

---

## Limits of the trivial bundle on a curve

Arnaud Beauville

**Abstract.** We attempt to describe the vector bundles on a curve  $C$  which are specializations of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . We get a complete classification when  $C$  is Brill-Noether-Petri general, or when it is hyperelliptic; in both cases all limit vector bundles are decomposable. We give examples of indecomposable limit bundles for some special curves.

**Keywords.** Vector bundles; limits; Brill-Noether theory; hyperelliptic curves

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 14H60

[Français]

**Titre. Limites du fibré trivial sur une courbe**

**Résumé.** Nous essayons de décrire les fibrés vectoriels qui sont des spécialisations de  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . Nous obtenons une classification complète lorsque  $C$  est générale au sens de Brill-Noether-Petri, ou lorsque  $C$  est hyperelliptique; les fibrés limites sont décomposables dans chacune des deux situations. Nous donnons également des exemples de fibrés limites indécomposables sur certaines courbe spéciales.

---

Received by the Editors on March 8, 2018, and in final form on August 30, 2018.

Accepted on October 4, 2018.

Arnaud Beauville

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS – Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné, Parc Valrose, F-06108 Nice cedex 2, France

*e-mail:* arnaud.beauville@unice.fr

## Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	2
2. Generic curves . . . . .	2
3. Hyperelliptic curves . . . . .	3
4. Examples of indecomposable limits . . . . .	3

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a smooth complex projective curve, and  $E$  a vector bundle on  $C$ , of rank  $r$ . We will say that  $E$  is a *limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^r$*  if there exists an algebraic family  $(E_b)_{b \in B}$  of vector bundles on  $C$ , parametrized by an algebraic curve  $B$ , and a point  $o \in B$ , such that  $E_o = E$  and  $E_b \cong \mathcal{O}_C^r$  for  $b \neq o$ . Can we classify all these vector bundles? If  $E$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$  clearly  $E \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^{r-2}$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^r$ , so it seems reasonable to start in rank 2.

We get a complete classification in two extreme cases: when  $C$  is generic (in the sense of Brill-Noether theory), and when it is hyperelliptic. In both cases the limit vector bundles are of the form  $L \oplus L^{-1}$ , with some precise conditions on  $L$ . However for large families of curves, for instance for plane curves, some limits of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$  are indecomposable, and those seem hard to classify.

## 2. Generic curves

Throughout the paper we denote by  $C$  a smooth connected projective curve of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $C$  which is a limit of globally generated line bundles (in particular, any line bundle of degree  $\geq g + 1$ ). Then  $L \oplus L^{-1}$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis there exist a curve  $B$ , a point  $o \in B$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C \times B$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{C \times \{o\}} \cong L$  and  $\mathcal{L}|_{C \times \{b\}}$  is globally generated for  $b \neq o$ . We may assume that  $B$  is affine and that  $o$  is defined by  $f = 0$  for a global function  $f$  on  $B$ ; we put  $B^* := B \setminus \{o\}$ .

We choose two general sections  $s, t$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $C \times B^*$ ; reducing  $B^*$  if necessary, we may assume that they generate  $\mathcal{L}$ . Thus we have an exact sequence on  $C \times B^*$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{(t, -s)} \mathcal{O}_{C \times B^*}^2 \xrightarrow{(s, t)} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

which corresponds to an extension class  $e \in H^1(C \times B^*, \mathcal{L}^{-2})$ . For  $n$  large enough,  $f^n e$  comes from a class in  $H^1(C \times B, \mathcal{L}^{-2})$  which vanishes along  $C \times \{o\}$ ; this class gives rise to an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times \{b\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_C^2$  for  $b \neq o$ , and  $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times \{o\}} \cong L \oplus L^{-1}$ . □

**Remark 1.** Let  $E$  be a vector bundle limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . We have  $\det E = \mathcal{O}_C$ , and  $h^0(E) \geq 2$  by semi-continuity. If  $E$  is semi-stable this implies  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_C^2$ ; otherwise  $E$  is unstable. Let  $L$  be the maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle of  $E$ ; we have an extension  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ , with  $h^0(L) \geq 2$ . Note that this extension is trivial (so that  $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$ ) if  $H^1(L^2) = 0$ , in particular if  $\deg(L) \geq g$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Assume that  $C$  is Brill-Noether-Petri general. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ ;

(ii)  $h^0(E) \geq 2$  and  $\det E = \mathcal{O}_C$ ;

(iii)  $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$  for some line bundle  $L$  on  $C$  with  $h^0(L) \geq 2$  or  $L = \mathcal{O}_C$ .

