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Let \(k\) be a field. For a closed subset \(X\) of \(\mathbb{P}^n_k\), defined by \(r\) equations of degree \(d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_r\), one has the numerical invariant
\[
\kappa = \left\lfloor \frac{n - \sum_{i=2}^r d_i}{d_1} \right\rfloor,
\]
where \([\alpha]\) denotes the integral part of a rational number \(\alpha\). If \(k\) is the finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\), the number of \(k\)-rational points verifies the congruence
\[
\# \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \# X(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q^\kappa,
\]
while, if \(k\) is the field of complex numbers \(\mathbb{C}\), one has the Hodge-type relation
\[
F^\kappa H^i_\text{c}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - X) = H^i_\text{c}(\mathbb{P}^n_k - X) \text{ for all } i
\]
(see [12], [5] and the references given there). These facts, together with various conjectures on the cohomology and Chow groups of algebraic varieties, suggest that the Chow groups of \(X\) might satisfy
\[
\text{CH}_l(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{CH}_l(\mathbb{P}^n_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}
\]
for \(l \leq \kappa - 1\) (compare with Remark 5 and Corollary 5).

This is explicitly formulated by V. Srinivas and K. Paranjape in [16], Conjecture 1.8; the chain of reasoning goes roughly as follows. Suppose \(X\) is smooth. One expects a good filtration
\[
0 = F^{j+1} \subset F^j \subset \ldots \subset F^0 = \text{CH}^j(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},
\]
whose graded pieces \(F^i/F^{i+1}\) are controlled by \(H^{2j-i}(X \times X)\) (see [10]). According to Grothendieck’s generalized conjecture [8], the groups \(H^i(X)\) should be generated by the image under the Gysin morphism of the homology of a codimension \(\kappa\) subset, together with the classes coming from \(\mathbb{P}^n\). Applying this to the diagonal in \(X \times X\) should then force the triviality of the Chow groups in the desired range.

For zero-cycles, the conjecture (\(\ast\)) follows from Roitman’s theorem (see [17] and [18]):
\[
\text{CH}_0(X) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^r d_i \leq n.
\]
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In [16], K. Paranjape proves a version of (∗), showing that there is a finite bound $N = N(d_1, \ldots, d_r; l)$, such that, for $n \geq N$, one has $\text{CH}_{l'}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq l' \leq l$. The bound $N(d_1, \ldots, d_r; l)$ grows quite rapidly as a function of the degrees; for example, if $l = r = 1$, one has the inductive inequality

$$N(d, 1) \geq \frac{1}{d} \left( d + N(d-1, 1, 1) \right) + N(d-1, 1),$$

and $N(2, 1)$ is at least 5.

In this article, we give the following improved bound (see Theorem 4.5):

Suppose $d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \geq 2$, and either $d_1 \geq 3$ or $r \geq l + 1$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{l + d_i}{l + 1} \right) \leq n$$

then

$$\text{CH}_{l'}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \text{ for } 0 \leq l' \leq l.$$ 

If $d_1 = \ldots = d_r = 2$ and $r \leq l$, we have the same conclusion, assuming the modified inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{l + d_i}{l + 1} \right) = r(l + 2) \leq n - l + r - 1.$$ 

As an application, if we assume in addition to the above inequalities that $X$ is smooth, we show in §5 that the primitive cohomology of $X$ is generated by image of the homology of a codimension $l + 1$ subset, in accordance with Grothendieck’s conjecture, and we show that $\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ mod } d^{l+1}$ for almost all primes $p$ and $X$ defined in characteristic zero.

The method of proof of the improved bound is a generalization of Roitman’s technique, coupled with a generalization of Roitman’s theorem (**) to closed subsets of Grassmannians defined by the vanishing of sections of $\text{Sym}^d$ of the tautological quotient bundle. This latter result is an elementary consequence of the theorem due to Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori [14] and Campana [3] that Fano varieties are rationally connected. The first part of the argument, the application of Roitman’s technique to cycles of higher dimension, is completely geometric. As an illustration, consider the case of surfaces on a sufficiently general hypersurface $X$ of degree $d \geq 3$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Roitman shows that, if $d \leq n$, and $p$ is a general point of a general $X$, there is a line $L$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $L \cdot X = dp$.

Now take a surface $Y$ on $X$, in general position. Applying Roitman’s construction to the general point $y$ of $Y$, and specializing $y$ over $Y$, we construct a three-dimensional cycle $S$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with the property that

$$S \cdot X = NY + \sum_{j} n_jY_j$$

where $N$ is some positive integer, and the $Y_j$ are ruled surfaces in $X$. If $\left( \frac{d + 1}{2} \right) \leq n - 1$, we can find for each general line $L$ on $X$ a plane $\Pi$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\Pi \cdot X = dL$.

Assuming the general line in each $Y_j$ is in general position, we construct a three-dimensional cycle $S'$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that

$$S' \cdot X = N'\sum_{j} n_jY_j + \sum_{i} m_i\Pi_i,$$
where \( N' \) is a positive integer, and the \( \Pi_i \) are 2-planes in \( X \). From this (ignoring the general position assumptions) it follows that all two-dimensional cycles on \( X \) are rationally equivalent to a sum of 2-planes in \( X \). We may then apply our result on 0-cycles of subsets of Grassmannians, which in this case implies that all the 2-planes in \( X \) are rationally equivalent, assuming \( \left( \frac{d+2}{3} \right) \leq n \). Putting this together gives

\[
\text{CH}_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{if} \quad d \geq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{d+2}{3} \right) \leq n.
\]

One needs to refine this argument to treat cases of special position, as well as larger \( l \) and \( r \). For the reader’s convenience, we first give the argument in the case of hypersurfaces before giving the proof in general; the argument in the general case does not rely on that for hypersurfaces.

Throughout this article we assume that \( k \) is algebraically closed, as the kernel of \( \text{CH}_l(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \to \text{CH}_l(\bar{\mathbb{P}}_k^n) \) is torsion (see [1]).
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1 Flag and Incidence Varieties

Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n \) be a closed reduced subscheme. For \( 0 \leq s \leq n-1 \), let \( \text{Gr}_k(s) = \text{Gr}_k(s; n) \) denote the Grassmann variety of \( s \)-planes in \( \mathbb{P}_k^n \), and let

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda(s) & \xleftarrow{\subset} & \text{Gr}_k(s) \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \\
\gamma_s & \swarrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 & \text{Gr}_k(s) & \\
\end{array}
\]

be the universal family. We write \( \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \) for the closed subscheme parametrizing \( s \)-planes in \( \mathbb{P}_k^n \) which are contained in \( X \). Correspondingly \( \gamma : \Lambda(s; X) \to \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \) denotes the restriction of \( \gamma_s \) to \( \Lambda(s; X) = \gamma_s^{-1}(\text{Gr}_k(s; X)) \). In \( \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \times \text{Gr}_k(s+1) \) we consider the flag manifold \( F(s, s+1; X) \) consisting of pairs \( [H, H'] \) with

\[
P_k^s \simeq H \subseteq X \quad \text{and} \quad H \subset H' \simeq P_k^{s+1} \subseteq P_k^n.
\]

The projection \( \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \times \text{Gr}_k(s+1) \to \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \) induces a morphism

\[
F(s, s+1; X) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s+1)
\]

and a surjection

\[
\varphi : F(s, s+1; X) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X).
\]

By abuse of notation we write \( \Lambda(s; X) \) and \( \Lambda(s+1) \) for the pullback of the universal families to \( F(s, s+1; X) \) and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(s, s+1; X) \times X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Lambda(s; X) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Lambda(s+1) \xrightarrow{\subset} F(s, s+1; X) \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \\
\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \downarrow \gamma' & \downarrow \eta & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \\
F(s, s+1; X) & \longrightarrow & F(s, s+1; X) & \\
\end{array}
\]
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for the induced morphisms.

Assume that \( X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_\mathbb{F} \) is a hypersurface of degree \( d \). Hence \( X \) is the zero set of \( f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d \). We consider the incidence varieties

\[
\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}'(s, s + 1; X) = \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \times \text{Gr}_k(s + 1; X) \cap \mathbb{F}(s, s + 1; X) \quad \text{and} \\
\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(s, s + 1; X) = \{[H, H'] \in \mathbb{F}(s, s + 1; X); H' \subseteq X \text{ or } H' \cap X = H \}.
\]

Here “\( H' \cap X \)” denotes the set theoretic intersection. “\( H' \cap X = H \)” implies that the zero cycle of \( f|_{H'} \) is \( H \) with multiplicity \( d \). We will see in the proof of the following lemma, that \( \mathbb{H} \subseteq \mathbb{F}(s, s + 1; X) \) is a closed subscheme.

