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## Quasipatterns experiments



Experiment of Faraday type. Kudrolli, Pier, Gollub 1998

## Steady Bénard - Rayleigh system between two horizontal planes

$$
\begin{aligned}
V \cdot \nabla V+\nabla p & =\mathcal{P}\left(\theta e_{z}+\mathcal{R}^{-1 / 2} \Delta V\right), \\
V \cdot \nabla \theta & =\mathcal{R}^{-1 / 2} \Delta \theta+V \cdot e_{z}, \\
\nabla \cdot V & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Boundary Conditions: $v^{(z)}=\theta=0$ in $z=0,1$. either "rigid - rigid": $V^{(H)}=0$ in $z=0,1$, or " rigid - free" $: V^{(H)}=0$ in $z=0, \frac{\partial V^{(H)}}{\partial z}=0$ in $z=1$, or "free - rigid": $\frac{\partial V^{(H)}}{\partial z}=0$ in $z=0, V^{(H)}=0$ in $z=1$.
We do not consider the "free-free" case: $\frac{\partial V^{(H)}}{\partial z}=0$ in $z=0,1$.
We choose to look for bifurcating solutions, quasiperiodic in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, invariant under rotations of angle $\pi / q$.

## Quasilattices

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\Sigma_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma} u^{(\mathbf{k})} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, u^{(-\mathbf{k})}=\bar{u}^{(\mathbf{k})} \\
\Gamma=\left\{\mathbf{k}=\sum_{j=1, \ldots 2 q} m_{j} \mathbf{k}_{j}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^{2 q},\left|\mathbf{k}_{j}\right|=k_{c},\left(\mathbf{k}_{j}, \mathbf{k}_{j+1}\right)=\pi / q\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $q=1,2,3 \quad \Gamma$ is a lattice leading to a periodic pattern See V.Yudovich et al (1963-67), W.Velte (1964-69),
K.Kirchgässner et al (1967-73), P.Rabinowitz (1968)

For $q \geq 4 \Gamma$ is a quasilattice leading to a quasipattern


Example $q=4$, the 8 wavevectors which form the basis of the quasilattice

## Diophantine estimate

$\mathbb{Q}$ vector space $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{k}_{j} ; j=1, . .2 q\right\}$ has dimension $d$, $d / 2=I_{0}+1 \leq q / 2$ is the degree of the minimal Polynomial for the algebraic integer $\omega=2 \cos \pi / q$ (coef in $\mathbb{Z}$ and first coef $=1$ ).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{2 q} m_{j} \mathbf{k}_{j}=\frac{1}{\mathfrak{d}} \sum_{s=1}^{d} m_{s}^{*} \mathbf{k}_{s}^{*}, \mathbf{m}^{*}=\left(m_{1}^{*}, . ., m_{d}^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\
N_{\mathbf{k}}=\sum_{s=1}^{d}\left|m_{s}^{*}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { for } q=4,5,6, \quad \omega=\sqrt{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{3}, \iota_{0}=1, d=4
$$

$$
\text { for } q=7,9, \quad I_{0}=2, d=6
$$

$$
\text { for } q=8,10,12, I_{0}=3, d=8, \text { for } q=11, I_{0}=4, d=10 \ldots
$$

For $q=4,5, \ldots, 12$ then $\mathfrak{d}=1$ and $\mathbf{k}_{s}^{*}=\mathbf{k}_{s}, s=1, \ldots, d$.
In all cases, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2} \geq c\left(1+N_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)^{-2 I_{0}}, \text { if } \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}_{j}, j=1, . .2 q
$$

## Suitable formulation

Hilbert space for the 4-components vector field $u=(V, \theta)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{s}= & \left\{u=(V, \theta)(\mathbf{x}, z)=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma} u_{\mathbf{k}}(z) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} ; \nabla \cdot V=0,\left.v_{z}\right|_{z=0,1}=0,\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma}\left(\left(1+N_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)^{s}\left\|u_{\mathbf{k}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}\right)<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s>d / 2, \lambda:=\mathcal{R}^{-1 / 2}>0$, finding a solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{s}$ of

$$
\lambda u-\mathcal{A} u+\mathcal{B}(u, u)=0
$$

is equivalent to find a classical solution of Bénard-Rayleigh system. In $\mathcal{K}_{s}, \mathcal{A}$ is linear bounded, selfadjoint, $\mathcal{B}$ is quadratic, bounded.
Operators $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ commute with $\mathbf{R}_{\phi}$ defined by

