

Hyper-MacNeille Completions of Heyting Algebras

Frederik Möllerström Lauridsen

joint work with

John Harding

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM (ILLC)

Topology, Algebra, and Categories in Logic

Nice, June 17–21 2019

Algebraic proof theory: Cuts and completions

Algebraic proof theory: Cuts and completions

There is a close connection between the *admissibility* of the *cut-rule* in sequent calculi for substructural logics and closure under *MacNeille completions* of the corresponding algebraic semantics.
(CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2012, BELARDINELLI, JIPSEN, & ONO 2004, ...)

Algebraic proof theory: Cuts and completions

There is a close connection between the *admissibility* of the *cut-rule* in sequent calculi for substructural logics and closure under *MacNeille completions* of the corresponding algebraic semantics. (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2012, BELARDINELLI, JIPSEN, & ONO 2004, ...)

There is a close connection between the *admissibility* of the *cut-rule* in hypersequent calculi for substructural logics and closure under *hyper-MacNeille completions* of the corresponding algebraic semantics. (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

Hypersequent calculi

Hypersequent calculi

A *hypersequent* is a finite multi-set of sequents

$$\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Pi_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Pi_n.$$

Hypersequent calculi

A *hypersequent* is a finite multi-set of sequents

$$\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Pi_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Pi_n.$$

Hypersequent calculi let us manipulated sequents in parallel.

Hypersequent calculi

A *hypersequent* is a finite multi-set of sequents

$$\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Pi_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Pi_n.$$

Hypersequent calculi let us manipulated sequents in parallel.

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2008, 2017)

Let E be a set of \mathcal{P}_3 -equations.

Hypersequent calculi

A *hypersequent* is a finite multi-set of sequents

$$\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Pi_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Pi_n.$$

Hypersequent calculi let us manipulated sequents in parallel.

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2008, 2017)

Let E be a set of \mathcal{P}_3 -equations.

1. The set E is (effectively) equivalent to a set of hypersequent rules R such that the cut-rule is redundant in the calculus $\text{HLJ} + R$.

Hypersequent calculi

A *hypersequent* is a finite multi-set of sequents

$$\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Pi_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Pi_n.$$

Hypersequent calculi let us manipulated sequents in parallel.

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2008, 2017)

Let E be a set of \mathcal{P}_3 -equations.

1. The set E is (effectively) equivalent to a set of hypersequent rules R such that the cut-rule is redundant in the calculus $\text{HLJ} + R$.
2. The variety of Heyting algebras axiomatized by E is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.

A general template for obtaining complete lattices

A general template for obtaining complete lattices

1. A *polarity* is a triple $\mathbb{P} = (W_0, W_1, N)$ such that $N \subseteq W_0 \times W_1$.

A general template for obtaining complete lattices

1. A *polarity* is a triple $\mathbb{P} = (W_0, W_1, N)$ such that $N \subseteq W_0 \times W_1$.
2. Determine $L: \wp(W_1) \rightarrow \wp(W_0)$ and $U: \wp(W_0) \rightarrow \wp(W_1)$

$$L(Y) = \{w_0 \in W_0 : \forall w_1 \in Y \ w_0 N w_1\},$$

$$U(X) = \{w_1 \in W_1 : \forall w_0 \in X \ w_0 N w_1\}.$$

A general template for obtaining complete lattices

1. A *polarity* is a triple $\mathbb{P} = (W_0, W_1, N)$ such that $N \subseteq W_0 \times W_1$.
2. Determine $L: \wp(W_1) \rightarrow \wp(W_0)$ and $U: \wp(W_0) \rightarrow \wp(W_1)$

$$L(Y) = \{w_0 \in W_0 : \forall w_1 \in Y \ w_0 N w_1\},$$

$$U(X) = \{w_1 \in W_1 : \forall w_0 \in X \ w_0 N w_1\}.$$

3. The composition $LU: \wp(W_0) \rightarrow \wp(W_0)$ is a closure operation on $\langle \wp(W_0), \subseteq \rangle$ and so determines a complete lattice \mathbb{P}^+ , with

$$\bigwedge_I Z_i = \bigcap_I Z_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigvee_I Z_i = LU \left(\bigcup_I Z_i \right).$$

