

A REMARK ON THE GENERALIZED FRANCHETTA CONJECTURE FOR K3 SURFACES

ARNAUD BEAUVILLE

ABSTRACT. A family of K3 surfaces $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ has the *Franchetta property* if the Chow group of 0-cycles on the generic fiber is cyclic. The generalized Franchetta conjecture proposed by O'Grady asserts that the universal family $\mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_g$ of polarized K3 of degree $2g - 2$ has the Franchetta property. While this is known only for small g thanks to [P-S-Y], we prove that for all g there is a hypersurface in \mathcal{F}_g such that the corresponding family has the Franchetta property.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1954, Franchetta stated that the only line bundles defined on the generic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ are the powers of the canonical bundle [F]. Since the proof was insufficient, the result became known as the *Franchetta conjecture*; it was proved by Harer in [H], see also [A-C].

In [O'G], O'Grady proposed an analogue of this result for 0-cycles on K3 surfaces. Recall that the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)$ of 0-cycles on a K3 surface X contains a canonical class \mathfrak{o}_X , the class of any point lying on some rational curve in X ; for any divisors D and D' on X , the product $D \cdot D'$ in $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)$ is a multiple of \mathfrak{o}_X [B-V]. Let $p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ be a map of smooth varieties whose general fiber is a K3 surface. We say that the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ has the Franchetta property if for every smooth fiber X of p the image of the restriction map $\mathrm{CH}^2(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(X)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathfrak{o}_X$. Equivalently, the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^2(\mathcal{X}_\eta)$ of the generic fiber is cyclic.

For $g \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_g$ be the universal family of polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2g - 2$. The generalized Franchetta conjecture of O'Grady is the assertion that this family has the Franchetta property¹. It is proved for $g \leq 10$ and some higher values of g in [P-S-Y]; the general case seems far out of reach. We prove in this note a much weaker (and much easier) statement:

Theorem. *There exists for every g a hypersurface in \mathcal{F}_g such that the corresponding family satisfies the Franchetta property.*

The key point of the proof is the construction, for each g , of a 18-dimensional family of polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2g - 2$, which can be realized as complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ for $n = 2, 3$ or 4 (§3). Then a simple argument, already used in [P-S-Y], shows that these families have the Franchetta property (§2). Here the crucial property of our families is that they are parameterized by a linear space (in particular, they give unirational hypersurfaces in \mathcal{F}_g for every g); thus there is no chance of extending the method to the whole moduli space \mathcal{F}_g , which is of general type for g large enough [G-H-S].

2. THE METHOD

We use the method of [P-S-Y], based on the following result. Let P be a smooth complex projective variety, E a vector bundle on P , globally generated by a subspace V of $H^0(E)$. Consider the

¹Here one can view \mathcal{F}_g as a stack, or restrict to the open subset parametrizing K3 with trivial automorphism group.

subvariety $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times P$ of pairs $(\mathbb{C}s, x)$ with $s(x) = 0$ ²; let p, q be the projections onto $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and P . For $s \in V \setminus \{0\}$, the fiber $p^{-1}(\mathbb{C}s)$ is the zero locus of s in P ; for $x \in P$, the fiber $q^{-1}(x)$ is the space of lines $\mathbb{C}s \subset V$ such that $s(x) = 0$. Since V generates E , the projection $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow P$ is a projective bundle (in particular, \mathcal{X} is smooth).

Proposition. *For any smooth fiber X of p , the image of the restriction map $\text{CH}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{CH}(X)$ is equal to the image of $\text{CH}(P)$.*

Proof : Let $h \in \text{CH}^1(\mathbb{P}(V))$ be the class of a hyperplane section. The class $p^*h \in \text{CH}^1(\mathcal{X})$ induces the hyperplane class on a general fiber of q ; since q is a projective bundle, it follows that $\text{CH}(\mathcal{X})$ is generated by $q^* \text{CH}(P)$ and the powers of p^*h . But p^*h vanishes on the fibers, hence the result. ■