*Proof.* We have seen that (i) implies (ii) (Remark 1). Assume (ii) holds, with  $E \not\cong \mathcal{O}_C^2$ . Then  $E$  is unstable, and we have an extension  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  with  $h^0(L) \geq 2$ . Since  $C$  is Brill-Noether-Petri general we have  $H^0(C, K_C \otimes L^{-2}) = 0$  [ACG, Ch. 21, Proposition 6.7], hence  $H^1(C, L^2) = 0$ . Therefore the above extension is trivial, and we get (iii).

Assume that (iii) holds. Brill-Noether theory implies that any line bundle  $L$  with  $h^0(L) \geq 2$  is a limit of globally generated ones<sup>1</sup>. So (i) follows from Proposition 1.  $\square$

### 3. Hyperelliptic curves

**Proposition 3.** *Assume that  $C$  is hyperelliptic, and let  $H$  be the line bundle on  $C$  with  $h^0(H) = \deg(H) = 2$ . The limits of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$  are the decomposable bundles  $L \oplus L^{-1}$ , with  $\deg(L) \geq g + 1$  or  $L = H^k$  for  $k \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be the two-sheeted covering defined by  $|H|$ . Let us say that an effective divisor  $D$  on  $C$  is *simple* if it does not contain a divisor of the form  $\pi^*p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . We will need the following well-known lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $C$ .*

1) *If  $L = H^k(D)$  with  $D$  simple and  $\deg(D) + k \leq g$ , we have  $h^0(L) = h^0(H^k) = k + 1$ .*

2) *If  $\deg(L) \leq g$ ,  $L$  can be written in a unique way  $H^k(D)$  with  $D$  simple. If  $L$  is globally generated, it is a power of  $H$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 1.* 1) Put  $\ell := g - 1 - k$  and  $d := \deg(D)$ . Recall that  $K_C \cong H^{g-1}$ . Thus by Riemann-Roch, the first assertion is equivalent to  $h^0(H^\ell(-D)) = h^0(H^\ell) - d$ . We have  $H^0(C, H^\ell) = \pi^*H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\ell))$ ; since  $D$  is simple of degree  $\leq \ell + 1$ , it imposes  $d$  independent conditions on  $H^0(C, H^\ell)$ , hence our claim.

2) Let  $k$  be the greatest integer such that  $h^0(L \otimes H^{-k}) > 0$ ; then  $L = H^k(D)$  for some effective divisor  $D$ , which is simple since  $k$  is maximal. By 1)  $D$  is the fixed part of  $|L|$ , hence is uniquely determined, and so is  $k$ . In particular the only globally generated line bundles on  $C$  of degree  $\leq g$  are the powers of  $H$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the Proposition :* Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $C$  limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where we can assume  $\deg(L) \leq g$  (Remark 1). By Lemma 1 we have  $L = H^k(D)$  with  $D$  simple of degree  $\leq g - 2k$ . After tensor product with  $H^k$ , the corresponding cohomology exact sequence reads

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, H^{2k}(D)) \rightarrow H^0(C, E \otimes H^k) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-D)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(C, H^{2k}(D))$$

which implies  $h^0(E \otimes H^k) = h^0(H^{2k}(D)) + \dim \text{Ker } \partial = 2k + 1 + \dim \text{Ker } \partial$  by Lemma 1.

By semi-continuity we have  $h^0(E \otimes H^k) \geq 2h^0(H^k) = 2k + 2$ ; the only possibility is  $D = 0$  and  $\partial = 0$ . But  $\partial(1)$  is the class of the extension (1), which must therefore be trivial; hence  $E = H^k \oplus H^{-k}$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>  $\uparrow$  Indeed, the subvariety  $W_d^r$  of  $\text{Pic}^d(C)$  parametrizing line bundles  $L$  with  $h^0(L) \geq r + 1$  is equidimensional, of dimension  $g - (r + 1)(r + g - d)$ ; the line bundles which are not globally generated belong to the subvariety  $W_{d-1}^r + C$ , which has codimension  $r$ .