A general template for obtaining complete lattices

1. A *polarity* is a triple $\mathbb{P} = (W_0, W_1, N)$ such that $N \subseteq W_0 \times W_1$.
2. Determine $L: \wp(W_1) \rightarrow \wp(W_0)$ and $U: \wp(W_0) \rightarrow \wp(W_1)$

$$L(Y) = \{w_0 \in W_0 : \forall w_1 \in Y \ w_0 N w_1\},$$

$$U(X) = \{w_1 \in W_1 : \forall w_0 \in X \ w_0 N w_1\}.$$

3. The composition $LU: \wp(W_0) \rightarrow \wp(W_0)$ is a closure operation on $\langle \wp(W_0), \subseteq \rangle$ and so determines a complete lattice \mathbb{P}^+ , with

$$\bigwedge_I Z_i = \bigcap_I Z_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigvee_I Z_i = LU \left(\bigcup_I Z_i \right).$$

Example

Let \mathbf{L} be a lattice. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{L}} = (L, L, \leq)$ is a polarity and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{L}}^+$ is the MacNeille completion of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$ of \mathbf{L} .

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:
(Res) $(w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \iff w'_0N(w_0 \rightsquigarrow w_1)$,

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:

$$\text{(Res)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \iff w'_0N(w_0 \rightsquigarrow w_1),$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \implies (w'_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1,$$

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:

$$\text{(Res)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \iff w'_0N(w_0 \rightsquigarrow w_1),$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \implies (w'_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1,$$

$$\text{(w)} \quad \epsilon Nw_1 \implies w_0Nw_1,$$

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:

$$\text{(Res)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \iff w'_0N(w_0 \rightsquigarrow w_1),$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \implies (w'_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1,$$

$$\text{(w)} \quad \epsilon Nw_1 \implies w_0Nw_1,$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad (w_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1 \implies w_0Nw_1.$$

Heyting frames and complete Heyting algebras

Definition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

A *Heyting frame* is a tuple $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ such that

1. the triple $\langle W_0, W_1, N \rangle$ is a polarity,
2. the triple $\langle W_0, \circ, \epsilon \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $\rightsquigarrow: W_0 \times W_1 \rightarrow W_1$ is a function satisfying:

$$\text{(Res)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \iff w'_0N(w_0 \rightsquigarrow w_1),$$

$$\text{(e)} \quad (w_0 \circ w'_0)Nw_1 \implies (w'_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1,$$

$$\text{(w)} \quad \epsilon Nw_1 \implies w_0Nw_1,$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad (w_0 \circ w_0)Nw_1 \implies w_0Nw_1.$$

Proposition (TERUI 2018, GALATOS & JIPSEN 2013)

If $\mathbb{F} = \langle W_0, W_1, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$ is a Heyting frame, then the induced lattice \mathbb{F}^+ is a complete Heyting algebra with

$$Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2 = \{w \in W_0 : \forall w' \in Z_1 \ w' \circ w \in Z_2\}.$$

Cut-admissibility and completions I

Cut-admissibility and completions I

The structure $\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf} = \langle \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$

Cut-admissibility and completions I

The structure $\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf} = \langle \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$

$$\Gamma N(\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{LJ}^{cf} \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$\Gamma_1 \circ \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$$

$$\Gamma \rightsquigarrow (\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi,$$

is a Heyting frame.

Cut-admissibility and completions I

The structure $\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf} = \langle \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$

$$\Gamma N(\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{LJ}^{cf} \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$\Gamma_1 \circ \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$$

$$\Gamma \rightsquigarrow (\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi,$$

is a Heyting frame. If $\not\vdash_{LJ}^{cf} \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$, then $(\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf})^+ \not\models \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$.

Cut-admissibility and completions I

The structure $\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf} = \langle \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, \epsilon \rangle$

$$\Gamma N(\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{LJ}^{cf} \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$\Gamma_1 \circ \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$$

$$\Gamma \rightsquigarrow (\Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi,$$

is a Heyting frame. If $\not\vdash_{LJ}^{cf} \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$, then $(\mathbb{G}_{LJ}^{cf})^+ \not\models \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$.