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\phi} u=\left(R_{\phi} V\left(R_{-\phi} \mathbf{x}, z\right), \theta\left(R_{-\phi} \mathbf{x}, z\right)\right)
$$

We are interested by quasipatterns solutions of the problem which are invariant under $\mathbf{R}_{\pi / q}$

## Criticality

Study of the linear equation :

$$
(\mathcal{A}-\lambda) u=G \in \mathcal{K}_{s}
$$

comes back to the study made by V.Yudovich (1966) in the periodic case, for any wave number $|\mathbf{k}|$.

positive eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$
Spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ : real interval $\left[-\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right]$
$\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ largest e.v. $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right)$ spanned by
$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}=\mathbf{R}_{\frac{\pi(j-1)}{q}}\left(\widehat{U}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}(z) e^{i \mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{x}}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, 2 q$, with $\left|\mathbf{k}_{1}\right|=k_{c}$

## Pseudo-inverse of $\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right)$

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) u=G \in \mathcal{K}_{s}
$$

Assume $\left.\frac{d^{2} \lambda_{0}}{d \mid \mathbf{k}^{2}}\right|_{k_{c}} \neq 0$.
For $G$ satisfying compatibility conditions $\left\langle G, \xi_{j}\right\rangle=0, j=1, \ldots, 2 q$

$$
\left\|u_{\mathbf{k}}\right\|_{0} \leq \frac{c\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right)}{\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\|G_{\mathbf{k}}\right\|_{0}, \mathbf{k} \in \Gamma \text { except } \mathbf{k}_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 q
$$

$c\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right)$ is analytic and $\mathcal{O}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{4}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{k}|^{2}$ tends towards $\infty$
diophantine estimate: $\frac{1}{\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}} \leq C\left(1+N_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\right)^{2 / 0}$ for $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}_{j}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) u=-\mu u+\mathcal{B}(u, u), \lambda=\lambda_{0}-\mu \\
u=\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon^{n} u_{n}, \mu=\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon^{n} \mu_{n}, \text { with } u_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{s},\left\langle u_{n}, u_{1}\right\rangle_{0}=0, n \geq 2 \\
\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) u_{1}=0, \\
\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) u_{2}=-\mu_{1} u_{1}+\mathcal{B}\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) u_{3}=-\mu_{1} u_{2}-\mu_{2} u_{1}+2 \mathcal{B}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$u_{1}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2 q} \xi_{j}$, invariant under rotation $\mathbf{R}_{\pi / q}$, spans $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{B}\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle_{0}=0 \text {, implies } \mu_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each step involves the pseudo-inverse $\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A - \lambda _ { 0 }}}\right)^{-1}$, implying only Gevrey series for $\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon^{n} u_{n}$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon^{n} \mu_{n}$.

## New formulation

Idea: We decompose the system, as usual in bifurcation problems. The range equation contains the small divisor problem, we hope to use a parameter $\widetilde{\mu}$ able to move the whole spectrum of the linearized operator as this is used by Berti, Bolle, Procesi (2010).

