thank Marco Maggesi and Carlos Simpson for stimulating conversations. We thank the organizers of the second Wims conference who invited us to present our views on proof-teaching with Coqweb. We thank the referees whose criticism led to significant improvements in our presentation. Finally, the third-named author wishes to thank his chairman Michel Merle, his dean Raymond Negrel and his colleague Paul Silici who made possible the experience reported here, at least until fall 06<sup>a</sup>.

---

<sup>a</sup>For what happened then, Google LeBlogDuB