If \mathbf{A} is a Heyting algebra, then $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle A, A, \leq, \wedge, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting frame, and $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ = \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, the MacNeille completion of \mathbf{A} .

Cut-admissibility and completions II

Cut-admissibility and completions II

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} = \langle \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (\emptyset, \epsilon) \rangle$$

Cut-admissibility and completions II

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} = \langle \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (\emptyset, \epsilon) \rangle$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma)N(H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H_1 \mid H_2 \mid \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma_1) \circ (H_2; \Gamma_2) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma) \rightsquigarrow (H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi),$$

is a Heyting frame.

Cut-admissibility and completions II

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} = \langle \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (\emptyset, \epsilon) \rangle$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma) N (H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H_1 \mid H_2 \mid \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma_1) \circ (H_2; \Gamma_2) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma) \rightsquigarrow (H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi),$$

is a Heyting frame. If $\vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H$, then $(\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf})^+ \not\models H$.

Cut-admissibility and completions II

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} = \langle \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (\emptyset, \epsilon) \rangle$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma)N(H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H_1 \mid H_2 \mid \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma_1) \circ (H_2; \Gamma_2) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma) \rightsquigarrow (H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi),$$

is a Heyting frame. If $\vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H$, then $(\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf})^+ \not\models H$.

If \mathbf{A} is a Heyting algebra, then $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle A^2, A^2, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (0, 1) \rangle$

$$(s, a)N(t, b) \iff s \vee t \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1,$$

$$(s_1, a_2) \circ (s_2, a_2) = (s_1 \vee s_2, a_1 \wedge a_2),$$

$$(s, a) \rightsquigarrow (t, b) = (s \vee t, a \rightarrow b).$$

is a Heyting frame.

Cut-admissibility and completions II

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} = \langle \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Fm}^{<\omega}, \text{HypSeq} \times \text{Seq}, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (\emptyset, \epsilon) \rangle$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma)N(H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) \quad \text{iff} \quad \vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H_1 \mid H_2 \mid \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma_1) \circ (H_2; \Gamma_2) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$$

$$(H_1; \Gamma) \rightsquigarrow (H_2; \Sigma \Rightarrow \Pi) = (H_1 \mid H_2; \Sigma, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Pi),$$

is a Heyting frame. If $\vdash_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf} H$, then $(\mathbb{H}_{\text{HLJ}}^{cf})^+ \not\models H$.

If \mathbf{A} is a Heyting algebra, then $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle A^2, A^2, N, \circ, \rightsquigarrow, (0, 1) \rangle$

$$(s, a)N(t, b) \iff s \vee t \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1,$$

$$(s_1, a_2) \circ (s_2, a_2) = (s_1 \vee s_2, a_1 \wedge a_2),$$

$$(s, a) \rightsquigarrow (t, b) = (s \vee t, a \rightarrow b).$$

is a Heyting frame. Thus $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ := \mathbf{A}^+$ is a complete Heyting algebra.

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Proposition (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

For each Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} there is an embedding of Heyting algebras $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ given by $b \mapsto L(0, b) = \{(s, a) \in A^2 : s \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1\}$.

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Proposition (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

For each Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} there is an embedding of Heyting algebras $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ given by $b \mapsto L(0, b) = \{(s, a) \in A^2 : s \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1\}$.

We call $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ the *hyper-MacNeille completion* of \mathbf{A} .

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Proposition (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

For each Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} there is an embedding of Heyting algebras $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ given by $b \mapsto L(0, b) = \{(s, a) \in A^2 : s \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1\}$.

We call $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ the *hyper-MacNeille completion* of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

1. If \mathbf{A} is a subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebra, then $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Proposition (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

For each Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} there is an embedding of Heyting algebras $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ given by $b \mapsto L(0, b) = \{(s, a) \in A^2 : s \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1\}$.

We call $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ the *hyper-MacNeille completion* of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

1. If \mathbf{A} is a subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebra, then $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.
2. If \mathcal{V} is a variety of Heyting algebras axiomatized by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations, then \mathcal{V} is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.

Hyper-MacNeille completions

Proposition (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

For each Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} there is an embedding of Heyting algebras $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ given by $b \mapsto L(0, b) = \{(s, a) \in A^2 : s \vee (a \rightarrow b) = 1\}$.