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =u_{\varepsilon}+h\left(\varepsilon, \mu^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon^{4} \widetilde{v}, \mu=\mu_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{3} \mu^{\prime} \\
u_{\varepsilon} & =\varepsilon u_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} u_{3}+\varepsilon^{4} u_{4}, \widetilde{v} \in\left\{u_{1}\right\}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}_{s} \\
\mu_{\varepsilon} & =\varepsilon^{2} \mu_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} \mu_{3}, \widetilde{\mu}=\varepsilon^{3} \mu^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Range equ. $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} \tilde{v}+g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})-\varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{v}, \tilde{v})=0$, Bifurc. equ. $\widetilde{\mu}-\varepsilon^{4} \mu_{4}+\mathcal{O}\left[\varepsilon^{3}(\varepsilon+\|\tilde{v}\|)^{2}\right]=0$

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}:=\mathbf{Q}_{0}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right)+\widetilde{\mu} \mathbb{I}+\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}
$$

$\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}$ is analytic while $g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})$ is only $C^{2}$ in $(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})$.
Main difficulty: Solve the Range equation with respect to $\tilde{v}$

## Useful estimates

For $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{0},|\widetilde{\mu}| \leq \varepsilon_{0}, v \in \mathcal{K}_{s}, s \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s} & \leq c_{s} \varepsilon\|v\|_{s}, \\
\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s}+\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}^{2}}^{2} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s}+\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon \widetilde{\mu}}^{2} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s} & \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{2}\|v\|_{s}, \\
\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s}+\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s} & \leq c_{s}\|v\|_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s_{0}>d / 2,\left\|2 \varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{B}(V, v)\right\| \|_{s} \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{4}\left(\|V\|_{s_{0}}\|v\|_{s}+\|V\|_{s}\|v\|_{s_{0}}\right)$.
For $|\widetilde{\mu}| \leq|\varepsilon|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\|_{s} & \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{2},\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\right\|_{s} \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{2}, \\
\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}^{2}}^{2} g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\right\|_{s} & \leq c_{s},\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2} g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\right\|_{s} \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{2},\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon \widetilde{\mu}}^{2} g(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\right\|_{s} \leq c_{s} \varepsilon^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splitting by $\pi_{0}$

We need to invert

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}=\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}-2 \varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{B}(V, \cdot) \\
\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right) \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{0}-\lambda_{j}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right)>\delta_{0}>0, j=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\left||\mathbf{k}|-k_{c}\right|>\delta_{1},\left|\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right)\right|>\delta_{0} / 2$.
Projection $\pi_{0}$ : suppresses $\mathbf{k} \in \Gamma$ such that $\left||\mathbf{k}|-k_{c}\right|>\delta_{1}$ Inverting $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}$ equiv to invert $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime}=\pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{0} \pi_{0}+\widetilde{\mu}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2} \widetilde{\mu} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}+\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V}
$$

$\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}, \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V}$ depend analytically on their arguments.
For $s \geq s_{0}>d / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon} v\right\|_{s} \leq c \varepsilon\|v\|_{s},\left\|\mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s}+\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}} v\right\|_{s} \leq c\|v\|_{s} \\
& \left\|\Re_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V} v\right\|_{s} \leq c \varepsilon^{4}\left\{\|V\|_{s_{0}}\|v\|_{s}+\|V\|_{s}\|v\|_{s_{0}}\right\}, \\
& \left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} \mathfrak{R}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V} v\right\|_{s} \leq c \varepsilon^{4}\left\{\|V\|_{s_{0}}\|v\|_{s}+\|V\|\left\|_{s}\right\| v \|_{s_{0}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Splitting by $\pi_{0}$ - continued


positive eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$


Spectrum of $\pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{0} \pi_{0}$

## Splitting by $\Pi_{N}$

Now, we truncate with the projection $\Pi_{N}$ which cuts the $\mathbf{k}$ such that $N_{\mathrm{k}}>N$.
This defines the space $E_{N}=\Pi_{N} \pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{s}$ still $\infty$ - dim, but with an isolated finite group of "small eigenvalues" perturbing $\lambda_{0}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right)-\lambda_{0}, N_{\mathbf{k}} \leq N$.

$$
\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\right) \sim c\left(\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{c}{\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{21_{0}}}\right.
$$

## Estimate of $\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1}$ in $\Pi_{N} \pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{s}, N \leq M_{\varepsilon}$