## 4. Examples of indecomposable limits

To prove that some limits of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$  are indecomposable we will need the following easy lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle of positive degree on  $C$ , and let*

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

*be an exact sequence. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is indecomposable;
- (ii) The extension (2) is nontrivial;
- (iii)  $h^0(E \otimes L) = h^0(L^2)$ .

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is clear.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) : After tensor product with  $L$ , the cohomology exact sequence associated to (2) gives

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(L^2) \xrightarrow{i} H^0(E \otimes L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(L^2),$$

where  $\partial$  maps  $1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C)$  to the extension class of (2). Thus (ii) implies that  $i$  is an isomorphism, hence (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): If  $E$  is decomposable, it must be equal to  $L \oplus L^{-1}$  by unicity of the destabilizing bundle. But this implies  $h^0(E \otimes L) = h^0(L^2) + 1$ .  $\square$

The following construction was suggested by N. Mohan Kumar:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth plane curve, of degree  $d$ . For  $0 < k < \frac{d}{4}$ , there exist extensions*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(k) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-k) \rightarrow 0$$

*such that  $E$  is indecomposable and is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  which is the complete intersection of two curves of degree  $k$ , and such that  $C \cap Z = \emptyset$ . By [S, Remark 4.6], for a general extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(-k) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

the vector bundle  $E$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^2$ ; therefore  $E|_C$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .

The extension (3) restricts to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(k) \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-k) \rightarrow 0.$$

To prove that  $E|_C$  is indecomposable, it suffices by Lemma 2 to prove that  $h^0(E|_C(k)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(2k))$ . Since  $2k < d$  we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(2k)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2k)) = h^0(E(k))$ , so in view of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(k-d) \rightarrow E(k) \rightarrow E|_C(k) \rightarrow 0$$

it suffices to prove  $H^1(E(k-d)) = 0$ , or by Serre duality  $H^1(E(d-k-3)) = 0$ .

The exact sequence (3) gives an injective map  $H^1(E(d-k-3)) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d-2k-3))$ . Now since  $Z$  is a complete intersection we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-k)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0;$$

since  $4k < d$  we have  $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-4k-3)) = 0$ , hence  $H^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d-2k-3)) = 0$ , and finally  $H^1(E(d-k-3)) = 0$  as asserted.  $\square$

We can also perform the Strømme construction directly on the curve  $C$ , as follows. Let  $L$  be a base point free line bundle on  $C$ . We choose sections  $s, t \in H^0(L)$  with no common zero. This gives rise to a Koszul extension

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{O}_C^2 \xrightarrow{p} L \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } i = (-t, s), \quad p = (s, t). \quad (4)$$

We fix a nonzero section  $u \in H^0(L^2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the pull-back of  $L$  on  $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . We consider the complex ("monad")

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}^2 \oplus \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}, \quad \alpha = (\lambda, i, u), \quad \beta = (u, p, -\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the coordinate on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} := \text{Ker } \beta / \text{Im } \alpha$ , and let  $E := \mathcal{E}|_{C \times \{0\}}$ .

**Lemma 3.**  *$E$  is a rank 2 vector bundle, limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ ; the corresponding extension class in  $H^1(L^2)$  is the product by  $u^2 \in H^0(L^4)$  of the class  $e \in H^1(L^{-2})$  of the Koszul extension (4).*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as in [S]; we give the details for completeness.

For  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we get easily  $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times \{\lambda\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_C^2$ ; we will show that  $E$  is a rank 2 vector bundle. This implies that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector bundle on  $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , and therefore that  $E$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .

Let us denote by  $\alpha_0, \beta_0$  the restrictions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to  $C \times \{0\}$ . We have  $\text{Ker } \beta_0 = L \oplus N$ , where  $N$  is the kernel of  $(u, p) : L^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^2 \rightarrow L$ . Applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{O}^2 & \xrightarrow{p} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}^2 & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow N \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \times u \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^2 & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0; \end{array}$$

this means that the extension (5) is the pull-back by  $\times u : L^{-1} \rightarrow L$  of the Koszul extension (4).