We call $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ the *hyper-MacNeille completion* of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

1. If \mathbf{A} is a subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebra, then $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.
2. If \mathcal{V} is a variety of Heyting algebras axiomatized by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations, then \mathcal{V} is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.
3. If \mathbf{A} is **externally distributive**, i.e., for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in \mathbf{A} ,

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

then $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is a regular completion.

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

1. the structure $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra,

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

1. the structure $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra,
2. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$x \vee y \approx 1 \iff \sim x \leq y,$$

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

1. the structure $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra,
2. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$x \vee y \approx 1 \iff \sim x \leq y,$$

3. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$\sim(x \vee y) \approx \sim x \wedge \sim y \quad (\text{or equivalently, } \sim \sim x \wedge \sim x \approx 0)$$

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

1. the structure $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra,
2. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$x \vee y \approx 1 \iff \sim x \leq y,$$

3. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$\sim(x \vee y) \approx \sim x \wedge \sim y \quad (\text{or equivalently, } \sim \sim x \wedge \sim x \approx 0)$$

Examples

1. Boolean algebras,

De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebras

Definition

A *De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

1. the structure $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a Heyting algebra,
2. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$x \vee y \approx 1 \iff \sim x \leq y,$$

3. the algebra \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$\sim(x \vee y) \approx \sim x \wedge \sim y \quad (\text{or equivalently, } \sim \sim x \wedge \sim x \approx 0)$$

Examples

1. Boolean algebras,
2. finitely subdirectly irreducible (fsi) Heyting algebras,

$$1 \approx x \vee y \implies 1 \approx x \text{ or } 1 \approx y.$$

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, for \mathbf{A} any of the following types of algebras

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, for \mathbf{A} any of the following types of algebras

1. Boolean algebras,

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, for \mathbf{A} any of the following types of algebras

1. Boolean algebras,
2. subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebras,

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, for \mathbf{A} any of the following types of algebras

1. Boolean algebras,
2. subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebras,
3. finitely subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebras,

MacNeille \cong hyper-MacNeille completion?

Proposition

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$ is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, for \mathbf{A} any of the following types of algebras

1. Boolean algebras,
2. subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebras,
3. finitely subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebras,
4. Direct product of fsi Heyting algebras.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem (VAGGIONE 1995)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem (VAGGIONE 1995)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathbf{A} is a (reduct of a) De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem (VAGGIONE 1995)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathbf{A} is a (reduct of a) De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra.
2. \mathbf{A} is (isomorphic to) a Boolean product of fsi Heyting algebras.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem (VAGGIONE 1995)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathbf{A} is a (reduct of a) De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra.
2. \mathbf{A} is (isomorphic to) a Boolean product of fsi Heyting algebras.

(i) Thus $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, if \mathbf{A} is a Boolean product of fsi Heyting algebras.

Boolean products of fsi Heyting algebras

Recall that a subdirect product $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbf{A}_x$ is a *Boolean product* if

1. X is a Boolean (Stone) space,
2. $\llbracket a = b \rrbracket = \{x \in X : a(x) = b(x)\}$ is clopen, for all $a, b \in A$,
3. $a \upharpoonright U \cup b \upharpoonright (X \setminus U) \in A$, for all clopen $U \subseteq X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Theorem (VAGGIONE 1995)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathbf{A} is a (reduct of a) De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra.
2. \mathbf{A} is (isomorphic to) a Boolean product of fsi Heyting algebras.
 - (i) Thus $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, if \mathbf{A} is a Boolean product of fsi Heyting algebras.
 - (ii) MacNeille completions of Boolean product have been looked at before (HARDING 1993; CROWN, HARDING, & JANOWITZ 1996).

Minimal prime filters

Minimal prime filters

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

Minimal prime filters

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . For each $x \in X$ there is a congruence θ_x on \mathbf{A} given by

$$a\theta_x b \iff a \leftrightarrow b \in x,$$

which makes the quotient \mathbf{A}/θ_x a fsi Heyting algebra.