## Lemma

Let $V$ satisfy $\|V\|_{s_{0}} \leq 1$, and assume $(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}) \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right] \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. Then for $N \leq M_{\varepsilon}$, where $M_{\varepsilon}=\left[\frac{c_{2}}{\varepsilon^{1 / 4 q_{0}}}\right]$, we have the following estimate for $s_{0}>d / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left\|\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{s} \leq 2 c\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{2 l_{0}}\left\{\|v\|_{s}+\|V\|_{s}\|v\|_{s_{0}}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.\left\|\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{s} \leq 2 c c^{\prime}\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{2 l_{0}}\left\{\|v\|_{s}+\|V\|_{s}\|v\|_{s_{0}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hint: Classical perturbation theory, based on the smallness of $\varepsilon\left(1+N^{2}\right)^{2 / 0}$ for $N \leq M_{\varepsilon}$

## Good set of $\tilde{\mu}$

For $M>0, s_{0}>d / 2$ define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}:= & \left\{u \in C^{2}\left(\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}\right] \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], E_{N}\right) ; u(0, \tilde{\mu})=0,\right. \\
& \left.\|u\|_{s_{0}} \leq 1,\left\|\partial_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}^{j} u\right\|_{s_{0}} \leq M, j=1,2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $V \in \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}$ let us denote $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)}=: \Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu})}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)}=\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}+\widetilde{\mu} \mathbb{I} d+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N)}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{\prime(N)}, \\
\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}=: \Pi_{N} \pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0}\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right) \mathbf{Q}_{0} \pi_{0} \Pi_{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now define the selfadjoint operator

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V) *}=\widetilde{\mu}^{2} \mathbb{I} d+\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{\varepsilon}^{(N)}+\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V) *}=\widetilde{\mu}^{2} \mathbb{I} d+\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{\varepsilon}^{(N)}+\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N)} \\
& \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_{\varepsilon}^{(N)}=\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}^{2}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N)}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}+\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N} \mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N) *}+ \\
&+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N)} \mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N) *} \\
& \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N)}=} \widetilde{\mu}\left[2\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N)}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{(N) *}\right]+ \\
&\left.+\varepsilon^{2}\left[\widetilde{\left(\left(\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}\right.\right.}\right)_{N}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N)}+\widetilde{\mu}\right] \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{\prime(N) *}+ \\
&\left.+\varepsilon^{2} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{\prime(N)}\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{A}-\lambda_{0}}\right)_{N}+\mathfrak{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime(N) *}+\widetilde{\mu}\right]+\varepsilon^{4} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{\prime(N)} \mathfrak{C}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{\prime(N) *}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Good set of $\tilde{\mu}$ (continued)

For $V \in \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}$, then define the "good" set of $\tilde{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V) \quad & =:\left\{\tilde{\mu} \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] ; \text { for all } v \in E_{N},\right. \\
& \left.\left.\| \Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime}, \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1} v\left\|_{s_{0}} \leq \frac{N^{\tau}}{\gamma}\right\| v \|_{s_{0}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequence: if $\tilde{\mu} \in G_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V)$, then $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}^{(N, V) *}$ has all its eigenvalues $\geq\left(\frac{\gamma}{N^{\tau}}\right)^{2}$ in $E_{N}$. Notice that $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{N}\right)=\mathcal{N} \leq b N^{d}$.

## Bad set of $\tilde{\mu}$

For $V \in \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}$ define the "bad" set of $\tilde{\mu}$
$B_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V) \quad=:\left\{\tilde{\mu} \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] ;\right.$ there exists at least one eigenvalue $\sigma_{j}$ of

$$
\left.\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \mu}^{(N, V)} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}}^{(N, V) *}, \text { such that } 0 \leq \sigma_{j} \leq\left(\frac{\gamma}{N^{\tau}}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

the eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V)} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}}^{(N, V) *}$ take the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{j}(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})=\widetilde{\mu}^{2}+f_{j}(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}), f_{j} \text { is } C^{2} \text { in } \widetilde{\mu}, \text { and } \\
\left|f_{j}\left(\varepsilon_{2}, \widetilde{\mu}_{2}\right)-f_{j}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{1}\right)\right| \leq c\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\left|\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1}\right|+\left|\widetilde{\mu}_{2}-\widetilde{\mu}_{1}\right| .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Assumption For $\widetilde{\mu} \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, there exists $0<k<2$ with

$$
\left|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} f_{j}\left(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}_{2}\right)-\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} f_{j}\left(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}_{1}\right)\right| \leq k\left|\widetilde{\mu}_{2}-\widetilde{\mu}_{1}\right|
$$