By definition one has \( \mathbb{H}' \subseteq \mathbb{H} \). It might happen that for all \([H, H'] \in \mathbb{H}\) the \((s+1)\)-plane \( H' \) is contained in \( X \), or in different terms, that \( \mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H} \), but for a general hypersurface \( X \) both are different. Generalizing Roitman’s construction for \( s = 0 \) in [17] one obtains:

**Lemma 1.1** Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_\mathbb{F} \) be a hypersurface of degree \( d \) and let

\[
\pi_1 : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi'_1 : \mathbb{H}' \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X)
\]

be the restrictions of the projection

\[
pr_1 : \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \times \text{Gr}_k(s + 1) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X).
\]

Then for all \([H] \in \text{Gr}_k(s; X)\) the fibers of \( \pi_1 \) (or \( \pi'_1 \)) are subschemes of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}_k \) defined by

\[
\binom{s + d}{s + 1} - 1 \quad \text{(or) } \binom{s + d}{s + 1} \quad \text{equations. In particular, } \pi_1 \text{ (or } \pi'_1 \text{) is surjective if}
\]

\[
\binom{s + d}{s + 1} \leq n - s \quad \text{(or) } \binom{s + d}{s + 1} \leq n - s - 1.
\]

**Proof.** As well known, the first projection \( p_1 : F = \mathbb{F}(s, s + 1; X) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X) \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}_k \) bundle (see for example [9], 11.40). In fact, for Spec \((A) \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(s; X)\) let us fix coordinates in \( \mathbb{P}_A^n \) such that \( \Lambda_A = \gamma^{-1}(\text{Spec } (A)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A^n \) is the linear subspace defined by

\[
x_{s+1} = x_{s+2} = \ldots = x_n = 0.
\]

Let \( \Gamma \) be the \((n - s)\)-plane given by

\[
x_1 = \ldots = x_s = 0.
\]

An \((s + 1)\)-plane \( \Lambda'_A \) containing \( \Lambda_A \) is uniquely determined by the line \( \Lambda'_A \cap \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma \) and each line in \( \Gamma \) which contains \((1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)\) determines some \( \Lambda'_A \). In other terms, there is a Spec \((A)\)-isomorphism

\[
\sigma : \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}_A \xrightarrow{\cong} p_1^{-1}(\text{Spec } (A)) \subseteq F,
\]

given by \( \sigma((a_0 : \ldots : a_{n-s-1})) = [\Lambda_A, \Lambda'_A] \), where \( \Lambda'_A \) is spanned by \( \Lambda_A \) and by

\[
(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0 : a_0 : \ldots : a_{n-s-1}).
\]
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An isomorphism $\mathbb{P}_A^{s+1} \cong \Lambda_A$ is given by

$$(\xi_0 : \ldots : \xi_{s+1}) \mapsto (\xi_0 : \ldots : \xi_s : a_0 \xi_{s+1} : \ldots : a_{n-s-1} \xi_{s+1}).$$

Under this isomorphism the intersection $X \times \text{Spec}(A) \cap \Lambda_A' \subseteq \Lambda_A' \subseteq A[t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1}]$. Since $\Lambda_A \subseteq \Lambda_A'$ the intersection $X \times \text{Spec}(A) \cap \Lambda_A' \subseteq \Lambda_A' \subseteq A[t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1}]$.

Since $\Lambda_A \subseteq \Lambda_A'$ is the zero set of $t_{s+1}$ and since $\Lambda_A \subseteq X \times \text{Spec}(A)$ one can write this equation as

$$t_{s+1}g(t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1})$$

where $g$ is homogeneous of degree $d - 1$. The point $\sigma((a_0 : \ldots : a_{n-s-1})) = [\Lambda_A, \Lambda_A']$ is an $A$-valued point of $\mathbb{H}'$ if and only if $g(t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1}) = 0$ and it is an $A$-valued point of $\mathbb{H} - \mathbb{H}'$ if and only if

$$g(t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1}) = \epsilon t_{s+1}^{d-1},$$

for $\epsilon \in A^*$. Hence, writing

$$g(t_0, \ldots, t_{s+1}) = \sum_{i_0 + \ldots + i_{s+1} = d-1} g_{i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{s+1}} t_0^{i_0} \cdots t_{s+1}^{i_{s+1}}$$

one obtains for $\mathbb{H}'$ the equations $g_{i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{s+1}} = 0$ for all tuples $(i_1, \ldots, i_{s+1})$ with

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{s+1} i_\nu = d - 1.$$

For $\mathbb{H}$ one obtains the same equations, except the one for $(0, \ldots, 0, d - 1)$. \(\Box\)

**Remark 1.2** Keeping the notation from the proof of 1 one can bound the degree of $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{H}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_A^{n-s-1}$. In fact, writing $A[y_0, \ldots, y_{n-s-1}]$ for the coordinate ring, and $g_{i_0, \ldots, i_{s+1}}$ for the equations for $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{H})$, then $g_{i_0, \ldots, i_{s+1}}$ is homogeneous of degree $i_{s+1} + 1$ in $y_0, \ldots, y_{n-s-1}$.

### 2 Hyperurfaces of Small Degree

For a closed reduced subscheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^N$ we will write $\text{CH}_l(X)$ for the Chow group of $l$-dimensional cycles.

**Definition 2.1**

a) An $l$-dimensional closed subvariety $Y$ of $X$ will be called a *subvariety spanned by $s$-planes* if there exists an $(l-s)$-dimensional subvariety $Z \subset \text{Gr}_k(s, X)$ such that for the restriction of the universal family

$$\Lambda_Z = \gamma^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{\subset} Z \times X \subseteq Z \times \mathbb{P}_k^N$$

$\gamma \downarrow \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} Z$

the image of the composite $\Lambda_Z \xrightarrow{\subset} Z \times X \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} X$ is $Y$.  

b) $CH_l^{(s)}(X)$ denotes the subgroup of $CH_l(X)$ which is generated by $l$-dimensional subvarieties of $X$ which are spanned by $s$-planes.

c) We write $CH_l(X)_Q = CH_l(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}Q$ and $CH_l^{(s)}(X)_Q = CH_l^{(s)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}Q$.

If $Y$ is spanned by $s$-planes it is spanned by $(s-1)$-planes as well. Hence one has $CH_l^{(s)}(X) \subseteq CH_l^{(s-1)}(X)$. For $s > l$ one has $CH_l^{(s)}(X) = CH_l^{(s)}(X)_Q = \{0\}$. The same holds true, if $X$ does not contain any $s$-plane. For $s = 0$ one obtains by definition $CH_l^{(0)}(X) = CH_l(X)$ and $CH_l^{(0)}(X)_Q = CH_l(X)_Q$.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be an $(l+1)$-dimensional closed subvariety or, more generally, an $(l+1)$-cycle in $CH_{l+1}(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$. By [6], 8.1, the intersection product $\Gamma \cdot X$ is a cycle in $CH_l(|\Gamma| \cap X)$. By abuse of notation we will write $\Gamma \cdot X$ for its image in $CH_l(X)$ or $CH_l(X)_Q$, as well.

**Proposition 2.2** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be an irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$ and let $Y$ be an $l$-dimensional subvariety of $X$, spanned by $s$-planes but not by $(s+1)$-planes. If

$$\binom{s+d}{s+1} \leq n-s$$

then there exist an $(l+1)$-dimensional subvariety $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and a positive integer $\alpha$ with

$$\Gamma \cdot X \equiv \alpha Y \mod CH_l^{(s+1)}(X).$$

Before giving the proof of Proposition 2 let us state the consequence we are mainly interested in:

**Corollary 2.3** Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be an irreducible hypersurface of degree $d$. If

$$\binom{l+d}{l+1} \leq n-l$$

then $CH_l(X)_Q = Q$.

**Proof.** For $d \geq 2$ we have the identity

$$\binom{s+1+d}{s+2} = \frac{s+1+d}{s+2} \binom{s+d}{s+1}.$$ 

Hence the inequality (1) implies that for all $s \leq l$

$$\binom{s+d}{s+1} \leq \binom{l+d}{l+1} \leq n-l \leq n-s.$$ (2)

Let $l'$ be the largest integer for which there exists an $l$-dimensional subvariety $Y'$ of $X$ which is spanned by $l'$-planes. One has $l' \leq l$. In fact, as we will see in 4 the inequality (1) implies that $l' = l$, but this is not needed here.

By Proposition 2 the inequality (2) implies that some positive multiple of $Y'$ is obtained as the intersection of $X$ with some subvariety $\Gamma'$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. If $Y$ is any other $l$-dimensional
subvariety of \(X\), spanned by \(s\)-planes, for \(0 \leq s \leq l'\), then by inequality (2) and by Proposition 2 one finds some \(\Gamma \in \text{CH}_{l+1}(\mathbb{P}^n)\) such that \(\Gamma \cdot X\) is rationally equivalent to \(Y\) modulo \(CH^1_l(X)\). Since \(\text{CH}_{l+1}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathbb{Q}\) the cycle \(Y\) is rationally equivalent to some rational multiple of \(Y\) modulo \(CH^1_l(X)\).

For \(s = l'\) this implies that \(CH^1_{l'}(X)\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\). For \(s < l'\) one obtains that

\[
CH^1_{l'}(X) = \cdots = CH^1_l(X)\mathbb{Q}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proof of 2.** By definition there exists a \((l-s)\)-dimensional subvariety \(Z' \subset \mathbb{P}_k(s, X)\) such that the image of the restriction \(\Lambda_{Z'}\) of the universal family of \(s\)-planes to \(Z'\) maps surjectively to \(Y\). In section 1 we considered the morphism \(\pi_1 : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{P}_k(s, X)\). By Lemma 1 the assumption made in 2 implies that \(\pi_1\) is surjective. Hence there exists some variety \(Z\), proper and generically finite over \(Z'\), such that the inclusion \(Z' \subset \mathbb{P}_k(s, X)\) lifts to a morphism \(Z \to \mathbb{H}\). The pullback \(\Lambda_Z = \Lambda_{Z'} \times Z' Z\) still dominates \(Y\).

Replacing \(Z\) by a desingularization (if \(\text{char} k = 0\)) or by some variety generically finite over \(Z\) (if \(\text{char} k \neq 0\), see [11]) we may assume that \(Z\) is non-singular.

Let \(\Lambda_Z = \Lambda(s+1) \times \mathbb{P}_k(s, X)\) \(Z\) be the pullback of the universal family of \((s+1)\)-planes to \(Z\). Putting this together, we have morphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z \times X & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \Lambda_Z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z.
\end{array}
\]

Assume that \(\Lambda_Z \not\subset Z \times X\). Since \(Z \to \mathbb{F}(s, s+1; X)\) factors through \(\mathbb{H}\), for a general point \(z \in Z\) the intersection satisfies \(\psi^{-1}(z) \cdot X = d\phi^{-1}(z)\). The codimension of \(\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X)\) in \(\Lambda_Z\) is therefore one and

\[
\Lambda'_Z \cdot (Z \times X) = d\Lambda_Z + \sum \alpha_i \psi^{-1}(D_i) \in CH_l(\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X))
\]

for some prime divisors \(D_i\) in \(Z\).

