

Order-enriched solid functors

Lurdes Sousa

IPV / CMUC

on joint work with Walter Tholen

TACL 2019

Nice

Setting: ordered (= order-enriched) categories and functors

Setting: ordered (= order-enriched) categories and functors

Hom-sets are posets with

$$f \left(\begin{array}{c} A \\ \leq \\ B \end{array} \right) g \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h \cdot f \cdot k \leq h \cdot g \cdot k$$

Setting: ordered (= order-enriched) categories and functors

Hom-sets are posets with

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ \leq \\ \searrow \end{array} \right\} f, g \\ B \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h \cdot f \cdot k \leq h \cdot g \cdot k$$

Functors preserve the order

Setting: ordered (= order-enriched) categories and functors

Hom-sets are posets with

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ f \\ \leq \\ g \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right\} B \end{array} \implies h \cdot f \cdot k \leq h \cdot g \cdot k$$

Functors preserve the order

Examples: Pos, SLat, Frm, ..., ordered varieties, Top₀, ...

Weighted limits and colimits:

Weighted limits and colimits:

Insertor of (f, g) : $I \xrightarrow{i} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$

- (i) $f \cdot i \leq g \cdot i$
- (ii) $f \cdot i' \leq g \cdot i' \Rightarrow i'$ factorizes through i
- (iii) i is order-monic, i.e. $i \cdot a \leq i \cdot b \Rightarrow a \leq b$

Weighted limits and colimits:

Insertor of (f, g) :
$$I \xrightarrow{i} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$$

- (i) $f \cdot i \leq g \cdot i$
- (ii) $f \cdot i' \leq g \cdot i' \Rightarrow i'$ factorizes through i
- (iii) i is order-monic, i.e. $i \cdot a \leq i \cdot b \Rightarrow a \leq b$

Conical product:
$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} A_j$$

with $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ jointly order-monic

Weighted limits and colimits:

Inserters of (f, g) : $I \xrightarrow{i} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$

- (i) $f \cdot i \leq g \cdot i$
- (ii) $f \cdot i' \leq g \cdot i' \Rightarrow i'$ factorizes through i
- (iii) i is order-monic, i.e. $i \cdot a \leq i \cdot b \Rightarrow a \leq b$

Conical product: $\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} A_j$

with $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ jointly order-monic

Combining inserters and conical products we can form all weighted limits.

Weighted limits and colimits:

serter of (f, g) : $I \xrightarrow{i} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$

- (i) $f \cdot i \leq g \cdot i$
- (ii) $f \cdot i' \leq g \cdot i' \Rightarrow i'$ factorizes through i
- (iii) i is order-monic, i.e. $i \cdot a \leq i \cdot b \Rightarrow a \leq b$

Conical product: $\prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} A_i$

with $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ jointly order-monic

Combining inserters and conical products we can form all weighted limits.

Dually: coinserters, weighted colimits

Construction of weighted colimits in some categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & & & & \\ & \searrow^{\xi_i} & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\xi_j} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{X} \\ & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$

Construction of weighted colimits in some categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & & & & \\ & \searrow^{\xi_i} & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ A_j & \xrightarrow{\xi_j} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{X} \\ \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$

in SLat

w. colim in Pos

w. colim in SLat

Construction of weighted colimits in some categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & & & & \\ & \searrow^{\xi_i} & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ A_j & \xrightarrow{\xi_j} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & \tilde{X} \\ \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$

in Frm

w. colim in SLat

w. colim in Frm

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a (strongly) order-solid functor if, for every family $\xi = (\xi_i : PA_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$, there is $\alpha = (\alpha_i : A_i \rightarrow A)_{i \in I}$, and $q : X \rightarrow PA$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P\alpha_i & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 PA_i & \xrightarrow{\xi_i} & X \xrightarrow{q} PA & (i \in I)
 \end{array}$$

with

- (i) $P\alpha = q \cdot \xi$
- (ii) (α, A, q) universal with respect to property (i)
- (iii) $q : X \rightarrow PA$ order- P -epic: $Pf \cdot q \leq Pg \cdot q \implies f \leq g$

$$X \xrightarrow{q} PA \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{Pg} \\ \xrightarrow{Pf} \end{array} PB$$

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a (strongly) order-solid functor if, for every family $\xi = (\xi_i : PA_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$, there is $\alpha = (\alpha_i : A_i \rightarrow A)_{i \in I}$, and $q : X \rightarrow PA$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P\alpha_i & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 PA_i & \xrightarrow{\xi_i} & X \xrightarrow{q} PA & (i \in I)
 \end{array}$$

with

- (i) $P\alpha = q \cdot \xi$
- (ii) (α, A, q) universal with respect to property (i)
- (iii) $q : X \rightarrow PA$ order- P -epic: $Pf \cdot q \leq Pg \cdot q \implies f \leq g$

$$X \xrightarrow{q} PA \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{Pg} \\ \xrightarrow{Pf} \end{array} PB$$

(α, A, q) is called a (strongly) order-universal P -extension of ξ .