Minimal prime filters

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . For each $x \in X$ there is a congruence θ_x on \mathbf{A} given by

$$a\theta_x b \iff a \leftrightarrow b \in x,$$

which makes the quotient \mathbf{A}/θ_x a fsi Heyting algebra.

Proposition

Any Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} is (isomorphic to) a subdirect product of the family of fsi Heyting algebras $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.

Minimal prime filters

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . For each $x \in X$ there is a congruence θ_x on \mathbf{A} given by

$$a\theta_x b \iff a \leftrightarrow b \in x,$$

which makes the quotient \mathbf{A}/θ_x a fsi Heyting algebra.

Proposition

Any Heyting algebra \mathbf{A} is (isomorphic to) a subdirect product of the family of fsi Heyting algebras $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.

If \mathbf{A} is a De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra, then the embedding $\mathbf{A} \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in \min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ gives a Boolean product representation.

Algebras of dense open sections

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.
4. Let $D(\mathbf{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\prod_{\min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ consisting of elements which are continuous on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.
4. Let $D(\mathbf{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\prod_{\min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ consisting of elements which are continuous on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
5. Let Θ be the congruence on $D(\mathbf{A})$ given by $f \Theta g$ iff f and g agree on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.
4. Let $D(\mathbf{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\prod_{\min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ consisting of elements which are continuous on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
5. Let Θ be the congruence on $D(\mathbf{A})$ given by $f \Theta g$ iff f and g agree on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
6. Let $Q(\mathbf{A})$ be the quotient $D(\mathbf{A})/\Theta$.

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.
4. Let $D(\mathbf{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\prod_{\min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ consisting of elements which are continuous on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
5. Let Θ be the congruence on $D(\mathbf{A})$ given by $f \Theta g$ iff f and g agree on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
6. Let $Q(\mathbf{A})$ be the quotient $D(\mathbf{A})/\Theta$. We call this the *algebra of dense open sections* of \mathbf{A} .

Algebras of dense open sections

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X .

1. We consider $\min(X)$ with the subspace topology from X .
2. For $(s, a) \in A^2$ let $\mathcal{O}(a, s) = \{a/\theta_x \in A/\theta_x : s \notin x\}$
3. The sets $\mathcal{O}(a, s)$ determine a topology on the (disjoint) union of the family $\{\mathbf{A}/\theta_x : x \in \min(X)\}$.
4. Let $D(\mathbf{A})$ be the subalgebra of $\prod_{\min(X)} \mathbf{A}/\theta_x$ consisting of elements which are continuous on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
5. Let Θ be the congruence on $D(\mathbf{A})$ given by $f \Theta g$ iff f and g agree on a dense open $U \subseteq \min(X)$.
6. Let $Q(\mathbf{A})$ be the quotient $D(\mathbf{A})/\Theta$. We call this the *algebra of dense open sections* of \mathbf{A} .
7. Note that $Q(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Theorem

For all Heyting algebras \mathbf{A} there is an embedding $Q(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$, which is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{Q(\mathbf{A})}$.

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Theorem

For all Heyting algebras \mathbf{A} there is an embedding $Q(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$, which is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{Q(\mathbf{A})}$.

We note that the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is always De Morgan supplemented. Consequently, \mathbf{A}^+ is also De Morgan supplemented.

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Theorem

For all Heyting algebras \mathbf{A} there is an embedding $Q(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$, which is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{Q(\mathbf{A})}$.

We note that the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is always De Morgan supplemented. Consequently, \mathbf{A}^+ is also De Morgan supplemented.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of Heyting algebras. The following are equivalent.

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Theorem

For all Heyting algebras \mathbf{A} there is an embedding $Q(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$, which is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{Q(\mathbf{A})}$.

We note that the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is always De Morgan supplemented. Consequently, \mathbf{A}^+ is also De Morgan supplemented.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of Heyting algebras. The following are equivalent.

- 1. The variety \mathcal{V} is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.*

Hyper-MacNeille as MacNeille completions

Theorem

For all Heyting algebras \mathbf{A} there is an embedding $Q(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^+$, which is both meet- and join-dense. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong \overline{Q(\mathbf{A})}$.