## Lemma

Assume that $N>M_{\varepsilon}, d / 2<s_{0},(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}) \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right] \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, and $V \in \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}$. Then there exists $C>0$, such that the measure of $B_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V)$ is bounded by $C \gamma / N^{\tau-d}$

## Bad set of $\tilde{\mu}$ (proof of the bound)

Notice that in the Assumption above, we accept to loose some regularity for the second derivative of $\sigma_{j}$ with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}$. Up to now we have no mean to control this loss.
For a "bad" $\tilde{\mu}$, there exists $j$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \widetilde{\mu}^{2}+f_{j}(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})<\eta^{2}, \eta=\gamma /\left(N^{\tau}\right) \\
\text { define } \phi_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mu})=: \widetilde{\mu}^{2}+f_{j}(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}) \\
\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mu})=2 \widetilde{\mu}+\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} f_{j}(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})
\end{gathered}
$$

increasing function of $\widetilde{\mu}$, cancelling at a unique $\widetilde{\mu}=\widetilde{\mu}_{m}$. Since $\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{\mu}^{ \pm}\right)=\eta^{2}$, then the convexity of $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ implies

$$
\widetilde{\mu}^{+}-\tilde{\mu}^{-} \leq \frac{2 \eta}{\sqrt{(1-k / 2)}}
$$

Summing up for all eigenvalues, the measure of the set of bad $\widetilde{\mu}$ is bounded by

$$
\frac{2 b \gamma}{\sqrt{(1-k / 2)} N^{\tau-d}}
$$

## Estimate of $\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1}$ in $\Pi_{N} \pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{s_{0}}$

## Lemma

Let $d=2\left(I_{0}+1\right)$ be the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$ - vector space spanned by the wave vectors $k_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 2 q$, and $\tau>d+2+24 I_{0}$. Let $N$ be $\geq 1$. Assume moreover that $0<\gamma \leq \widetilde{\gamma}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{c^{2}{ }^{2}(0+1}$,
$(\epsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V) \in\left[0, \epsilon_{1}\right] \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}$ with $\widetilde{\mu} \in G_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V), \epsilon_{1}$ small enough. For $s_{0}>\frac{d}{2}$, there exists $c^{\prime}>0$ independent of $N$ and $\gamma$, such that for any $v \in E_{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1} v\right\|_{s_{0}} \leq c^{\prime} \frac{N^{\tau}}{\gamma}\|v\|_{s_{0}}
$$

and the same estimate holds for $\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1}$.
We need an estimate in all $\mathcal{K}_{s}$, with an exponent on $N$ independent of $s$. We may proceed as for the Swift-Hohenberg PDE, in adapting Bourgain 1995, Craig 2000, bert-Bolle 2010.

## Separation property of the singular set

Singular set in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(N) & :=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \Gamma(N) ;\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}\left(|\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{z})|^{2}\right)<\rho, \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{z}) \in \Gamma(N)\right\}\right. \text { with } \\
\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{z}) & =\mathfrak{d}^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^{d} z_{s} \mathbf{k}_{s}^{*}, \mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\
\Gamma(N) & :=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} ; 0 \leq|\mathbf{z}| \leq N, \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{z}) \in \Gamma \backslash\left\{\mathbf{k}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 2 q\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Useful lemma (uses Bourgain 1995, Craig 2000, Berti-Bolle 2010) There exists $\rho_{0}>0$ independent of $N$ such that if $\left.\rho \in\right] 0, \rho_{0}$ ] then $S(N)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \Omega_{\alpha}$ is a union of disjoint clusters $\Omega_{\alpha}$ satisfying :

