Gluing residuated lattices

Sara Ugolini

University of Denver, Department of Mathematics

sara.ugolini@du.edu

Ongoing joint work with Nick Galatos

TACL 2019

A residuated lattice, or RL, is a structure $\mathbf{A} = (A, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, \vee, 1)$ where:

- $(A, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(A, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid,
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$ iff $x \leq z/y$.

RLs constitute a variety, $\mathbb{RL}$.

A residuated lattice, or RL, is a structure $\mathbf{A} = (A, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, \vee, 1)$ where:

- $(A, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(A, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid,
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$ iff $x \leq z/y$.

RLs constitute a variety, $\mathbb{RL}$.

We call a residuated lattice integral if 1 is the top element of the lattice, and bounded if there is an extra constant 0 in the signature that is the least element of the lattice.

The RL is commutative if the monoid is commutative, and we write $x \to y$ for $x \backslash y = y/x$.

A residuated lattice, or RL, is a structure $\mathbf{A} = (A, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, \vee, 1)$ where:

- $(A, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(A, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid,
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$ iff $x \leq z/y$.

RLs constitute a variety, $\mathbb{RL}$.

We call a residuated lattice integral if 1 is the top element of the lattice, and bounded if there is an extra constant 0 in the signature that is the least element of the lattice.

The RL is commutative if the monoid is commutative, and we write $x \to y$ for $x \backslash y = y/x$.

Residuated lattices are the equivalent algebraic semantics of substructural logics, that include: classical logic, intuitionistic logic, linear logic, relevance logic, fuzzy logics...

Examples: Boolean algebras, Heyting algebras, MV-algebras, lattice ordered groups...

- Residuated lattices do not satisfy any special purely lattice-theoretic or monoid-theoretic property

- R. Belohlavek, V. Vychodil, *Residuated Lattices of Size* ≤ 12, Order, 27:147–161, 2010 :

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lattices	1	1	1	2	5	15	53	222	1,078	5,994	37,622	262,776
Residuated lattices	1	1	2	7	26	129	723	4,712	34,698	290,565	2,779,183	30,653,419
Linear res. lattices	1	1	2	6	22	94	451	2,386	13,775	86,417	590,489	4,446,029

- Large classes of residuated lattices currently lack a structural understanding.

Ordinal sum

An example of a construction that puts together two integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattices to give a new one is the ordinal sum, first introduced by Ferreirim.

Ordinal sum

An example of a construction that puts together two integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattices to give a new one is the ordinal sum, first introduced by Ferreirim.



Ordinal sum

An example of a construction that puts together two integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattices to give a new one is the ordinal sum, first introduced by Ferreirim.



$$x \cdot y = x \wedge y = 1 \cdot y = y$$

Ordinal sum

An example of a construction that puts together two integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattices to give a new one is the ordinal sum, first introduced by Ferreirim.



$$x \cdot y = x \wedge y = 1 \cdot y = y$$

$$x \backslash y = y = y/x$$

Ordinal sum

The ordinal sum has proved to be a powerful construction, in particular in the realm of the algebraic semantics of fuzzy logics.

Aglianò and Montagna in 2003 prove the following characterization:
Every totally ordered basic hoop (or BL algebra) is the ordinal sum of a family of Wajsberg hoops (whose first component is bounded).

Ordinal sum

The ordinal sum has proved to be a powerful construction, in particular in the realm of the algebraic semantics of fuzzy logics.

Aglianò and Montagna in 2003 prove the following characterization:
Every totally ordered basic hoop (or BL algebra) is the ordinal sum of a family of Wajsberg hoops (whose first component is bounded).

BL-algebras are the algebraic semantics of Hájek's Basic Logic, the logic of continuous t-norms, and are semilinear (subdirect products of chains) commutative integral residuated lattices satisfying divisibility:
$x \wedge y = x \cdot (x \to y)$, while basic hoops are their 0-free reducts.

Ordinal sum

The ordinal sum has proved to be a powerful construction, in particular in the realm of the algebraic semantics of fuzzy logics.