We note that the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is always De Morgan supplemented. Consequently, \mathbf{A}^+ is also De Morgan supplemented.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of Heyting algebras. The following are equivalent.

- 1. The variety \mathcal{V} is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.*
- 2. The class of De Morgan supplemented members of \mathcal{V} is closed under MacNeille completions.*

Varieties closed under hyper-MacNeille completions

Varieties closed under hyper-MacNeille completions

Theorem (cf. HARDING 1993)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . If there is $n \in \omega$, such that $|\mathbf{A}/\theta_x| \leq n$ for all $x \in \min(X)$, then the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is complete. In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong Q(\mathbf{A})$.

Varieties closed under hyper-MacNeille completions

Theorem (cf. HARDING 1993)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . If there is $n \in \omega$, such that $|\mathbf{A}/\theta_x| \leq n$ for all $x \in \min(X)$, then the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is complete. In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong Q(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Any finitely generated variety of Heyting algebras is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.

Varieties closed under hyper-MacNeille completions

Theorem (cf. HARDING 1993)

Let \mathbf{A} be a Heyting algebra with dual Esakia space X . If there is $n \in \omega$, such that $|\mathbf{A}/\theta_x| \leq n$ for all $x \in \min(X)$, then the algebra $Q(\mathbf{A})$ is complete. In particular, $\mathbf{A}^+ \cong Q(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Any finitely generated variety of Heyting algebras is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions.

Proposition

The variety axiomatized by the equation

$$x_2 \vee (x_2 \rightarrow (x_1 \vee \neg x_1)) \approx 1 \quad (\mathbf{bd}_2)$$

is closed under hyper-MacNeille completions, but **not** axiomatizable by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations **nor** finitely generated.

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Recall that a Heyting algebra is *externally distributive*, provided that

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in A .

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Recall that a Heyting algebra is *externally distributive*, provided that

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in A .

Proposition (cf. CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

Let A be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Recall that a Heyting algebra is *externally distributive*, provided that

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in A .

Proposition (cf. CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

Let A be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. The completion $A \hookrightarrow A^+$ is regular.

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Recall that a Heyting algebra is *externally distributive*, provided that

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in A .

Proposition (cf. CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

Let A be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

1. *The completion $A \hookrightarrow A^+$ is regular.*
2. *The algebra A is externally distributive.*

Regular hyper-MacNeille completions

Recall that a Heyting algebra is *externally distributive*, provided that

$$\forall s \in S (a \vee s = 1) \implies a \vee \bigwedge S = 1,$$

for all $\{a\} \cup S \subseteq A$ with S having a greatest lower bound in A .

Proposition (cf. CIABATTONI, GALATOS, & TERUI 2017)

Let A be a Heyting algebra. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. The completion $A \hookrightarrow A^+$ is regular.*
- 2. The algebra A is externally distributive.*

Note that every variety of Heyting algebras $\mathcal{V} \supsetneq \mathcal{BA}$ contains an incomplete algebra which is **not** externally distributive.

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

1. Can we find a “simpler” De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra $S(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\mathbf{A}^+ = \overline{S(\mathbf{A})}$?

Concluding remarks

1. Can we find a “simpler” De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra $S(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\mathbf{A}^+ = \overline{S(\mathbf{A})}$?
2. How to use our methods to show that varieties axiomatized by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations are closed under hyper-MacNeille completions?

Concluding remarks

1. Can we find a “simpler” De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra $S(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\mathbf{A}^+ = \overline{S(\mathbf{A})}$?
2. How to use our methods to show that varieties axiomatized by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations are closed under hyper-MacNeille completions?
3. How to find Boolean product representations of \mathbf{A}^+ and $Q(\mathbf{A})$?

Concluding remarks

1. Can we find a “simpler” De Morgan supplemented Heyting algebra $S(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\mathbf{A}^+ = \overline{S(\mathbf{A})}$?
2. How to use our methods to show that varieties axiomatized by \mathcal{P}_3 -equations are closed under hyper-MacNeille completions?
3. How to find Boolean product representations of \mathbf{A}^+ and $Q(\mathbf{A})$?
4. Can we find workable descriptions of MacNeille completions of Boolean products of (fsi) Heyting algebras?

Thank you very much for your time and attention