- (H1), for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, M_{\alpha} \leq 2 m_{\alpha}$ where $M_{\alpha}=\max _{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}|\mathbf{z}|$ and $m_{\alpha}=\min _{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}|\mathbf{z}| ;$
- (H2), there exists $\delta=\delta(d) \in] 0,1[$ independent of $N$ such that if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \neq \beta$ then

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}, \Omega_{\beta}\right):=\min _{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega_{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\beta}}\left|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{\left(M_{\alpha}+M_{\beta}\right)^{\delta}}{2}
$$

## Estimate of $\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{e, \tilde{\mu}, V}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1}$ in $\Pi_{N} \pi_{0} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{s}$ for all

 $s \in\left[s_{0}, \bar{s}\right]$
## Lemma

Let $d=2\left(I_{0}+1\right)$ be the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$ - vector space spanned by the wave vectors $k_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 2 q$, and $\tau>d+2+24 I_{0}$ as in previous Lemma. Assume moreover that $0<\gamma \leq \widetilde{\gamma}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{c 2^{2} 0^{+1}}$, and $(\epsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V) \in\left[0, \epsilon_{1}\right] \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times \mathcal{U}_{M}^{(N)}, \widetilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon, \gamma}^{(N)}(V)=\cap_{K \leq N} G_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{(K)}(V)$, $\epsilon_{1}$ small enough. There exists $s_{0}(d, \delta, \tau)>\frac{d}{2}$ where $\delta$ is the number introduced in separation property (H2), and let $\bar{s}>s_{0}$. There exists $m(d, \delta, \tau)$ such that for all $s \in\left[s_{0}, \bar{s}\right]$ there exists $K(s)>0$ such that for any $h \in \Pi_{N} \pi_{0} Q_{0} \mathcal{K}_{0, s}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})}^{\prime} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1} h\right\|_{s} \leq K(s) \frac{N^{m}}{\gamma}\left(\|h\|_{s}+\|V(\epsilon, \widetilde{\mu})\|_{s}\|h\|_{s_{0}}\right)
$$

and the same estimate holds for $\left(\Pi_{N} \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu}, V(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})} \Pi_{N}\right)^{-1}$.
Main ingredient for applying the Nash-Moser iteration process.

G. looss

## Resolution of the Range equation

Uses Nash-Moser method, following Berti-Bolle-Procesi 2010 with a complement for having a solution $v \in \mathcal{K}_{s_{0}}$ of the range equation, which is $C^{2}$.

$$
\mathcal{F}(\epsilon, \tilde{\mu}, v)=: \mathfrak{L}_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}} v+g(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu})-\varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \mathcal{B}(v, v)=0
$$

## Theorem

Let $s_{0}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ be as above. Then for all $0<\gamma<\tilde{\gamma}$ there exist $\epsilon_{2}(\gamma) \in\left[0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$ and a $C^{2}-\operatorname{map}$
$V:\left(0, \epsilon_{2}(\gamma)\right) \times[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \Pi_{N} \pi_{0} Q_{0} \mathcal{K}_{s_{0}}$, such that $V(0, \widetilde{\mu})=0$, $\|V\|_{s_{0}} \leq 1,\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}} V\right\|_{s_{0}} \leq M,\left\|\partial_{\widetilde{\mu}}^{2} V\right\|_{s_{0}} \leq M$, and if $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{2}(\gamma)\right)$, $\widetilde{\mu} \in\left([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \backslash C_{\epsilon, \gamma}\right)$, the function $V(\epsilon, \widetilde{\mu})$ is solution of the range equation $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon, \tilde{\mu}, v)=0$. Here $C_{\epsilon, \gamma}$ is a subset of $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ which is Hïder continuous in $\varepsilon$, and has Lebesgue-measure less than $C \gamma \epsilon^{6}$ for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\epsilon$ and $\gamma$.

Hint: Proof adapted from Berti, Bolle, Procesi 2010.