Aglianò and Montagna in 2003 prove the following characterization:
Every totally ordered basic hoop (or BL algebra) is the ordinal sum of a family of Wajsberg hoops (whose first component is bounded).

BL-algebras are the algebraic semantics of Hájek's Basic Logic, the logic of continuous t-norms, and are semilinear (subdirect products of chains) commutative integral residuated lattices satisfying divisibility:
$x \wedge y = x \cdot (x \to y)$, while basic hoops are their 0-free reducts.

The ordinal sum construction intuitively stacks one (semi)lattice on top of the other gluing together the top elements.

What if we glue more? For example, a filter.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, that intersect in a principal lattice filter generated by an element a that is conical (comparable with all other elements) and idempotent $(a \cdot a = a)$.



If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are residuated **lattices**, to obtain a lattice structure we need $\mathbf{C}$ to have a lower bound or a to be join-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, that intersect in a principal lattice filter generated by an element a that is conical (comparable with all other elements) and idempotent ($a \cdot a = a$).



$$x \cdot y = a \cdot y$$
$$y \cdot x = y \cdot a$$

If **B** and **C** are residuated **lattices**, to obtain a lattice structure we need **C** to have a lower bound or a to be join-irreducible in **B**.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, that intersect in a principal lattice filter generated by an element a that is conical (comparable with all other elements) and idempotent $(a \cdot a = a)$.



$$x \cdot y = a \cdot y$$
$$y \cdot x = y \cdot a$$

$$x \backslash y = a \backslash y$$
$$y / x = y / a$$

If **B** and **C** are residuated **lattices**, to obtain a lattice structure we need **C** to have a lower bound or a to be join-irreducible in **B**.

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, $\mathbf{B} \oplus^a \mathbf{C}$ is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

The gluing construction preserves:

- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves n-potency, $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$)

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

The gluing construction preserves:

- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves n-potency, $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$)
- If a is join irreducible in **B**, any gluing of the kind **B** $\oplus_a$ **C** preserves all unary equations satisfied by both **B** and **C**.

PROPERTIES

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, $\mathbf{B} \oplus^a \mathbf{C}$ is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

The gluing construction preserves:

- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves n-potency, $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$)
- If a is join irreducible in **B**, any gluing of the kind $\mathbf{B} \oplus_a \mathbf{C}$ preserves all unary equations satisfied by both **B** and **C**.
- commutativity

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

The gluing construction preserves:

- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves n-potency, $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$)
- If a is join irreducible in **B**, any gluing of the kind **B** $\oplus_a$ **C** preserves all unary equations satisfied by both **B** and **C**.
- commutativity
- divisibility $\quad x \cdot (x \backslash y) = x \wedge y = (y/x) \cdot x$

Properties

The gluing of **B** and **C** with respect to a, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a = 1$, $\oplus = \oplus_1$.

The gluing construction preserves:

- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves n-potency, $x^n = x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$)
- If a is join irreducible in **B**, any gluing of the kind **B** $\oplus_a$ **C** preserves all unary equations satisfied by both **B** and **C**.
- commutativity
- divisibility $\quad x \cdot (x \backslash y) = x \wedge y = (y/x) \cdot x$
- when not an ordinal sum, prelinearity $\quad (x \backslash y) \vee (y \backslash x) = 1$

If we glue commutative integral residuated lattices, the gluing construction is reflected in the deductive filters lattice.

If we glue commutative integral residuated lattices, the gluing construction is reflected in the deductive filters lattice.



If we glue commutative integral residuated lattices, the gluing construction is reflected in the deductive filters lattice.



Since a coatom in the lattice of deductive filters would need to be above $\langle a \rangle$, $\mathbf{B} \oplus^a \mathbf{C}$ is subdirectly irreducible iff $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are subdirectly irreducible.

In the non-commutative case, it is slightly more complicated.

Given an integral RL **A**, $F \subseteq A$ is a *deductive filter* if it is a lattice filter closed under products and under conjugates: if $x \in F$, then $yx/y,\ y\backslash xy \in F$ for every $y \in A$.

In the non-commutative case, it is slightly more complicated.