If \(\Lambda'_Z\) is contained in \(Z \times X\) one obtains the same equality in \(CH_l(\Lambda'_Z)\). In fact, since \(\Lambda_Z\) is a \(\mathbb{P}^{s+1}\)-bundle over the \((l-s)\)-dimensional manifold \(Z\), the group \(CH_l(\Lambda'_Z)\) is generated by \(\Lambda_Z\) and by the pullback of divisors in \(Z\). Since a general fiber of \(\Lambda'_Z \to Z\) must intersect \(X\) in some cycle of degree \(d\), the coefficient of \(\Lambda_Z\) in \(\Lambda'_Z \cdot (Z \times X)\) must be \(d\).

Since \(Y\) is not spanned by \((s+1)\)-planes \(\Lambda_Z\) is generically finite over its image in \(\mathbb{P}^n_k\) and the cycle \(\Gamma = pr_{2s}(\Lambda_Z)\) is non-zero. Let us write \(pr'_{2s}\) for the restriction of \(pr_{2s}\) to \(\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X)\). The projection formula ([6], 8.1.7), applied to \(pr_{2s} : Z \times \mathbb{P}^n_k \to \mathbb{P}^n_k\), implies that

\[
\Gamma \cdot X = pr'_{2s}(\Lambda'_Z) \cdot X = \psi^{-1}(\psi(\Lambda'_Z \cdot (Z \times X))) = dp_{2s}(\Lambda_Z) + \sum \alpha_i pr'_{2s}(\psi^{-1}(D_i))
\]

in \(CH_l(X \cap pr_2(\Lambda'_Z))\). Since \(\Lambda_Z\) is generically finite over the subvariety \(Y\) and since \(pr'_{2s}(\psi^{-1}(D_i))\) lies in \(CH^1_l(X)\), one obtains for some positive multiple \(\alpha\) of \(d\) the relation

\[
\Gamma \cdot X \equiv \alpha Y \mod CH^1_l(X).
\]

\[\square\]
3 Complete Intersections of Small Degree

As for zero-cycles (see [18]) it is easy to generalize Proposition 2 and Corollary 2 to components of subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ defined by equations of small degree.

**Proposition 3.1** Let $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of degrees $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \geq 2$, respectively, and let $X$ be a union of irreducible components of $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_r$, equidimensional of codimension $r$. If $Y$ is an $l$-dimensional subvariety of $X$, spanned by $s$-planes, and if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{s + d_i}{s + 1} \right) \leq n - s \quad (3)$$

then there exists an effective cycle $\Gamma \in \text{CH}_{l+r}(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$ and a positive integer $\alpha$ with

$$\Gamma \cdot X \equiv \alpha Y \mod \text{CH}^{(s+1)}(X).$$

In Section 4 we will need that, under more restrictive conditions, the cycle $\Gamma$ is not zero:

**Addendum 3.2** Assume in Proposition 3 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{s + d_i}{s + 1} \right) \leq n - l \quad (4)$$

Then one may choose $\Gamma$ to be an $(l+r)$-dimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

**Proof of 3 and 3:** For some $(l-s)$-dimensional subvariety $Z' \subset \text{Gr}_k(s; X)$ the restriction $\Lambda_{Z'}$ of the universal family of $s$-planes maps surjectively to $Y$. Let us fix a subfamily of $(s - 1)$-planes $\Delta$ in $\Lambda_{Z'}$ over some open subscheme of $Z'$. The pullback of $\Delta$ to some blowing up $Z'' \to Z'$ extends to a projective subbundle

$$\Delta_{Z''} \text{ of } \Lambda_{Z''} = \Lambda_{Z'} \times_{Z'} Z''.$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, r$ let $\mathbb{H}_i$ be the subscheme of $\mathbb{F}(s, s + 1; X)$ parametrizing pairs $[H, H']$ with $H' \subseteq X_i$ or with $H' \cap X_i = H$. By Lemma 1 the fibers of $\mathbb{H}_i \to \text{Gr}(s; X)$ are subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}_k$ defined by

$$\left( \frac{s + d_i}{s + 1} \right) - 1$$

equations. By inequality (3) the dimension of the fibers of

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{H}_i \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(s; X)$$

and hence of $\mathbb{H}_{Z'} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{H}_i \times_{\text{Gr}_k(s; X)} Z' \longrightarrow Z'$

is at least

$$n - s - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[ \left( \frac{s + d_i}{s + 1} \right) - 1 \right] = n + r - s - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{s + d_i}{s + 1} \right) \geq r - 1. \quad (5)$$
We find an \((l+r-s-1)\)-dimensional subvariety \(Z\) of \(\mathbb{H}_{Z'}\) which dominates \(Z'\). Replacing \(Z\) by some blowing up, we may assume that \(Z\) dominates \(Z''\). In characteristic zero, we can desingularize \(Z\). In characteristic 0, we can replace \(Z\) by the non-singular generically finite cover constructed in [11]. Since the morphism \(Z \to Z'\) factors over \(\mathbb{H}_{Z'}\), we have the pullback families

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta_Z & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \Lambda_Z \\
\downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \phi \\
Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z
\end{array}
\]

of \((s-1), s\) and \((s+1)\)-planes, respectively. By construction, the image of \(\Delta_Z\) under the projection \(pr_2\) to \(\mathbb{P}_k^n\) is a divisor in \(Y\) and the image of \(\Lambda_Z\) is \(Y\). Let us consider the morphisms

\[
Z \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \xrightarrow{\zeta} Z' \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathbb{P}_k^n,
\]

their restrictions \(\zeta' = \zeta|_{\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z \times X)}\) and \(p_2' = p_2|_{\zeta'(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z' \times X))}\), and the induced maps

\[
\text{CH}_i(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z \times X)) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \text{CH}_i(\zeta(\Lambda_Z') \cap (Z' \times X)) \xrightarrow{p_2'} \text{CH}_i(X \cap pr_2(\Lambda_Z')).
\]

One has \(\dim(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z \times X)) = l + r + 1\). For \(\Gamma = pr_2(\Lambda_Z') = p_2(\Pi_Z)\) the projection formula ([6], 8.1.7) implies that

\[
\Pi_Z \cdot (Z' \times X) = \zeta'(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z' \times X)) \in \text{CH}_i(\zeta(\Lambda_Z') \cap (Z' \times X)) \quad \text{and that}
\]

\[
\Gamma \cdot X = p_2'(\Pi_Z \cdot (Z' \times X)) = \text{pr}_2'(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z' \times X)) \in \text{CH}_i(X \cap pr_2(\Lambda_Z')).
\]

In general it might happen that \(\Lambda_Z' \to pr_2(\Lambda_Z')\) is not generically finite and correspondingly that the cycle \(\Gamma\) is zero. For the proof of 3 we need:

**Claim 3.3** Under the additional assumption made in 3 we may choose \(Z\) such that the cycle \(\Gamma = pr_2(\Lambda_Z')\) is non-zero and hence represented in \(\text{CH}_{l+r}(\mathbb{P}_k^n)\) by an \((l+r)\)-dimensional subvariety.

**Proof.** Let \(\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{H}_{Z'} \times \mathbb{P}_k^n\) denote the pullback of the universal family of \((s+1)\)-planes to \(\mathbb{H}_{Z'}\) and let \(\Pi\) be the image of \(\Lambda'\) in \(\mathbb{P}_k^n\). The inequality (4) implies that the left hand side in the inequality (5) is larger than or equal to \(r - 1 + l - s\). Hence \(\dim(h) \geq r - 1 + 2l - 2s\) and \(\dim(\Lambda') = \dim(\Pi) \geq r + 2l - s\). Since the fibers of \(p_2|_{\Pi}\) are contained in \(Z'\) their dimension is at most \(l - s\), and one finds \(\dim(pr_2(\Lambda')) \geq l + r\). Choosing for \(Z\) a sufficiently general \((l + r - s - 1)\)-dimensional subvariety of \(\mathbb{H}_{Z'}\) one obtains \(\dim(pr_2(\Lambda_Z')) = l + r\).

In order to evaluate the intersection cycle \(\Lambda' \cdot (Z \times X)\) we distinguish three types of cycles:

**Claim 3.4** The Chow group \(\text{CH}_i(\Lambda_Z' \cap (Z \times X))\) is generated by subvarieties

\[
c_1, \ldots, c_\nu, \psi^{-1}b_1, \ldots, \psi^{-1}b_\mu, \quad \varphi^{-1}a_1, \ldots, \varphi^{-1}a_\eta
\]

with:
1. \( c_i \) is an \( l \)-dimensional subvariety of \( \Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X) \), with \( \dim(\zeta(c_i)) < l \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, \nu \).

2. \( b_j \) is an \( (l - s - 1) \)-dimensional subvariety of \( Z \) for which \( pr_2(\psi^{-1}(b_j)) \) is spanned by \( (s + 1) \)-planes, for \( j = 1, \ldots, \mu \).

