

From bacteria to collective motion in heterogeneous media.

Fernando Peruani

In collaboration with:

M. Bär, O. Chepizhko, A. Deutsch, V. Jakovlievic, L. Søgaard Andersen, and J. Starruß

Journées de la physique nicoise - Nice – Dec. 2012



-- first part --

Motivation: collective macroscopic behavior in myxobacteria

Myxobacteria as self-propelled rods

Spatial self-organization of myxobacteria

• Motility engines in M. xanthus:





Pelling 05

Myxobacteria (speed = 0.025 to 0.1μ m/s) Cyanobacteria (speed = 10μ m/s) Cytophaga-Flavobacterium (speed = 2 to 4μ m/s)

• How do M. xanthus cells communicate?

- A quorum sensing diffusive mechanism to trigger the life cycle.
- There is no evidence of a guiding chemotactic signals involved in collective motion.
- Cells exchange C-signal which controls cell reversal (it requires cell-cell contact).
- Cell reversal and C-signal:



Igoshin & Oster 2003

Which mechanism is used by the cells to coordinate their motion?



(Collective motion and clustering in the wild type during the vegetative growth)

- Is there a hidden guiding chemotactic signal?
- Can slime trail following cause these effects?
- Is there a cell-density sensing mechanism that controls cell speed causing of these effects?
- What is the minimal mechanism that can produce these effects?







• How is the behavior in the bulk? - Simulate with periodic boundary conditions



Clustering properties of a system of SP rods



There is a dramatic change in the clustering properties of the system! The cluster size distribution p(m) encodes this information.

Clustering properties of a system of SP rods



There is a dramatic change in the clustering properties of the system! The cluster size distribution p(m) encodes this information.

Clustering properties of a system of SP rods

Summary:



- low density exponential (*mono-dispersed phase*)
- at critical density power-law
- large density peak at large m (collect. mot. phase)

What kind of clustering properties exhibit real myxobacteria ?

• Experiments with:



Alignment and clustering (A+S-Frz- & A+S+Frz-)



Cell collision leads to <u>alignment</u>:



- Gliding speed = 3.10 ± 0.35 µm/min
- W=0.7 μm, L=6.3 μm, a=4.4 μm

• κ=8.9 ± 1.95

Moving clusters of bacteria are formed:



Convergence with time:



There is a steady state cluster size distribution

• Steady state cluster size distribution is a function of the density



• Steady state cluster size distribution is a function of the density



At very high densities we observe vortex formation in A+S-Frz- & A+S+Frz-

• Steady state cluster size distribution is a function of the density



At very high densities we observe formation of mesh-like structures in the (reversing) wild-type

• Experiments with:





Number fluctuations

Average number:

Average square number:

$$\Delta n^2 = \langle (n(L) - \langle n(L) \rangle)^2 \rangle$$

$$\Delta n = (<[n(L) -]^{2})^{1/2} =$$

n(L) = number of particles in box of size L



Number fluctuations

Average number:

Average square number:

$$\Delta n^2 = \langle (n(L) - \langle n(L) \rangle)^2 \rangle$$

$$\Delta n = (\langle [n(L) - \langle n(L) \rangle]^2 \rangle)^{1/2} = \langle n(L) \rangle^{\beta}$$

n(L) = number of particles in box of size L

Giant number fluctuations

$$\Delta n = \langle n(L) \rangle^{\beta} \beta > 1/2$$



Apparent giant number fluctuations



Can the combination of self-propulsion and volume exclusion be a "general" mechanism for spatial self-organization in bacteria ?

Experiments with Bacillus subtilis – motion in a 2D thin film of flagellated cells



H. P. Zhang et al., PNAS 107, 13626 (2010)

Can the combination of self-propulsion and volume exclusion be a "general" mechanism for spatial self-organization in bacteria ?

Experiments with Bacillus subtilis – motion in a 2D thin film of flagellated cells



Can the combination of self-propulsion and volume exclusion be a "general" mechanism for spatial self-organization in bacteria ?

Experiments with Bacillus subtilis – motion in a 2D thin film of flagellated cells



Measurement of number fluctuations:

- Giant number fluctuations reported!
- Critical NF exponent: 0.75 +/- 0.03

H. P. Zhang et al., PNAS 107, 13626 (2010)

Myxococcus xanthus



- no flagella
 no swimming, no fluid just gliding
 speed 3.1 µm/min
 L=6.3µm; W=0.7µm
 T=480 min (8hs)

Bacillus subtilis



- flagella
 swimming ?
 speed 900 µm/min ?
 L =3µm; W=0.6µm
 T=1.6 min

Common features: 2D motion, self-propulsion, volume exclusion effects

Critical clustering exponent: **0.88 (myxo)** and **0.85 (B. subt.)!** Critical NF exponent: 0.8 (myxo) and 0.75 (B. subt.)!

evidence of a <u>general</u> mechanism for the spatial self-organization in bacteria ?





Gliding bacteria – in absence of biochemical signal regulation or hydrodynamical interactions – exhibit a Collective Motion (CM) phase!

Measured statistical features of this CM phase:

- Non-monotonic CSD existence of arbitrary large cluster sizes
 - Giant number fluctuations

Onset of collective motion:

- Power-law CSD with exponent 0.88
- Apparent giant num. fluctuations with exponent 0.8

FP et al., PRL (2012) & Starruss et al. Interface focus (2012)





Gliding bacteria – in absence of biochemical signal regulation or hydrodynamical interactions – exhibit a Collective Motion (CM) phase!

Measured statistical features of this CM phase:

- Non-monotonic CSD existence of arbitrary large cluster sizes
 - Giant number fluctuations

Onset of collective motion:

- Power-law CSD with exponent 0.88
- Apparent giant num. fluctuations with exponent 0.8

Similar statistical features observed in *B. Subtilis*!

SP + volume exclusion candidate to be a general mechanism in the spatial self-organization of bacteria moving in 2D

FP et al., PRL (2012) & Starruss et al. Interface focus (2012)

Thanks for you attention!

Some references:

FP, Starruss, Jakovljevic, Soogard-Andersen, Deutsch, Bär, PRL (2012) Starruss, FP, Jakovljevic, Soogard-Andersen, Deutsch, Bär, Interface focus (2012) Chepizkho, FP, unpublished (2012)