Corollary. *Assume that the smooth fibers of p are K3 surfaces, and that the multiplication map $m_P : \text{Sym}^2 \text{CH}^1(P) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(P)$ is surjective. Then the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ has the Franchetta property.*

Proof : Let X be a smooth fiber of p . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}^2 \text{CH}^1(P) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sym}^2 \text{CH}^1(X) \\ m_P \downarrow & & \downarrow m_X \\ \text{CH}^2(P) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^2(X) \end{array}$$

shows that the image of $\text{CH}^2(P) \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(X)$ is contained in the image of m_X , hence in $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma_X$. ■

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Since $\dim \mathcal{F}_g = 19$, we must construct for every g a family of polarized K3 surfaces (S, L) with $(L)^2 = 2g - 2$ satisfying the Franchetta property, and depending on 18 moduli (this implies our Theorem, see [P-S-Y, §2, Remark (i)]). We will need three different constructions in order to cover every $g \geq 8$ (the small genus case follow from [P-S-Y]). We will apply the Corollary with $P = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ for $n = 2, 3$ or 4 — note that the surjectivity of m_P is trivially satisfied. For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we put $\mathcal{O}_P(i, j) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(i) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(j)$; the vector bundle E will be a direct sum of $n - 1$ line bundles of this type, so S is a complete intersection of $n - 1$ hypersurfaces in P . In order for S to be a K3 surface we must have $\det(E) = K_P^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_P(2, n + 1)$. We will always take $V = H^0(E)$.

The polarization L on our K3 surface S will be the restriction of the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_P(a, 1)$ on P , for $a \geq 1$. Let $p, h \in \text{CH}^1(P)$ be the pull back of the class of a point in \mathbb{P}^1 and of the hyperplane class in \mathbb{P}^n . Then

$$2g - 2 = (L)^2 = (ap + h)^2 \cdot [S] = (2a(p \cdot h) + h^2) \cdot [S].$$

Case I : $n = 2$, $E = \mathcal{O}_P(2, 3)$, hence

$$2g - 2 = (2a(p \cdot h) + h^2) \cdot (2p + 3h) = 2(3a + 1).$$

Case II : $n = 3$, $E = \mathcal{O}_P(1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P(1, 3)$, hence

$$2g - 2 = (2a(p \cdot h) + h^2) \cdot (p + h)(p + 3h) = 2(3a + 2).$$

Case III : $n = 4$, $E = \mathcal{O}_P(0, 3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P(1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P(1, 1)$, hence

$$2g - 2 = (2a(p \cdot h) + h^2) \cdot 3h(p + h)^2 = 2(3a + 3).$$

²Here $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is the space of lines in V .

Thus we get all values of $g \geq 8$.

It remains to prove that the three families just constructed depend on 18 moduli. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow T_{P|S} \rightarrow N_{S/P} \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(T_{P|S}) \rightarrow H^0(N_{S/P}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(S, T_S);$$

the image of ∂ describes, inside the space of first order deformations of S , those which come from our family. Thus we want to prove $\dim \text{Im } \partial = 18$, or equivalently $h^0(N_{S/P}) - h^0(T_{P|S}) = 18$.

We have $T_P = \text{pr}_1^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \text{pr}_2^* T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$; from the Euler exact sequence we get $h^0((\text{pr}_1^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1})|_S) = h^0(\text{pr}_1^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1})$, and similarly for $\text{pr}_2^* T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. Thus $h^0(T_{P|S}) = h^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^1}) + h^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 3 + n(n+2)$.

Let us denote by d_S the restriction to S of a class $d \in \text{Pic}(P)$. Using $d_S \cdot d'_S = d \cdot d' \cdot [S]$, we find

$$p_S^2 = 0, \quad p_S \cdot h_S = 3, \quad h_S^2 = 2n - 2.$$

By Riemann-Roch, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(i, j)) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}(ip_S + jh_S)^2 = 2 + 3ij + j^2(n-1)$.

Case I: $h^0(N_{S/P}) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2, 3)) = 29$, $h^0(T_{P|S}) = 11$.