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a (strongly) order-solid functor if, for every family $\xi = (\xi_i : PA_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$, there is $\alpha = (\alpha_i : A_i \rightarrow A)_{i \in I}$, and $q : X \rightarrow PA$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P\alpha_i & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 PA_i & \xrightarrow{\xi_i} X & \xrightarrow{q} PA \\
 & & (i \in I)
 \end{array}$$

with

- (i) $P\alpha = q \cdot \xi$
- (ii) (α, A, q) universal with respect to property (i) } solid
- (iii) $q : X \rightarrow PA$ order- P -epic: $Pf \cdot q \leq Pg \cdot q \implies f \leq g$

$$X \xrightarrow{q} PA \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{Pg} \\ \xrightarrow{Pf} \end{array} PB$$

(α, A, q) is called a (strongly) order-universal P -extension of ξ .

Solid functors in the ordinary setting:

Solid functors in the ordinary setting:

R.-E. Hoffmann, PhD thesis, 1972

V. Trnková, Automata in categories, Lecture Notes in CS, 1975

Solid functors in the ordinary setting:

R.-E. Hoffmann, PhD thesis, 1972

V. Trnková, Automata in categories, Lecture Notes in CS, 1975

Walter Tholen, Semi-topological functors I, JPAA, in 1979

Tholen and Wischnewsky, Semi-topological functors II, JPAA, 1979

Street, Tholen, Wischnewsky and Wolff, Semi-topological functors III, JPAA, in 1980

In the book of Adámek, Herrlich and Strecker, and in subsequent papers, they are called solid

Solid functors in the enriched setting:

Anghel, PhD thesis, 1987

Use of **strongly order-solid** to distinguish from **order-solid** in Anghel's sense

Solid functors in the enriched setting:

Anghel, PhD thesis, 1987

Use of **strongly order-solid** to distinguish from **order-solid** in Anghel's sense

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ strongly order-solid



P is **order-faithful**, i.e., for every $A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$, $Pf \leq Pg \implies f \leq g$,

Solid functors in the enriched setting:

Anghel, PhD thesis, 1987

Use of **strongly order-solid** to distinguish from **order-solid** in Anghel's sense

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ strongly order-solid

\Downarrow

If P is **order-faithful**, i.e., for every $A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$, $Pf \leq Pg \implies f \leq g$,

then

P strongly order-solid $\Leftrightarrow P$ order-solid.

Solid functors in the enriched setting:

Anghel, PhD thesis, 1987

Use of **strongly order-solid** to distinguish from **order-solid** in Anghel's sense

$P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ strongly order-solid

\Downarrow

If P is **order-faithful**, i.e., for every $A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} B$, $Pf \leq Pg \implies f \leq g$,

then

P strongly order-solid $\Leftrightarrow P$ order-solid.

Open: Do the two notions agree independently of order-faithfulness ?

Theorem

Every strongly order-solid functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$

- (a) is order-faithful;
- (b) is an order-right adjoint (i.e., r.a. and units are order- P -epic)
- (c) detects weighted colimits.

What do (ordinary) solid functors need for being order-solid?

What do (ordinary) solid functors need for being order-solid?

Theorem

Let \mathcal{X} have inserters. An ordered functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strongly order-solid if and only if

- (a) P is solid as an ordinary functor;*
- (b) \mathcal{A} has inserters and P preserves them;*
- (c) P is order-faithful.*

What do (ordinary) solid functors need for being order-solid?

Theorem

Let \mathcal{X} have inserters. An ordered functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strongly order-solid if and only if

- (a) P is solid as an ordinary functor;
- (b) \mathcal{A} has inserters and P preserves them;
- (c) P is order-faithful.

(a) and (c) are not enough for the functor being strongly order-solid.