Given an integral RL **A**, $F \subseteq A$ is a *deductive filter* if it is a lattice filter closed under products and under conjugates: if $x \in F$, then $yx/y,\ y\backslash xy \in F$ for every $y \in A$.

- If $\uparrow a$ is a deductive filter (e.g. a is a central element) in **B**, we get the same picture of the commutative case.

In the non-commutative case, it is slightly more complicated.

Given an integral RL **A**, $F \subseteq A$ is a *deductive filter* if it is a lattice filter closed under products and under conjugates: if $x \in F$, then $yx/y,\ y\backslash xy \in F$ for every $y \in A$.

- If $\uparrow a$ is a deductive filter (e.g. a is a central element) in **B**, we get the same picture of the commutative case.
- Otherwise, Fil(**B** $\oplus^a$ **C**) is isomorphic to Fil(**B**).

In the non-commutative case, it is slightly more complicated.

Given an integral RL **A**, $F \subseteq A$ is a *deductive filter* if it is a lattice filter closed under products and under conjugates: if $x \in F$, then yx/y, $y\backslash xy \in F$ for every $y \in A$.

- If $\uparrow a$ is a deductive filter (e.g. a is a central element) in **B**, we get the same picture of the commutative case.
- Otherwise, Fil(**B** $\oplus^a$ **C**) is isomorphic to Fil(**B**).
- Thus, in any case, **B** $\oplus^a$ **C** is subdirectly irreducible iff **B** is subdirectly irreducible.

What if we want to glue a filter and an ideal?

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



$$x \cdot z = d \cdot z = y \cdot z$$

$$x \cdot y = d = y \cdot x$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



$$x \cdot z = d \cdot z = y \cdot z$$

$$x \cdot y = d = y \cdot x$$

$$x \backslash y = (x \backslash_{\mathsf{c}} d) \vee b$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

Let now **B** $= (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, **C** $= (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



$$x \cdot z = d \cdot z = y \cdot z$$

$$x \cdot y = d = y \cdot x$$

$$x \backslash y = (x \backslash_{\mathsf{c}} d) \vee b$$

$$y \backslash d = (y \backslash_{\mathsf{c}} d) \vee c$$

If **B** and **C** are lattices, we need **C** $\setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in **B** to have a lattice structure.

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



$$x \cdot z = d \cdot z = y \cdot z$$
$$x \cdot y = d = y \cdot x$$
$$x \backslash y = (x \backslash_{\mathbf{C}} d) \vee b$$
$$y \backslash d = (y \backslash_{\mathbf{C}} d) \vee c$$
$$y / x = (d /_{\mathbf{B}} y) \vee b$$
$$d / y = (d /_{\mathbf{B}} y) \vee c$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

Let now $\mathbf{B} = (B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$, $\mathbf{C} = (C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C = \uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with a, d conical and idempotent.



$$x \cdot z = d \cdot z = y \cdot z$$
$$x \cdot y = d = y \cdot x$$
$$x \backslash y = (x \backslash_{\mathbf{C}} d) \vee b$$
$$x \backslash d = (x \backslash_{\mathbf{C}} d) \vee c$$
$$y / x = (d /_{\mathbf{B}} y) \vee b$$
$$d / y = (d /_{\mathbf{B}} y) \vee c$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or a $\vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

The gluing of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ with respect to a and d, $\mathbf{B} \oplus_d^a \mathbf{C}$ is an integral residuated ($\wedge$-semi)lattice.

Properties

This new gluing construction still preserves commutativity and prelinearity (if $a \neq 1$), but it does not preserve divisibility.

In the commutative case, since a is again an idempotent conical element, gluings of subdirectly irreducible are subdirectly irreducible.

Examples

Let us consider bounded totally ordered CIRLs that are 2-potent, i.e. that satisfy $x^2 = x^3$, and such that for every x, y

$$x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

Examples

Let us consider bounded totally ordered CIRLs that are 2-potent, i.e. that satisfy $x^2 = x^3$, and such that for every x, y

$$x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

This is a positive universal first-order formula, these structures generate a variety of MTL-algebras (bounded semilinear CIRLs) that satisfy $x^2 = x^3$ and

$$x \vee \ ((x \cdot (x \wedge y)) \backslash (x \wedge y)^2) = 1.$$

This variety has the FMP (finite model property, i.e. it is generated by its finite members).