3. \( a_i \) is an \( (l-s) \)-dimensional subvariety of \( Z \), surjective over \( Z' \), and hence \( pr_2(\varphi^{-1}(a_i)) = Y \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, \eta \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( \Lambda'_Z \subset Z \times X \). Then \( \Lambda'_Z = \Lambda_Z \cap (Z \times X) \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^{l+1} \)-bundle over \( Z \) and

\[
CH_i(\Lambda'_Z) = CH_i(\Delta_Z) + \varphi^*CH_{l-s}(Z) + \psi^*CH_{l-s-1}(Z).
\]

For a prime cycle \( c_0 \in CH_i(\Delta_Z) \) one has

\[
\zeta(c_0) \subseteq \zeta(\Delta_Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\zeta(c_0)) \leq \dim(Z') + s - 1 = l - 1.
\]

Similarly, for a prime cycle \( c_0 \in CH_{l-s}(Z) \) which does not dominate \( Z' \), \( \zeta(\varphi^{-1}(c_0)) \) is a family of \( s \)-planes over a proper subvariety of \( Z' \), hence of dimension strictly smaller than \( l \). Choosing the \( "a_i" \) among the other cycles in \( \varphi^*CH_{l-s}(Z) \) and the \( "b_j" \) in \( \psi^*CH_{l-s-1}(Z) \), one obtains the generators of \( CH_i(\Lambda'_Z) = CH_i(\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X)) \) asked for in 3.

If on the other hand \( \Lambda'_Z \not\subset Z \times X \), then there is a proper subscheme \( A \) of \( Z \) with

\[
\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X) = \Lambda_Z \cup \psi^{-1}(A).
\]

In fact, if for some \( z \in Z \) the fiber \( \psi^{-1}(z) \) is not contained in \( Z \times X \), then \( \psi^{-1}(z) \) is not contained in \( Z \times X_i \) for one of the hyperplanes \( X_i \) cutting out \( X \). Since \( z \in \mathbb{H}_i \) one has

\[
\psi^{-1}(z) \cap (Z \times X) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(z) \cap (Z \times X_i) = \varphi^{-1}(z) \subseteq \Lambda_Z.
\]

As before, one can decompose the Chow group as

\[
CH_i(\Lambda'_Z \cap (Z \times X)) = CH_i(\Delta_Z) + \varphi^*CH_{l-s}(Z) + \psi^*CH_{l-s-1}(A)
\]

and again one obtains the generators asked for in 3. \( \Box \)

By 3 we find integers \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\nu, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\mu, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\eta \) with

\[
\Lambda'_Z \cdot (Z \times X) = \sum_{i=1}^\nu \gamma_i c_i + \sum_{j=1}^\mu \beta_j \psi^{-1}(b_j) + \sum_{i=1}^\eta \alpha_i \varphi^{-1}(a_i).
\]  

(6)

Since \( \dim(pr_2(c_i)) < l \), for all \( i \), one obtains in \( CH_i(X \cap pr_2(\Lambda'_Z)) \) and thereby in \( CH_i(X) \) the equation

\[
pr'_2(\Lambda'_Z \cdot (Z \times X)) = \sum_{j=1}^\mu \beta_j pr'_2(\psi^{-1}(b_j)) + \sum_{i=1}^\eta \alpha_i pr'_2(\varphi^{-1}(a_i)).
\]  

(7)

As stated in 3, 2) the first expression on the right hand side of (7) is contained in \( CH'_l(X) \). Let \( \delta_i \) denote the degree of \( a_i \) over \( Z' \) or, equivalently, of \( \varphi^{-1}(a_i) \) over
Corollary 3.5 and let \( \rho \) denote the degree of \( \Lambda_{Z'} \) over \( Y \). The second expression in (7) is nothing but \( p_{2*}(\alpha' \Lambda_{Z'}) = \rho \alpha' Y \) for \( \alpha' = \sum \alpha_i \delta_i \). One finds the equation

\[
\Gamma \cdot X \equiv \rho \alpha' Y \bmod \text{CH}_1^{(s+1)}(X).
\]

For \( z' \in Z' \) let \( H_{z'} \) denote the fiber of \( \Lambda_{Z'} \to Z' \) over \( z' \). If \( z' \) is chosen in sufficiently general position the fiber \( F \subseteq Z \) over \( z' \) meets the subvariety \( a_i \) of \( Z \) transversely in \( \delta_i \) points and it does not meet the cycles \( b_1, \ldots, b_\mu \).

Let \( \Lambda_F \) and \( \Lambda_F' \) be the restrictions of \( \Lambda_Z \) and \( \Lambda_Z' \) to \( F \). One has \( \Lambda_F \cap \psi^{-1}(b_j) = \emptyset \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, \mu \) and \( \zeta(\Lambda_F') = \zeta(\Lambda_Z') \cap (\{ z' \} \times X) \). Since \( \dim(\zeta(c_i)) < l \) one obtains from (6) the equation

\[
\zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \Lambda_Z' \cdot (Z \times X)) = \sum_{j=1}^\mu \beta_j \zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \psi^{-1}(b_j)) + \sum_{i=1}^\eta \alpha_i \zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \varphi^{-1}(a_i)).
\]

The first term of the right hand side is zero and by the projection formula one has

\[
\zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \Lambda_Z' \cdot (Z \times X)) = \zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \Lambda' \cdot (Z \times X)) = \zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \Lambda' \cdot Z' \times X).
\]

Thus

\[
\zeta_*((F \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cdot \Lambda' \cdot Z' \times X) = \sum_{i=1}^\eta \alpha_i \zeta_*(\varphi^{-1}(a_i)) \cdot (\{ z' \} \times X) = \alpha' \Lambda Z' \cdot (\{ z' \} \times X) = \alpha' (\{ z' \} \times H_{z'}),
\]

and \( pr_{2*}(\Lambda_F') \cdot X = \alpha' H_{z'} \) in \( \text{CH}_s(\mathbb{P}^n_k) \). Hence \( \alpha' \), as the degree of the intersection of \( X \) with a non-trivial effective cycle, must be positive as well as \( \alpha = \rho \alpha' \).

**Corollary 3.5** Let \( X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_k \) be the union of some of the irreducible components of the intersection of \( r \) hyperplanes of degrees \( d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \geq 2 \). If

\[
\sum_{i=1}^r \left( l + d_i \right) / (l + 1) < n - l \tag{8}
\]

then \( \text{CH}_l(X)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \).

In Lemma 4 in the next section, we will see that the inequality (8) implies that \( X \) contains an \( l \)-dimensional linear subspace \( H \). Hence one may choose \( H \) as a generator of \( \text{CH}_l(X)_\mathbb{Q} \).

**Proof.** If \( Z \) is one of the irreducible components, say of codimension \( t \), then we can choose \( t \) of the equations in such a way that \( Z \) is a component of their zero locus. Using Proposition 2 and Addendum 3 instead of 2 the proof of the Corollary 2 carries over to prove that \( \text{CH}_l(Z)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \).

(8) implies that \( 2r \leq n - l \) and hence \( \dim(Z \cap Z') \geq l \) for two components \( Z \) and \( Z' \) of \( X \). Since one may choose as generator for \( \text{CH}_l(Z)_\mathbb{Q} \) and \( \text{CH}_l(Z')_\mathbb{Q} \) the same cycle in the intersection, one obtains \( \text{CH}_l(Z \cup Z')_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \). \( \Box \)
4 An improved bound

It turns out that a slight modification of the methods of the previous sections enables us to improve our bound in Corollary 3 (with slightly different hypotheses), to
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} \leq n. \]

Although this is of course a numerically insignificant improvement, it is really the appropriate bound given our methods, as explained in Remark 4 below.

Fix an algebraically closed base field \( k \), and integers \( d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \geq 2 \). As above, for a closed subset \( X \) of \( \mathbb{P}_k^n \), we let \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X) \) denote the closed subset of \( \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) consisting of the \( l \)-planes contained in \( X \). We let \( N_{n,d} = \binom{n+d}{d} \) and let \( V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k := \mathbb{A}_k^{N_{n,d_1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{A}_k^{N_{n,d_r}} \) parametrize \( r \)-tuples \((f_1, \ldots, f_r)\) of homogeneous equations of degrees \( d_1, \ldots, d_r \) in variables \( x_0, \ldots, x_n \). For \( v = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \in V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \), we let \( X_v \) denote the closed subset of \( \mathbb{P}_k^n \) defined by the equations \( f_1 = \ldots = f_r = 0 \).

We include the proofs of the following elementary results on \( \text{Gr}_k(l, X_v) \) for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.1 Suppose \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \). Let \( l \geq 0 \) be an integer such that
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} < (l+1)(n-l). \] (9)

There is a non-empty Zariski open subset \( U_l = U_l(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \) of \( V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \) such that for all \( v \in U_l \), either \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is empty or

i. \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is smooth and has codimension \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} \) in \( \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \).

ii. if \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} < n + 1 \), then the anti-canonical bundle on \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is very ample.

iii. if \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} = n + 1 \), then the canonical bundle on \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is trivial.

iv. if \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} > n + 1 \), then the canonical bundle on \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is very ample.

In particular, if \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l+1} \geq n + 1 \) and \( v \) is in \( U_l \), then \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) has \( p_g > 0 \). Finally, we have

v. if the inequality (9) is not satisfied, then \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is either empty, or has dimension 0, for all \( v \) in an open subset of \( V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \).
Proof. We denote $\mathbb{G}r_k(l; n)$ by $\mathbb{G}r$. Let $V = k^{n+1}$, let $S \to \mathbb{G}r$ be the tautological rank $l + 1$ subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r} \otimes_k V$, and let $S^*$ be the dual of $S$, $V^*$ the dual of $V$. Each $f \in \text{Sym}^d(V^*)$ canonically determines a section $f^S$ of $\text{Sym}^d(S^*)$ over $\mathbb{G}r$. By the Bott theorem [4], sending $f$ to $f^S$ gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d(V^*) \to H^0(\mathbb{G}r, \text{Sym}^d(S^*))$$

As $S^*$ is generated by global sections, so is $\text{Sym}^d(S^*)$. Thus, there is a Zariski open

$$U \subset \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Sym}^d(V^*) = V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k$$

such that, for $(f_1, \ldots, f_r) \in U$, the subscheme $Y_{(f_1, \ldots, f_r)}$ of $\mathbb{G}r$ determined by the vanishing of the section $(f_1^S, \ldots, f_r^S)$ of $\oplus_{i=1}^r \text{Sym}^d(S^*)$ is smooth, and has codimension equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \text{rank}(\text{Sym}^d(S^*)) = \sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{d_i + l}{1} \right),$$

or is empty. In addition, we have $Y_{(f_1, \ldots, f_r)} = \mathbb{G}r_k(l; X(f_1, \ldots, f_r))$. Taking $U_1$ to be $U$ proves (i), as well as (v).