## Resolution of the Bifurcation equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\mu}-\varepsilon^{4} \mu_{4}+\mathcal{O}\left[\varepsilon^{3}(\varepsilon+\|\tilde{v}\|)^{2}\right]=0 \\
\tilde{v}=V(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})-h(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})
\end{gathered}
$$

We solve this bifurcation equation with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}$ :

$$
\widetilde{\mu}=\epsilon^{4} \mu_{4}+\varepsilon^{5} \tilde{h}(\varepsilon), \text { "curve" }(\mathrm{H}), \tilde{h} \in C^{1}
$$

We need to satisfy that $(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu})$ lies in the good set, defined in the Theorem above.

## Bad Strips

## Definition

For $N$ and $V$ fixed, a set of "bad intervals" is defined by

$$
B S_{N}(V)=\left\{(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu}) \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{2}\right] \times\left[-\varepsilon^{3}, \varepsilon^{3}\right] ; \tilde{\mu} \in I_{\varepsilon}^{(N)}\right\}
$$

where $I_{\varepsilon}^{(N)}$ is one of the intervals $\left(\tilde{\mu}_{j}^{-}(\varepsilon), \tilde{\mu}_{j}^{+}(\varepsilon)\right)$,or with one of the bounds replaced by $\pm \varepsilon^{3}$, as defined above.
$B S_{N}(V)$ is a union of thin Hölder strips in the plane $(\varepsilon, \widetilde{\mu})$. For the proof of the range theorem, we choose $\tilde{\mu}$ outside of $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B S_{N_{n}}\left(V_{n-1}\right)$ where $N_{n}=\left[N_{0}(\gamma)\right]^{2 n}$, and $V_{n}$ are the successive points in the Newton iteration process.


## Resolution of the Bifurcation equation- Transversality condition

We need a "transversality condition" to obtain a bound for the bad set of $\varepsilon$ corresponding to the intersection of $\cup_{\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{2}\right)} C_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ with $(H)$ : The slopes $t(\varepsilon)$ of the curves $\tilde{\mu}_{j}^{ \pm}(\varepsilon)$, are such that there exists $c>0$ independent of $(N, \varepsilon)$, with $c \varepsilon^{2}<|t(\varepsilon)|$.



Sketch of the "bad set" in the plane $(\varepsilon, \tilde{\mu})$ and its intersection by the "line" (H) given by the bifurcation equation.
The drawing on the right side explains the bound for the measure of $\delta \varepsilon \leq \delta \tilde{\mu} / c \varepsilon^{2} \leq C \varepsilon^{4}$.
Notice that, as for the Swift-Hohenberg equation, we can weaken this transversality condition, so that the true hypothesis is that the curves $\tilde{\mu}_{i}^{ \pm}(\varepsilon)$ are not flat.

## Final result

## Theorem

Let $q \geq 4$ be an integer. Assume that Hypothesis $\lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ holds and that transversality condition above is verified. Moreover assume the convexity condition above, on small eigenvalues $\sigma_{j}$. Then, there exists $s_{0}>d / 2, \varepsilon_{0}>0$, such that, for any $s \geq s_{0}$, there exists a 1-dimensional set $\bar{\Lambda}_{\varepsilon}$ centered on $\mu_{4}$, with the following property : for any $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$, belonging to a set of asymptotically full measure as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ there exist $\bar{\mu}_{\varepsilon} \in \bar{\Lambda}_{\varepsilon}$, such that the steady Bénard - Rayleigh system admits a quasipattern solution ( $u, \lambda$ ), $C^{1}$ in $\varepsilon, u \in \mathcal{K}_{s}, \lambda=\lambda_{0}-\mu_{2} \varepsilon^{2}-\mu_{3} \varepsilon^{3}-\varepsilon^{4} \bar{\mu}_{\varepsilon}$ invariant under rotations of angle $\pi / q$ of the form

$$
u=\varepsilon u_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} u_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} u_{3}+\varepsilon^{4} u_{4}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{5}\right)
$$

where $\mu_{2}>0$, and coefficients $\mu_{2}, \mu_{4}, u_{j}$ occurring in formulae above, are the ones defined in the truncated asymptotic expansion of the solution.
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