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

$\bullet\, 1$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

$\bullet\, 1$

$\bullet\, x_1$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

- 1
- x_1
- $x_1^2 = x_1^3$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet\, 1 \\ \bullet\, x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bullet\, x_1^2 = x_1^3 \\ \bullet\, y_1 \end{array}$$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:

1

x_1

$x_1^2 = x_1^3$

y_1

$y_1^2 = y_1^3$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



$$x_i \cdot x_j = x_i^2 = x_j^2 = x_1^2$$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



$$x_i \cdot x_j = x_i^2 = x_j^2 = x_1^2$$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



$$x_i \cdot x_j = x_i^2 = x_j^2 = x_1^2$$

$$x_i \cdot y_k \leq y_k^2 = y_1^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_i \cdot y_k = y_1^2 = x_1^2 \cdot y_k$$

Example

$$x^2 = x^3, \qquad x = 1 \text{ or } x \cdot (x \wedge y) \leq (x \wedge y)^2$$

The finite subdirectly irreducible will be chains:



$$x_i \cdot x_j = x_i^2 = x_j^2 = x_1^2$$

$$x_i \cdot y_k \leq y_k^2 = y_1^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_i \cdot y_k = y_1^2 = x_1^2 \cdot y_k$$

We can generate these chains as gluings of finite simple 2-potent MTL-chains.


1
0


1
0
⊕1
1
x1
0 = x1^2


1
0
$\oplus^1$
1
x_1
$0 = x_1^2$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
0


1
0
$\oplus^1$
1
x_1
$0 = x_1^2$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
0
1
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$


1
0
$\oplus^1$
1
x_1
$0 = x_1^2$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
0
1
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$
$\oplus_0^1$
1
x_1
x_1^2
0


1
0
⊕¹
1
x₁
0 = x₁²
=
1
x₁
x₁²
0


1
y₁
y₁² = 0
⊕¹₀
1
x₁
x₁²
0
=
1
x₁
x₁²
y₁
y₁² = 0



1
0
$\oplus^1$
1
x_1
$0 = x_1^2$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
0
1
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$
$\oplus_0^1$
1
x_1
x_1^2
0
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$
1
x_1
x_1^2
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$


1
0
⊕¹
1
x₁
0 = x₁²
=
1
x₁
x₁²
0


1
y₁
y₁² = 0
⊕¹₀
1
x₁
x₁²
0
=
1
x₁
x₁²
y₁
y₁² = 0


1
x₁
x₁²
y₁
y₁² = 0
⊕^{x₁²}
1
x₁
x₁²
z₁
z₁² = 0



1
0
$\oplus^1$
1
x_1
$0 = x_1^2$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
0



1
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$
$\oplus^1_0$
1
x_1
x_1^2
0
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$



1
x_1
x_1^2
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$
$\oplus^{x_1^2}$
1
x_1
x_1^2
z_1
$z_1^2 = 0$
=
1
x_1
x_1^2
z_1
z_1^2
y_1
$y_1^2 = 0$

Examples

Given any bounded commutative residuated lattice **A**, we can glue its disconnected rotation and its n-lifting: $Ł_n \oplus \mathbf{A}$



What we obtain has been introduced as generalized n-rotation of **A** in [Busaniche, Marcos, U. - 2019].

Examples

Given any bounded commutative residuated lattice **A**, we can glue its disconnected rotation and its n-lifting: $Ł_n \oplus \mathbf{A}$



What we obtain has been introduced as generalized n-rotation of **A** in [Busaniche, Marcos, U. - 2019].

Examples

Given any bounded commutative residuated lattice **A**, we can glue its disconnected rotation and its n-lifting: $Ł_n \oplus \mathbf{A}$



What we obtain has been introduced as generalized n-rotation of **A** in [Busaniche, Marcos, U. - 2019].

Thank you!