We now compute the canonical sheaf $K_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)}$ of $\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)$ for $v \in U_1$, assuming that $\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)$ is non-empty. The invertible sheaf $\Lambda^{\text{top}} S^*$ is the very ample sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r}(1)$ whose sections give the Plücker embedding of $\mathbb{G}r$. The tangent sheaf $T_{\mathbb{G}r}$ of $\mathbb{G}r$ fits into the standard exact sequence of sheaves on $\mathbb{G}r$:

$$0 \to S \otimes S^* \to V \otimes_k S^* \to T_{\mathbb{G}r} \to 0,$$

giving the isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{G}r} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r}(-n - 1). \quad (10)$$

For $v \in U_1$, we have the isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v),/\mathbb{G}r} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^r \text{Sym}^d(S^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)}$, hence we have the isomorphism

$$\Lambda^{\text{top}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v),/\mathbb{G}r} \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \Lambda^{\text{top}} \text{Sym}^d(S^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)}. \quad (11)$$

An elementary computation using the splitting principle gives

$$\Lambda^{\text{top}} \text{Sym}^d(S^*) \cong (\Lambda^{\text{top}} S^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r} \left( \left( \frac{d_i + l}{1} \right) \right). \quad (12)$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)} \to T_{\mathbb{G}r} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v),/\mathbb{G}r} \to 0$$

gives the isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)} \cong K_{\mathbb{G}r} \otimes \Lambda^{\text{top}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v),/\mathbb{G}r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)}.$$ 

Combining this with (10)-(12) gives the isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{d_i + l}{1} \right) - n - 1 \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r_k(l; X_v)}.$$ 

As $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}r}(1)$ is very ample, this proves (ii)-(iv). \qed
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Lemma 4.2 Suppose that either \( d_1 \geq 3 \), or that \( r \geq l + 1 \). If
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l}{l + 1} \right) \leq n, \tag{13}
\]
then one has:

a) For each \( v \in V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \) and for each point \( x \in X_v \) there exists an \( l \)-plane \( H \in \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) which contains \( x \). In particular \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is non-empty.

b) If \( \text{char}(k) \) is zero, \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is irreducible for each \( v \in U_l(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r) \).

In addition, the inequality (13) implies the inequality (9).

If \( d_1 = 2 \) and \( 1 \leq r \leq l \), the same conclusions hold if \( r(l + 2) \leq n + r - l - 1 \).

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of 2, the expression
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l}{l + 1} \right)
\]
is an increasing function of \( l \). So we may assume by induction on \( l \) that for all points \( v \in V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \) and for all \( x \in X_v \) there exists some \( H \in \text{Gr}_k(l-1; X_v) \), passing through \( x \). Moreover, if \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \) we may assume that \( \text{Gr}_k(l-1; X_v) \) is irreducible for all \( v \in U_{l-1}(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \).

Let \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)_H \) be the subset of \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) consisting of \( l \)-planes \( H' \) containing \( H \). Returning to the notation introduced in Lemma 1, \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)_H \) is the intersection of the fibers of the morphisms \( \pi'_i : \mathbb{H}' \to \text{Gr}_k(l-1; Z_i) \) for the different hyperplanes \( Z_i \), cutting out \( X_v \). By 1, with \( l \) replaced by \( l - 1 \), we find \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)_H \) to be a subscheme of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-l}_k \), defined by
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l - 1}{l} \right)
\]
equations. So \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)_H \) has positive dimension if
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l - 1}{l} \right) < n - l. \tag{14}
\]
Using the standard identity for binomial coefficients
\[
\binom{m+1}{n+1} = \binom{m}{n} + \binom{m}{n+1},
\]
our inequality (13) implies (14) if
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l - 1}{l + 1} \right) \geq l + 1. \tag{15}
\]
Since the left-hand side of (15) is an increasing function of the \( d_i \), and since
\[
\binom{l + 2}{l + 1} = l + 2 > l + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{l + 1}{l + 1} = 1
\]
the inequality (15) is satisfied if \( d_1 \geq 3 \), or if \( r \geq l + 1 \). In particular it follows that \( \dim(\text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)) > 0 \) for general \( v \). By Lemma 4, (v), this implies the inequality (9).

If \( d_1 = 2 \) then by assumption \( r(l+1) \leq n-l-1 \), which easily implies the inequalities (14) and (9). In particular \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is non-empty and we have obtained 4, a).

In characteristic zero, it remains to show that \( \text{Gr}_k(X_v) \) is connected for \( v \in U_1 \). Our inductive assumption, saying that \( \text{Gr}_k(l-1; X_v) \) is irreducible for

\[
v \in U_{l-1} = U_{l-1}(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k,
\]

reduces us to showing that \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)_H \) is connected for each \( H \in \text{Gr}_k(l-1; X_v) \) and for \( v \) in some non-empty Zariski open subset \( W \) of \( U_1 \cap U_{l-1} \). In fact, for those \( v \) the scheme \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) is connected and by Lemma 4, i) and by Zariski’s connectedness theorem one obtains the same for all \( v \in U(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \).

By inequality (14) \( \text{Gr}_k(X_v) \) is a subscheme of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k \), defined by less than \( n-l \) equations. The Fulton-Lazarsfeld connectedness theorem [7] shows the existence of \( W \).

For a proper \( k \)-scheme \( X \), we let \( A_0(X) \) denote the subgroup of \( \text{CH}_0(X) \) consisting of degree zero 0-cycles.

A smooth projective variety \( Y \) is called \emph{rationally connected} if, given a pair of general points \( y, y' \), there is an irreducible rational curve containing \( y \) and \( y' \).

We call a projective \( k \)-scheme \( Y \) \emph{weakly rationally connected} if for pair of points \( y, y' \) of \( Y \), there is a connected finite union \( C \) of rational curves on \( Y \) with \( y \) and \( Y' \) in \( C \). It is immediate that a weakly rationally connected \( Y \) has \( A_0(Y) = 0 \), even if \( Y \) is reducible.

**Lemma 4.3** Let \( \pi: Y \to Z \) be a flat, projective morphism of reduced schemes, with \( Z \) irreducible and normal, and with the geometric generic fiber \( Y \times_Z \text{Spec}(k(Z)) \) weakly rationally connected. Then, for each point \( z \) of \( Z \), the geometric fiber \( Y_z := Y \times_Z \text{Spec}(k(z)) \) is weakly rationally connected. If, moreover, \( E \subset Z \) is a subscheme with \( A_0(E)_Q = 0 \), then \( A_0(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q = 0 \).

**Proof.** The first part follows directly from the following elementary fact (for a proof, see e.g. Mumford [15]):

Let \( p: C \to T \) be a projective morphism of reduced schemes of finite type over a Noetherian ring, with \( T \) normal and irreducible. Suppose that the reduced geometric fiber \( (C \times_T \overline{k(T)})_{\text{red}} \) is a connected union of rational curves. Let \( t \) be a closed point of \( T \) such that the fiber \( C_t \) over \( t \) has pure dimension one. Then \( (C \times_T \overline{k(t)})_{\text{red}} \) is a connected union of rational curves.

Since \( \pi \) is flat (of relative dimension say \( d \)), we have a well-defined pull-back map

\[
\pi^*: \text{CH}_d(E)_Q \to \text{CH}_d(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q.
\]

Let \( z \) and \( z' \) be closed points of \( E \). By assumption \( z = z' \) in \( \text{CH}_0(E)_Q \), hence \( \pi^*(z) = \pi^*(z') \) in \( \text{CH}_d(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q \). Intersecting \( \pi^{-1}(E) \subset \mathbb{P}^N_k \) with a sufficiently general codimension \( d \) linear subspace, this implies a relation in \( \text{CH}_0(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q \) of the form \( a_z = a_{z'} \), where \( a_z \) is 0-cycle on \( \pi^{-1}(z) \) and \( a_{z'} \) is 0-cycle on \( \pi^{-1}(z) \), both of positive degree. By the first part of Lemma 4 the fibers of \( \pi \), are weakly rationally connected, hence \( a_z \) is a generator of
\[ \text{CH}_0(Y')_Q \] and \( a_{z'} \) is a generator of \( \text{CH}_0(Y')_Q \). Since \( z \) and \( z' \) were arbitrary, this implies that \( a_z \) generates \( \text{CH}_0(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q \), hence \( A_0(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.4** Let \( v \) be in \( V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \). Suppose that either \( d_1 \geq 3 \), or that \( r \geq 1 \). If

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l} \leq n,
\]

then \( A_0(\text{Gr}_k(l; X_v))_Q = 0 \).

If \( d_1 = 2 \), \( 1 \leq r \leq l \), and \( r(l + 2) \leq n + r - l - 1 \), then \( A_0(\text{Gr}_k(l; X_v))_Q = 0 \).