What do (ordinary) solid functors need for being order-solid?

Theorem

Let \mathcal{X} have inserters. An ordered functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strongly order-solid if and only if

- (a) P is solid as an ordinary functor;
- (b) \mathcal{A} has inserters and P preserves them;
- (c) P is order-faithful.

(a) and (c) are not enough for the functor being strongly order-solid.

Open: ordinary solid + order-right adjoint + order-faithful



strongly order-solid ?

Theorem

An ordered functor $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strongly order-solid iff P is order-right adjoint, and there exists a class \mathcal{E} of order-epimorphisms in \mathcal{A} such that:

- (a) All adjunction co-units lie in \mathcal{E} ;*
- (b) The pushout of a morphism of \mathcal{E} along any morphism exists in \mathcal{A} and belongs to \mathcal{E} ;*
- (c) The wide pushout (that is, the cointersection) of any (possibly large) family of morphisms in \mathcal{E} with common domain exists in \mathcal{A} and belongs to \mathcal{E} .*

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{Top}_0 \xrightarrow{S} \text{Pos}$$

$SX = X$ with the dual of specialization order

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{Top}_0 \xrightarrow{S} \text{Pos}$$

$SX = X$ with the dual of specialization order

$$SA_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} (X, \tau) \xrightarrow{T_0\text{-reflection}} \bar{X}$$

$\tau =$ down sets U whose pre-image by ξ_i is open in A_i for all $i \in I$

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{Frm} \xrightarrow{U} \text{SLat}$$

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{Frm} \xrightarrow{U} \text{SLat}$$

$$UA_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} X \xrightarrow[r]{\text{reflection in Frm}} DX \xrightarrow{q} \frac{DX}{\sim}$$

\sim is the least congruence in DX with which we obtain frame homomorphisms $q \cdot r \cdot \xi_i$, for all i .

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{SLat} \xrightarrow{V} \text{Pos}$$

$$VA_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} X \xrightarrow[\text{in SLat}]{\text{reflection}} EX \xrightarrow{q} \frac{EX}{\sim}$$

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

The composition of two strongly order-solid functors is strongly order-solid.

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

The composition of two strongly order-solid functors is strongly order-solid.

In particular,



Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{AbMon}(\text{Pos}) \xrightarrow{U} \text{Pos}$$

Examples of strongly order-solid functors

$$\text{AbMon}(\text{Pos}) \xrightarrow{U} \text{Pos}$$

$$UA_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} X \xrightarrow{\text{reflection}} FX \xrightarrow{q} \frac{FX}{\sim}$$

$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos})$ = category of ordered algebras for a given algebraic theory \mathcal{T}

$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos})$ = category of ordered algebras for a given algebraic theory \mathcal{T}
 \mathcal{T} **order-varietal**: the forgetful functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is an order-right adjoint

$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos})$ = category of ordered algebras for a given algebraic theory \mathcal{T}
 \mathcal{T} *order-varietal*: the forgetful functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is an order-right adjoint

Theorem

*For every order-varietal algebraic theory \mathcal{T} ,
the functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is strongly order-solid.*

$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos})$ = category of ordered algebras for a given algebraic theory \mathcal{T}
 \mathcal{T} *order-variatal*: the forgetful functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is an order-right adjoint

Theorem

*For every order-variatal algebraic theory \mathcal{T} ,
the functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is strongly order-solid.*

Theorem

The ordered algebraic functor induced by any morphism of order-variatal algebraic theories

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}, \text{Pos})$$

is strongly order-solid.

$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos})$ = category of ordered algebras for a given algebraic theory \mathcal{T}
 \mathcal{T} *order-variatal*: the forgetful functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is an order-right adjoint

Theorem

*For every order-variatal algebraic theory \mathcal{T} ,
the functor $U^{\mathcal{T}} : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Pos}$ is strongly order-solid.*

Theorem

The ordered algebraic functor induced by any morphism of order-variatal algebraic theories

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}, \text{Pos}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{S}, \text{Pos})$$

is strongly order-solid.