Now since  $E$  is the cokernel of the map  $L^{-1} \rightarrow L \oplus N$  induced by  $\alpha_0$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \times u & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

so that the extension  $L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1}$  is the push-forward by  $\times u$  of (5). This implies the Lemma.  $\square$

Unfortunately it seems difficult in general to decide whether the extension  $L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1}$  nontrivial. Here is a case where we can conclude:

**Proposition 5.** *Assume that  $C$  is non-hyperelliptic. Let  $L$  be a globally generated line bundle on  $C$  such that  $L^2 \cong K_C$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  be the unique nontrivial extension of  $L^{-1}$  by  $L$ . Then  $E$  is indecomposable, and is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .*

*Proof.* We choose  $s, t$  in  $H^0(L)$  without common zero, and use the previous construction. It suffices to prove that we can choose  $u \in H^0(K_C)$  so that  $u^2e \neq 0$ : since  $H^1(K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$ , the vector bundle  $E$  will be the unique nontrivial extension of  $L^{-1}$  by  $L$ , and indecomposable by Lemma 2.

Suppose that  $u^2e = 0$  for all  $u$  in  $H^0(K_C)$ ; by bilinearity this implies  $uve = 0$  for all  $u, v$  in  $H^0(K_C)$ . Since  $C$  is not hyperelliptic, the multiplication map  $S^2H^0(K_C) \rightarrow H^0(K_C^2)$  is surjective, so we have  $we = 0$  for all  $w \in H^0(K^2)$ . But the pairing

$$H^1(K_C^{-1}) \otimes H^0(K_C^2) \rightarrow H^1(K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is perfect by Serre duality, hence our hypothesis implies  $e = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** In the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of curves of genus  $g \geq 3$ , the curves  $C$  admitting a line bundle  $L$  with  $L^2 \cong K_C$  and  $h^0(L)$  even  $\geq 2$  form an irreducible divisor [T2]; for a general curve  $C$  in this divisor, the line bundle  $L$  is unique, globally generated, and satisfies  $h^0(L) = 2$  [T1]. Thus Proposition 5 provides for  $g \geq 4$  a codimension 1 family of curves in  $\mathcal{M}_g$  admitting an indecomposable vector bundle limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $\pi : C \rightarrow B$  be a finite morphism of smooth projective curves. If  $E$  is a vector bundle limit of  $\mathcal{O}_B^2$ , then clearly  $\pi^*E$  is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ . Now if  $E$  is indecomposable,  $\pi^*E$  is also indecomposable. Consider indeed the nontrivial extension  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  (Remark 1); by Lemma 2 it suffices to show that the class  $e \in H^1(B, L^2)$  of this extension remains nonzero in  $H^1(C, \pi^*L^2)$ . But the pull-back homomorphism  $\pi^* : H^1(B, L^2) \rightarrow H^1(C, \pi^*L^2)$  can be identified with the homomorphism  $H^1(B, L^2) \rightarrow H^1(B, \pi_*\pi^*L^2)$  deduced from the linear map  $L^2 \rightarrow \pi_*\pi^*L^2$ , and the latter is an isomorphism onto a direct factor; hence  $\pi^*$  is injective and  $\pi^*e \neq 0$ , so  $E$  is indecomposable.

Thus any curve dominating one of the curves considered in Propositions 4 and 5 carries an indecomposable vector bundle which is a limit of  $\mathcal{O}_C^2$ .

## References

- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. [MR-0770932](#)
- [ACG] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, and P.A. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. II*, with a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 268, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. [MR-2807457](#)
- [H] J. Harris, *Theta-characteristics on algebraic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), no. 2, 611–638. [MR-0654853](#)
- [S] S. A. Strømme, *Deforming vector bundles on the projective plane*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), no. 3, 385–397. [MR-0704303](#)
- [T1] M. Teixidor i Bigas, *Half-canonical series on algebraic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), no. 1, 99–115. [MR-0887499](#)
- [T2] M. Teixidor i Bigas, *The divisor of curves with a vanishing theta-null*, Compositio Math. **66** (1988), no. 1, 15–22. [MR-0937985](#)