**Proof.** Denote \( V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \) by \( V_k \). We have the correspondence \( I_k \subset V_k \times \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) consisting of pairs \((v, \Pi)\) with \( \Pi \subset X_v \). Let \( p_1 : I_k \to V_k \) and \( p_2 : I_k \to \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) denote the restriction of the two projections. By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 1, \( p_2 : I_k \to \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) is a vector bundle and the restriction of \( p_1 \) to the fibres of \( p_2 \) gives isomorphisms with linear subspaces of \( V \). In particular, \( I_k \) is smooth and irreducible.

First suppose that \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \). By Lemma 4 and Lemma 4, \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_u) \) is a smooth, projective variety with very ample anti-canonical bundle for all points \( u \in U_k = U_l(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k \).

It follows from results of [3] or [14] that \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_u) \) is rationally connected. If \( \text{char}(k) = p > 0 \), let \( R \) be a discrete valuation ring with residue field \( k \) and quotient field \( K \) having characteristic 0. Let \( V_R, I_R \) and \( \text{Gr}_R(l; n) \) be the obvious \( R \)-schemes with fiber \( V_k, I_k \) and \( \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) over \( K \) and \( V_k, I_k \) and \( \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) over \( k \). Since \( I_R \) and \( V_R \) are smooth \( I_R \to V_R \) is flat over the complement \( U_R \) of a closed subscheme of \( V_R \) of codimension at least two. Lemma 4 implies that \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_u) \) is rationally connected for all \( u \in U_k = U_R \times_k k \).

Of course, for all fields \( k \) the open subscheme \( U_k \subset V_k \) is invariant under the action of \( \mathbb{P}G\text{l}(n + 1, k) \) and for all \( t \in I_k \) the image of \( p_2(p_2^{-1}(t)) \) will meet \( U_k \).

It remains to consider \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) for points \( v \in V_k - U_k \) and to show that for two points \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) in \( \text{Gr}_k(l; X_v) \) some multiple of the cycle \( t_1 - t_2 \) is rationally equivalent to zero. Let us choose for \( i = 1, 2 \) lines \( G_i \subset V_k \) with \( p_2^{-1}(t_i) \) with \( t_i \in G_i \) and with \( p_1(G_i) \cap U_k \neq \emptyset \). The lines \( p_1(G_1) \) and \( p_1(G_2) \) intersect in the point \( v \) and hence they span a two-dimensional linear subspace \( S \) of \( V_k \), meeting \( U_k \).

The induced morphism from \( S \cap U_k \) to the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of \( \text{Gr}_k(l; n) \) extends to \( \tilde{S} \) for some non-singular blow up \( \sigma : \tilde{S} \to V_k \). In other words, for the union \( J \) of all irreducible components of \( I_k \times_k \tilde{S} \), which are dominant over \( \tilde{S} \), the induced morphism \( \pi : J \to \tilde{S} \) is flat. The reduced exceptional fibre \( E = \sigma^{-1}(v) \) is the union of rational curves and Lemma 4 implies that \( A_0(\pi^{-1}(E))_Q = 0 \).

Let \( \tilde{\sigma} : J \to I_k \) be the induced morphism. By construction the general points of the lines \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) lie in \( \tilde{\sigma}(J) \). Since \( \pi \) is proper one obtains \( G_1, G_2 \subset \tilde{\sigma}(J) \) and hence the points \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are contained in the image \( \tilde{\sigma}(\pi^{-1}(E)) \).

\( \square \)

**Lemma 4.5** Let \( d_1, \ldots, d_r, l \) be positive integers. If \( d_1 \geq 3 \), or if \( r \geq l - 1 \), then the inequality

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l} \leq n,
\]

implies the inequality

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + s}{s} < n - s \quad (16)
\]
for all $s < l$.

If $d_1 = 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq l$, then the inequality $r(l + 2) \leq n + r - l - 1$, implies the inequality (16) for all $s < l$.

Proof. As we saw in the proof of 2 the function of $k$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + k}{k + 1}$$

is increasing. Thus, we need only show (16) for $s = l - 1$. For $d \geq 3$ we have

$$\binom{d + l}{l + 1} + \binom{d + l - 1}{l} \geq \binom{d + l - 1}{l} + \binom{l + 2}{l + 1} = \binom{d + l - 1}{l} + l + 2,$$

which verifies (16) for $s = l - 1$, completing the proof in case $d_1 \geq 3$.

If $d_i = 2$ for all $i$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + s}{s + 1} = r(s + 2).$$

If $r \geq l - 1$, then $r(l + 2) \leq n \implies r(s + 2) < n - s$ for all $s < l$.

If $1 \leq r \leq l$, then $r(l + 2) \leq n + r - l - 1 \implies r(s + 2) < n - s$ for all $s < l$. □

**Theorem 4.6** Let $v$ be in $V(n; d_1, \ldots, d_r)_k$. Suppose that $d_1 \geq 3$, or that $r \geq l + 1$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l + 1} \leq n,$$

then $X_v$ contains a linear space of dimension $l$, and $\text{CH}_s(X_v) \equiv \mathbb{Q}$ for all $s \leq l$, with generator a linear space of dimension $s$. If $d_1 = 2$, $1 \leq r \leq l$ and

$$r(l + 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + l}{l + 1} \leq n + r - l - 1,$$

the same conclusion holds.

Proof. By Lemma 4, for all $0 \leq s < l$ the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{d_i + s}{s + 1} \leq n - s \leq n$$

is satisfied. So it is sufficient to consider $\text{CH}_l(X_v) \equiv \mathbb{Q}$ in 4. Let $Z$ be an irreducible component of $X_v$ of codimension $t$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$. By Lemma 4, a) $Z$ contains an $l$-plane $H$. Leaving out some of the equations $f_i$ and correspondingly replacing the sum in (17) by a smaller one, we may apply Proposition 3 and the Addendum 3.

The $l$-plane $H$ is spanned by $(l - 1)$-planes, and for $s = l - 1$ the equation (16) in 4 allows to apply the Addendum 3. Hence, there exists a $(t + l)$-dimensional subvariety $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ and a positive integer $\alpha$ with

$$\Gamma \cdot Z \equiv \alpha H \mod \text{CH}_l^{(0)}.$$
and hence $\Gamma \cdot Z$ lies in $\text{CH}_l(Z)$.

If $Y$ is a dimension $l$ subvariety of $Z$ spanned by $\sigma$-planes, for $0 \leq \sigma < l$, then by Proposition 3 there exists an effective cycle $\Gamma' \in \text{CH}_{l+1}(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$ such that

$$\Gamma' \cdot Z = \alpha' Y + \sum_i \alpha_i Y_i$$

for some positive integer $\alpha'$, for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for $l$-dimensional subvarieties $Y_i$, spanned by $\sigma + 1$-planes in $Z$. Since $\text{CH}_{l+1}(\mathbb{P}^n_k) = \mathbb{Z}$ the cycle $\Gamma'$ is rationally equivalent to $\beta \Gamma$ for some rational number $\beta$. Hence $\beta \alpha H$ and $\alpha' Y'$ are rational equivalent modulo $\text{CH}_l(Z)$.

Thus, after finitely many steps one obtains $\text{CH}_l(Z)_\mathbb{Q} = \text{CH}_l(Z)_\mathbb{Q}$, for all irreducible components $Z$ of $X_v$. Of course, this implies that $\text{CH}_l(X_v)_\mathbb{Q}$ is generated by the classes of $l$-planes contained in $X_v$.

On the other hand, by Proposition 4 $A_0(\text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)) = 0$, hence all the $l$-planes in $X_v$ have the same class in $\text{CH}_l(X_v)_\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

**Remark 4.7** From the point of view of Hodge-theory, or number theory, we have the essentially linear bound mentioned in the introduction

$$l + 1 \leq \left[ \frac{n - \sum_{i=2}^r d_i}{d_1} \right]$$

rather than the degree $l + 1$ bound

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{d_i + l}{l + 1} \right) \leq n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

of Theorem 4. The statement

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{d_i + l}{l + 1} \right) \geq n + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_g(\text{Gr}_k(l; X_v)) > 0$$

of Lemma 4 shows that one cannot hope to prove $\text{CH}_l(X_v)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ for such $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ satisfying (18) but not (19) by only considering rational equivalences of $l$-planes among $l$-planes. Indeed, Roitman’s theorem on the infinite dimensionality of zero cycles [17] shows that the variety of $l$-planes has non-trivial zero cycles once the inequality (19) fails, hence, if it is indeed true that all $l$-planes in $X_v$ are rationally equivalent (with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients) one must use rational equivalences which involve subvarieties of higher degree. The first interesting case is the question of whether $\text{CH}_1(X)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ for $X$ a quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^8$.

**Remark 4.8** In the case of irreducible quadric hypersurfaces $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 give the same bound; for a smooth quadric, this bound is sharp. Indeed, we have $\text{CH}_s(Q) = \mathbb{Z}$ for all $s \leq l$ if and only if $n \geq 2l + 2$, which is exactly the bound of Corollary 2 (it is well-known that the Chow groups of a smooth quadric are torsion-free). This is also the bound given by the Hodge-theoretic considerations mentioned in Remark 4.
5 Decomposition of the diagonal

As pointed out by Bloch-Srinivas [2], results on triviality of Chow groups of a projective variety \( X \) give rise to a special structure on the diagonal in \( X \times X \); this in turn leads to a decomposition of the motive of \( X \) and to the triviality of primitive cohomology. Variants of this have appeared in many works; we give here a brief account of this technique.