Example: $\text{Frm} \rightarrow \text{SLat}$

Preordered setting: hom-sets equipped with a preorder

Preordered setting: hom-sets equipped with a preorder

Strongly preorder-solid functors: analogous to strongly order-solid

Preordered setting: hom-sets equipped with a preorder

Strongly preorder-solid functors: analogous to strongly order-solid

Example: $\text{Pos} \rightarrow \text{PrOrd}$

Preordered setting: hom-sets equipped with a preorder

Strongly preorder-solid functors: analogous to strongly order-solid

Example: $\text{Pos} \rightarrow \text{PrOrd}$

Proposition

In the commutative diagram of preordered functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathcal{A}' \\ P \downarrow & & \downarrow P' \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{X}' \end{array}$$

with H and J full embeddings and H a preorder-right adjoint

P' strongly preorder-solid \Rightarrow P strongly preorder-solid

\Rightarrow P strongly order-solid, if ordered

Preordered setting: hom-sets equipped with a preorder

Strongly preorder-solid functors: analogous to strongly order-solid

Example: $\text{Pos} \rightarrow \text{PrOrd}$

Proposition

In the commutative diagram of preordered functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathcal{A}' \\ P \downarrow & & \downarrow P' \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{X}' \end{array}$$

with H and J full embeddings and H a preorder-right adjoint

P' strongly preorder-solid $\Rightarrow P$ strongly preorder-solid

$\Rightarrow P$ strongly order-solid, if ordered

Example:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Top}_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Top} \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow s' \\ \text{Pos} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{PrOrd} \end{array}$$

The example of ordered vector spaces

Ordered vector space: $+$: $V \times V$ and $\lambda-$: $V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, are monotone

The example of ordered vector spaces

Ordered vector space: $+$: $V \times V$ and $\lambda-$: $V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, are monotone

Positive cone: $PV = \{x \mid x \geq 0\}$

The example of ordered vector spaces

Ordered vector space: $+$: $V \times V$ and $\lambda-$: $V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, are monotone

Positive cone: $PV = \{x \mid x \geq 0\}$

Positive linear map: sends positive vectors to positive vectors

The example of ordered vector spaces

Ordered vector space: $+$: $V \times V$ and $\lambda-$: $V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, are monotone

Positive cone: $PV = \{x \mid x \geq 0\}$

Positive linear map: sends positive vectors to positive vectors

Generating positive cone: $V = PV + (-PV)$

The example of ordered vector spaces

Ordered vector space: $+$: $V \times V$ and $\lambda-$: $V \rightarrow V$, $\lambda \geq 0$, are monotone

Positive cone: $PV = \{x \mid x \geq 0\}$

Positive linear map: sends positive vectors to positive vectors

Generating positive cone: $V = PV + (-PV)$

Two possible orders:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U & \\ f \downarrow & \leq & \downarrow g \\ & V & \end{array}$$

- if $f(x) \leq g(x)$, for all $x \in PV$
- if $f(x) \leq g(x)$, for all $x \in V$ (equivalently, $f = g$, since $f(x) \leq g(x) \Rightarrow f(-x) \geq g(-x)$)

\mathcal{OVec} := category of ordered vector spaces with a generating cone, and positive linear maps, ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f(v) \leq g(v)$ for all $v \in PV$

\mathcal{OVec} := category of ordered vector spaces with a generating cone, and positive linear maps, ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f(v) \leq g(v)$ for all $v \in PV$

The functor

$$P : \mathcal{OVec} \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

$$V \mapsto PV = \text{positive cone}$$

is strongly order-solid.

$\mathcal{OVec}_=$:= category of ordered vector spaces and positive linear maps,
ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f = g$.

$$\mathcal{OVec}_= \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

$\mathcal{OVec}_=$:= category of ordered vector spaces and positive linear maps,
ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f = g$.

$$\mathcal{OVec}_= \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

is order-faithful

$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=}$:= category of ordered vector spaces and positive linear maps,
ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f = g$.

$$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=} \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

is order-faithful

and it is (ordinary) solid,

$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=}$:= category of ordered vector spaces and positive linear maps,
ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f = g$.

$$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=} \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

is order-faithful

and it is (ordinary) solid,

but it is **not** strongly order-solid.

$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=}$:= category of ordered vector spaces and positive linear maps, ordered by $f \leq g$ iff $f = g$.

$$\mathcal{O}Vec_{=} \longrightarrow \text{Pos}$$

is order-faithful

and it is (ordinary) solid,

but it is **not** strongly order-solid.

It fails to preserve inserters: for $\mathbb{R} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{2-} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \end{array} \mathbb{R}$, the inserter in Pos is \mathbb{R}_0^+ ,

but in $\mathcal{O}Vec_{=}$ it is just $\{0\}$.