Case II: $h^0(N_{S/P}) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1, 1)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1, 3)) = 9 + 29 = 36$, $h^0(T_{P|S}) = 18$.

Case III: $h^0(N_{S/P}) = 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1, 1)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(0, 3)) = 2 \cdot 8 + 29 = 45$, $h^0(T_{P|S}) = 27$.

In each case we find $h^0(N_{S/P}) - h^0(T_{P|S}) = 18$ as required. \blacksquare

Remarks.— 1) In fact, for S very general in each family, $\text{Pic}(S)$ is generated by p_S and h_S : this follows from the Noether-Lefschetz theory, see [V, Thm. 3.33]. Therefore $\text{Pic}(S)$ is the rank 2 lattice with intersection matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2n-2 \end{pmatrix}$.

2) Our 3 families admit actually a simple geometric description. In what follows we consider a general surface S in each family. We fix homogeneous coordinates U, V on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Case I: S is given by an equation $U^2A + 2UVB + V^2C = 0$ in $P = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, with A, B, C cubic forms on \mathbb{P}^2 . Projecting onto \mathbb{P}^2 gives a double covering $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ branched along the sextic plane curve $\Gamma : B^2 - AC = 0$. Let α and γ be the divisors on Γ defined by $A = B = 0$ and $C = B = 0$; then 2α , 2γ and $\alpha + \gamma$ are induced by the cubic curves $A = 0$, $C = 0$ and $B = 0$ respectively, hence belong to the canonical system $|K_\Gamma|$. It follows that α and γ are linearly equivalent theta-characteristics, hence belong to a half-canonical g^1_3 , that is, a vanishing thetanull on Γ . Conversely, it is easy to see that a smooth plane sextic with a vanishing thetanull has an equation of the above form. We conclude that *the surfaces in Case I are the double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along a sextic curve with a vanishing thetanull.*

Case II: The equations of S in $P = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ have the form $UL + VM = UA + VB = 0$, where $L, M; A, B$ are forms of degree 1 and 3 on \mathbb{P}^3 . The projection $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is an isomorphism onto the quartic surface $LB - MA = 0$; this is the equation of a general quartic containing a line. Thus *the surfaces in Case II are the quartic surfaces containing a line.*

Case III: The equations of S in $P = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^4$ are of the form $UA + VB = UC + VD = F = 0$, where $A, B, C, D; F$ are forms of degree 1 and 3 on \mathbb{P}^3 . The projection $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is an isomorphism onto the surface $AD - BC = F = 0$, that is, the intersection of a quadric cone (with one singular

point) and a cubic in \mathbb{P}^4 . Thus *the surfaces in Case III are the complete intersections of a quadric cone and a cubic in \mathbb{P}^4 .*

Note that one sees easily from this description that each family depends indeed on 18 moduli.

REFERENCES

- [A-C] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba : *The Picard group of the moduli spaces of curves*. Topology **26**, no. 2, (1987) 153-171.
- [B-V] A. Beauville, C. Voisin : *On the Chow ring of a K3 surface*. J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 3, 417-426.
- [F] A. Franchetta : *Sulle serie lineari razionalmente determinate sulla curva a moduli generali di dato genere*. Matematiche (Catania) **9** (1954), 126-147.
- [G-H-S] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, G. Sankaran : *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli of K3 surfaces*. Invent. Math. **169** (2007), no. 3, 519-567.
- [H] J. Harer : *The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*. Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 2, 221-239.
- [O'G] K. O'Grady : *Moduli of sheaves and the Chow group of K3 surfaces*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **100** (2013), no. 5, 701-718.
- [P-S-Y] N. Pavic, J. Shen, Q. Yin : *On O'Grady's generalized Franchetta conjecture*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2017, no. 16, 4971-4983.
- [V] C. Voisin : *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **77**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR, CNRS – LABORATOIRE J.-A. DIEUDONNÉ, PARC VALROSE, F-06108 NICE CEDEX 2, FRANCE
E-mail address: arnaud.beauville@unice.fr