**Lemma 5.1** Let \( X \) be a closed subset of \( \mathbb{P}^n_t \) of pure dimension \( t \). Suppose \( X \) contains a linear space \( L \cong \mathbb{P}^l \) such that, for all algebraically closed fields \( K \supset k \), \( \text{CH}_s(X_K)_Q \) is generated by the class of a dimension \( s \) linear space \( L_s \subset L \). Let \( Y \) be a \( k \)-variety of dimension \( d \) with \( t - l \leq d \leq t \). Then for each \( \delta \in \text{CH}_t(X \times Y)_Q \), there is a proper closed subset \( D \subset Y \), a cycle \( \gamma \in \text{CH}_t(X \times Y)_Q \), supported in \( X \times D \), and a rational number \( r \), such that

\[
\delta = r[L_{t-d} \times Y] + \gamma,
\]

where \([-]\) denotes the class in \( \text{CH}_t(X \times Y)_Q \).

**Proof.** For a field extension \( F \) of \( k(Y) \), we denote \( X \times_k F \) by \( X_F \), and let \( \delta_F \) be the pull-back of \( \delta \) to \( X_F \) via the canonical map \( X_F \to X \times Y \). We let \([-]_F \) denote the class of a cycle in \( \text{CH}_t(X_F)_Q \).

Let \( K \) be the algebraic closure of the function field \( k(Y) \). As \( \delta_K \) is an element of \( \text{CH}_{t-d}(X_K)_Q \), and \( 0 \leq t - d \leq l \), we have the identity \( \delta_K = r[L_{t-d}]_K \) for some rational number \( r \). This gives the identity \( \delta_F = r[L_{t-d}]_F \) for some finite extension \( F \) of \( k(Y) \). We may push forward by the map \( X_F \to X_{k(Y)} \), giving the identity \( \delta_{k(Y)} = r[L_{t-d}]_{k(Y)} \). Thus there is a Zariski open subset \( j: U \to Y \) of \( Y \) such that we have the identity

\[
(id_X \times j)^*(\delta) = [L_{t-d} \times U]
\]

in \( \text{CH}_t(X \times U)_Q \). Let \( D = Y \setminus U \) with inclusion \( i: D \to Y \); the exact localization sequence

\[
\text{CH}_t(X \times D)_Q \xrightarrow{(id_X \times i)^*} \text{CH}_t(X \times Y)_Q \xrightarrow{(id_X \times j)^*} \text{CH}_t(X \times U)_Q \to 0
\]

together with the identity \([L_{t-d} \times U] = (id_X \times j)^*([L_{t-d} \times Y])\) completes the proof. \( \Box \)

**Theorem 5.2** Let \( X \) be a closed subset of \( \mathbb{P}^n_t \) of pure dimension \( t \). Suppose that \( X \) is the intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees \( d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \geq 2 \); suppose further that either

i. \( d_1 \geq 3 \) or \( r \geq l + 1 \), and that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{d_i + l}{l + 1} \right) \leq n,
\]

or that

ii. \( d_1 = 2 \), \( 1 \leq r \leq l \) and that \( r(l + 2) \leq n + r - l - 1 \).

Then \( X \) contains a flag of linear spaces \( L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \ldots \subset L_l \), with \( \dim(L_j) = j \), and we may write the class of the diagonal \( \Delta_X \) in \( \text{CH}_t(X \times X)_Q \) as

\[
[\Delta_X] = [L_0 \times X] + [L_1 \times A_1] + \ldots + [L_l \times A_l] + \gamma,
\]
with $A_i \in \text{CH}_{r,i}(X)_Q$ and with $\gamma$ supported in $X \times W$ for some pure codimension $l + 1$ closed subset $W$ of $X$.

In addition, if $X$ is smooth, let $h$ denote the class in $\text{CH}^1(X)$ of a hyperplane section of $X$, and let $h^{(i)}$ denote the $i$-fold self-intersection. Then $[A_i] = h^{(i)}; \quad i = 1, \ldots, l$, in $\text{CH}^i(X)_Q$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, $X$ contains a flag of linear spaces $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \ldots \subset L_l$, such that, for each algebraically closed field $K \supset k$, $\text{CH}_s(X_K)_Q$ is generated by the class of $L_s$ for $0 \leq s \leq l$.

Suppose $X$ has components $X_1, \ldots, X_p$; let $\Delta^i_X$ denote the image of $\Delta_{X_i}$ in $X \times X_i$ for each algebraically closed field $\gamma$ with $\text{CH}_s(X_\gamma)_Q$ supported in $X_\gamma$.

We now apply Lemma 5 to the cycle $[\Delta^i_X]$. This gives us a proper closed subset $D^i_1$ of $X_i$, a rational number $r_i$ and a cycle $\gamma^i_1$ in $\text{CH}_t(X \times X_i)_Q$, supported in $X \times D^i_1$ such that

$$[\Delta^i_X] = r_i[L_0 \times X_i] + \gamma^i_1$$

(20)
in $\text{CH}_t(X \times X_i)_Q$. Applying the projection $p_{2*}$ gives $r_i = 1$. Since $D^i_1$ is a proper closed subset of $X_i$, we may suppose that $D^i_1$ has pure codimension 1 on $X_i$.

Let $q_i: X_i \to X$ be the inclusion. Let $D_1 = \cup^{p}_{i=1} D^i_1$ and let

$$\gamma_1 = \sum^{p}_{i=1} (\text{id}_X \times q_i)_*(\gamma^i_1).$$

Since

$$[\Delta_X] = \sum^{p}_{i=1} (\text{id}_X \times q_i)_*(\Delta^i_X),$$

$$[L_0 \times X] = \sum^{p}_{i=1} (\text{id}_X \times q_i)_*(L_0 \times X_i)$$

applying $(\text{id}_X \times q_i)_*$ to the relation (20) and summing gives the identity

$$[\Delta_X] = [L_0 \times X] + \gamma_1$$
in $\text{CH}_t(X \times X)_Q$, with $\gamma_1 \in \text{CH}_t(X \times X)_Q$ supported in $X \times D_1$.

The result then follows by induction: suppose we have an integer $s$, with $1 \leq s \leq l$, and the identity in $\text{CH}_t(X \times X)_Q$:

$$[\Delta_X] = [L_0 \times X] + [L_1 \times A_1] + \ldots \ldots + [L_{s-1} \times A_{s-1}] + \gamma_s,$$

with $A_i \in \text{CH}_{r,i}(X)_Q$ and with $\gamma_s$ supported in $X \times D_s$ for some pure codimension $s$ closed subset $D_s$ of $X$. If $D_s$ has irreducible components $D^i_1, \ldots, D^p_s$, we may write $\gamma_s$ as a sum

$$\gamma_s = \sum^{p}_{i=1} \gamma^i_s,$$

with $\gamma^i_s$ supported in $X \times D^i_s$. As $D^i_s$ has dimension $t - s$, we may apply Lemma 5, giving a proper closed subset $D^i_{s+1}$ of $D^i_s$, a rational number $r_i$ and a cycle $\gamma^i_{s+1} \in \text{CH}_t(X \times D^i_s)$, supported in $X \times D^i_{s+1}$, such that the identity

$$\gamma^i_s = r_i[L_s \times D^i_s] + \gamma^i_{s+1}$$

20
holds in $\text{CH}_t(X \times D_i)$. We may suppose that $D_{s+1}^i$ is a pure codimension one subset of $D_i^i$.

Taking $A_s = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i [D_i^i]$, $\gamma_{s+1} = \sum_{i=1}^s \gamma_i^s$ and $D_{s+1} = \cup_{i=1}^s D_{s+1}^i$ gives the desired identity

$$[\Delta_X] = [L_0 \times X] + [L_1 \times A_1] + \ldots + [L_s \times A_s] + \gamma_{s+1},$$

verifying the induction.

It remains to show that $[A_i] = h^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l$ in case $X$ is smooth. For cycles $\alpha \in \text{CH}^*(X \times X)\mathbb{Q}$ and $\beta \in \text{CH}^*(X)\mathbb{Q}$ let $\alpha_*(\beta) = p_2_*(\pi^* \beta \cup \alpha)$. We note the identities

$$[\Delta_X]_*(h^{(j)}) = h^{(j)}$$
$$[L_i \times A_j]_*(h^{(j)}) = \delta_{ij}[A_i]$$
$$\gamma_*(h^{(j)}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq l,$$

from which the identity $[A_i] = h^{(j)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$ follows immediately. \hfill \Box

We recall the category of effective Chow motives over $k$, $\mathcal{M}_k^+$ (see [13] for details). The objects of $\mathcal{M}_k^+$ are pairs $(X, \gamma)$, where $X$ is a smooth projective $k$-variety, and $\gamma \in \text{CH}^\text{dim}_k(X)(X \times_k X)\mathbb{Q}$ is an idempotent correspondence; the object $(X, \Delta_X)$ is denoted $m(X)$, and is called the motive of $X$. Morphisms from $(X, \gamma)$ to $(Y, \delta)$ are given by correspondences. $\mathcal{M}_k^+$ is a tensor category, with tensor product induced by the operation of product over $k$. We have the Lefschetz motive $\mathcal{L}$, defined as the object $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times 0)$; inverting the operation $(-) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ defines the category of Chow motives over $k$, $\mathcal{M}_k$.

**Corollary 5.3** Let $X$ be as in Theorem 5, and assume in addition that $X$ is smooth. Then the decomposition of the diagonal in Theorem 5 is a decomposition of $[\Delta_X]$ into mutually orthogonal idempotent correspondences, giving the decomposition of the motive $m(X)$ in $\mathcal{M}_k$ as

$$m(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^l \mathcal{L}^i \bigoplus (X, \gamma).$$

**Proof.** One directly computes that the classes $[L_i] \times h^{(i)}$ are mutually orthogonal idempotents; as these classes clearly commute with $[\Delta_X]$, the decomposition of $[\Delta_X]$ in 5 is a decomposition into mutually orthogonal idempotents, as claimed. It remains to check that there is an isomorphism of $(X, [L_i] \times h^{(i)})$ with $\mathcal{L}^i$.

For this, we note that $\mathcal{L}^i$ is isomorphic to the motive defined by $(L_i, [L_i \times 0])$. Let $\iota_i: L_i \to X$ be the inclusion, giving the morphism

$$\iota_i^*: (X, [L_i] \times h^{(i)}) \longrightarrow (L_i, [L_i \times 0]).$$

It is then easy to check that $\iota_i^*$ is an isomorphism with its inverse given by the correspondence $L_i \times h^{(i)} \subseteq L_i \times X$. \hfill \Box

One can use the decomposition of the diagonal in Theorem 5 to recover a part of the results of Ax and Katz on the congruence $\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, once we make certain integrality assumptions on the decomposition, and assume a weak form of resolution of singularities. This gives a proof of a weak version of the Ax/Katz result by essentially
algebra-geometric means, without resorting to the use of zeta functions. To see this, we first note the following result:

**Lemma 5.4** Let $Y$ be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $Z$ be an irreducible closed subset of codimension $s$. Let $\Pi_Y$ and $\Pi_Z$ denote the graphs of the Frobenius endomorphisms $\text{Frob}_Y$ and $\text{Frob}_Z$, respectively. Then

i. $\Pi_Y$ intersects $Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z$ properly in $Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y$, and

$$\Pi_Y \cdot_{Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y} Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z = q^s \Pi_Z,$$

where we consider $\Pi_Z$ as a cycle on $Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z$.

ii. $\Pi_Y$ intersects $Z \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y$ properly in $Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y$, and

$$\Pi_Y \cdot_{Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y} Z \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y = \Pi_Z,$$

where we consider $\Pi_Z$ as a cycle on $Z \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y$.

**Proof.** We give the proof of (i); the proof of (ii) is similar and is left to the reader.

Since $\Pi_Y$ is the locus of points $(y, y^q)$, and similarly for $\Pi_Z$, it follows that

$$\Pi_Y \cap (Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z) = \Pi_Z$$

set-theoretically, which shows that the intersection $\Pi_Y \cap (Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z)$ is proper. Since $\Pi_Z$ is irreducible, we have as well

$$\Pi_Y \cdot_{Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y} (Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z) = \mu \Pi_Z$$

for some positive integer $\mu$. Since the intersection multiplicity is determined at the generic point of $\Pi_Z$, we may replace $Y$ with any open subset which intersects $Z$; thus, we may assume that $Y$ is affine, and, as $\mathbb{F}_q$ is perfect, that $Z$ is smooth over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Suppose we have an étale map of pairs $f: (Y, Z) \to (T, W)$, with $(T, W)$ satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma. Then $f$ induces étale maps

$$Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Y \to T \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} T, \quad Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} Z \to T \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} W$$

$$\Pi_Y \to \Pi_T, \quad \Pi_Z \to \Pi_W,$$

hence we have

$$\Pi_T \cdot_{T \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} T} (T \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} W) = \mu \Pi_W,$$

with the same integer $\mu$ as in (1). Thus, it suffices to prove (i) for some $(T, W)$.

Shrinking $Y$ again if necessary, we may find an étale map of pairs

$$(Y, Z) \to (\mathbb{A}^{n+s}, \mathbb{A}^n)$$

where $\mathbb{A}^n$ is the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n+s}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = \ldots = x_{n+s} = 0$, for global coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+s}$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n+s}$. Using coordinates $x_i$ and $y_j$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n+s} \times \mathbb{A}^{n+s}$, with the $x_i$ being coordinates on the first factor and the $y_j$ coordinates on the second factor, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}^{n+s}}$ is defined by the equations

$$y_j - x_j^q = 0; \quad j = 1, \ldots, n + s.$$
As $\mathbb{A}^{n+s} \times \mathbb{A}^n$ is defined by the equations

$$y_i = 0; \quad i = n + 1, \ldots, n + s,$$

the identity

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{A}^{n+s} \times \mathbb{A}^n} \circ (\mathbb{A}^{n+s} \times \mathbb{A}^n) = q^s \Pi_{\mathbb{A}^n}$$

follows by a direct computation.

**Proposition 5.5** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ containing a flag of linear spaces $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \ldots \subset L_l$. Let $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at $p$ and suppose we have in $\text{CH}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p)$ the identity:

$$[\Delta_X] = [L_0 \times X] + [L_1 \times A_1] + \ldots + [L_l \times A_l] + [\gamma],$$

with $A_i$ a codimension $i$ cycle (with $\mathbb{Z}(p)$-coefficients) on $X$, and $\gamma$ supported on $X \times W$ for some closed subset $W$ of $X$. Suppose in addition that each irreducible component of $\gamma$ dominates an irreducible component of $W$, that each irreducible component of $W$ has codimension at least $l + 1$ on $X$, and that $W$ admits a resolution of singularities over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \pmod{q^{l+1}}.$$

**Proof.** It follows as in the proof of the last statement of 5 that we have $[A_i] = h^{(i)}$ in $\text{CH}^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p)$, with $h^{(i)}$ the class of the intersection of $X$ with a dimension $n - i$ linear subspace $L_{n-i}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$. We may assume that $L_i$ and $L_{n-i}$ intersect transversely in $\mathbb{P}^n$ at a single point 0. By repeated applications of the projection formula, together with 5, we have

$$\deg(\Pi_X \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} X (L_i \times h^{(i)})) = \deg(\Pi_X \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} X (L_i \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i})) = \deg(\Pi_{\mathbb{P}^n} \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{P}^n (L_i \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i})) = \deg(q^{l-i} \Pi_{L_{n-i}} \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i} (0 \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i})) = q^{l-i} \deg(\Pi_0 \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i} (0 \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_{n-i})) = q^{l-i}.$$

Similarly, let $\gamma_i$ be an irreducible component of $\gamma$, dominating an irreducible component $W_i$ of $W$. By our assumption on $\gamma$ and $W$, there is a resolution of singularities $\pi: \tilde{W}_i \rightarrow W_i$, and subvariety $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of $X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \tilde{W}_i$ with $(\text{id}_X \times \pi)_*(\tilde{\gamma}_i) = \gamma_i$. Let

$$p: X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \tilde{W}_i \longrightarrow X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X$$

be the evident morphism. By applying the projection formula and 5, we have

$$\deg(\Pi_X \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} X \gamma_i) = \deg(\Pi_X \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} X p_*(\tilde{\gamma}_i)) = \deg(p^r(\Pi_X) \circ_{\mathbb{F}_q} \tilde{W}_i \tilde{\gamma}_i).$$

On the other hand, since the projection $\Pi_{W_i} \rightarrow W_i$ is finite and surjective, it follows that the pull-back $(\text{id}_X \times \pi)^{-1}(\Pi_{W_i})$ is irreducible. This, together with 5, gives the identity

$$p^r(\Pi_X) = q^s \Pi_{\tilde{W}_i}.$$
with $s = \text{codim}_X(W_i) \geq l + 1$. Thus, we have
\[
\Pi_X \cdot X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X \equiv 0 \mod q^{l+1}.
\]
The identities $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \deg(\Pi_X \cdot X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} X)$ and $\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 + q + \ldots + q^n$ complete the proof. \hfill \Box

\textbf{Remark 5.6} If we have a smooth variety $X$ over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero, for which our result 5 applies, we may consider the various specializations of $X$ obtained by choosing a smooth projective model $\mathcal{X} \to \text{Spec}(R)$ of $X$ over a ring $R$, finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}$, and taking the fibers of $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of $R$. The decomposition of $\Delta_X$ in 5 involves only finitely many denominators, and implies an analogous decomposition of $\Delta_X$, after shrinking Speck($R$) if necessary. Since we may assume that $W$ has a resolution of singularities $\tilde{W}$, smooth and projective over $R$, it follows that 5 implies the Ax/Katz congruence on $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for all but finitely many characteristics and with $\kappa$ replaced by the smaller number $l + 1$.

For $X$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$ one considers on the primitive Betti cohomology groups
\[
H^b(X)_{\text{prim}} := H^b(X, \mathbb{Q})/H^b(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Q}),
\]
the descending coniveau filtration
\[
N^aH^b(X)_{\text{prim}} := \{\sigma \in H^b(X)_{\text{prim}}, \text{ there exists a closed subset } Z \text{ of codimension } \geq a \text{ such that } \sigma|_{X-Z} = 0\}.
\]
The next corollary implies that the Hodge-type relation $F^iH^i_\text{c}(\mathbb{P}^n - X) = H^i_\text{c}(\mathbb{P}^n - X)$ holds true for all $i$.

\textbf{Corollary 5.7} Let $X$ be as in Theorem 5. Assume moreover that $X$ is smooth. Then for all $b$
\[
N^{l+1}H^b(X)_{\text{prim}} = H^b(X)_{\text{prim}}.
\]

\textbf{Proof.} As usual, one applies the correspondence
\[
[\Delta_X]_*\sigma = p_2,([\Delta_X] \cdot p_1^*(\sigma))
\]
on $H^b(X)_{\text{prim}}$, where $[\Delta_X]$ is the cohomology class of $\Delta_X$ in $H^{2\dim X}(X \times X)$. This is the identity. The correspondence with $\gamma$ sends $H^b(X)$ into the image of the cohomology of $W$ via the Gysin morphism, whereas the correspondence with $L_i \times h^{(i)}$ kills $H^b(X)$ for $b \neq 2i$, while for $b = 2i$ it sends $H^{2i}(X)$ into some multiple of the cohomology class of $h^{(i)}$.

On the other hand, for $\sigma \in H^{2i}(X)$, we have
\[
\sigma = [\Delta_X]_*\sigma \equiv [L_i \times h^{(i)}]_*\sigma \mod N^{l+1}H^{2i}(X) \equiv r[h^{(i)}] \mod N^{l+1}H^{2i}(X)
\]
for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. \hfill \Box
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