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Abstract. We show that a normal matrix $A$ with coefficient in $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$, $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, can be diagonalized, provided the discriminant $\Delta_A$ of its characteristic polynomial is a monomial times a unit. The proof is an adaptation of the algorithm of proof of Abhyankar-Jung Theorem. As a corollary we obtain the singular value decomposition for an arbitrary matrix $A$ with coefficient in $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ under a similar assumption on $\Delta_{AA^*}$ and $\Delta_{A^*A}$.

We also show real versions of these results, i.e. for coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$, and deduce several results on multiparameter perturbation theory for normal matrices with real analytic, quasi-analytic, or Nash coefficients.

1. Introduction

The classical problem of perturbation theory of linear operators can be stated as follows. Given a family of linear operators or matrices depending on parameters, with what regularity can we parameterize the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors.

This problem was first considered for families depending on one parameter. For the analytic dependence the classical results are due to Rellich [19, 20, 21], and Kato [12]. For instance, by [12] the eigenvalues, eigenprojections, and eigennilpotents of a holomorphic curve of $(n \times n)$-matrices are holomorphic with at most algebraic singularities at discrete points. By [20] the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a real analytic curve of Hermitian matrices admit real analytic parametrization.

More recently, the multiparameter case has been considered, first by Kurdyka and Paunescu [13] for real symmetric and antisymmetric matrices depending analytically on real parameters, and then by Rainer [17] for normal matrices depending again on real parameters. The main results of [13], [17] state that the eigenvalues and the eigenspaces depend analytically on the parameters after blowings-up in the parameter space. Note that for normal matrices this generalizes also the classical one-parameter case (there are no nontrivial blowings-up of one dimensional nonsingular space). For a review of both classical and more recent results see [17] and [18].

In this paper we show, in Theorem 2.1, that the families of normal matrices depending on formal multiparameter can be diagonalized formally under a simple assumption that the discriminant of its characteristic polynomial (or the square-free form of the characteristic polynomial in general) equals a monomial times a unit. Of course, by the resolution of
singularities, one can make the discriminant normal crossings by blowings-up and thus recover easily the results of [13] and [17], see Section 5.

As a simple corollary of the main result we obtain in Section 3 similar results for the singular value decomposition of families of arbitrary, not necessarily normal, matrices.

The choice of the formal dependence on parameters is caused by the method of the proof that is purely algebraic, but it implies analogous results for many Henselian subrings of the ring of formal power series, see Section 4, in particular, for the analytic, quasi-analytic, and algebraic power series (i.e. Nash function germs). The assumption that the rings are Henselian cannot be dropped, if we want to study the eigenvalues in terms of the coefficients of the matrix, or its characteristic polynomial, we need the Implicit Function Theorem.

All these results are of local nature. In the last section we give a simple example of a global statement, but in general the global case remains open.

Another novelty of this paper is the method of proof. Recall that in [13] the authors first reparameterize (by blowing-up) the parameter space in order to get the eigenvalues real analytic. Then they solve linear equations describing the eigenspaces corresponding to irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial. This requires to resolve the ideal defined by all the minors of the associated matrices. A similar approach is adapted in [17]. First the eigenvalues are made analytic by blowings-up and then further blowings-up are necessary, for instance to make the coefficients of matrices and their differences normal crossing.

Our approach is different. We adapt the algorithm of the proof of Abhyankar-Jung Theorem of [16] to handle directly the matrices (and hence implicitly the eigenvalues and eigenspaces at the same time). This simplifies the proof and avoids unnecessary blowings-up. We note that we cannot deduce our result directly from the Abhyankar-Jung Theorem. Indeed, even under the assumption that the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial is a monomial times a unit, the Abhyankar-Jung Theorem implies only that its roots, that is the eigenvalues of the matrix, are fractional power series in the parameters, that is the power series with positive rational exponents.

In a recent preprint, Grandjean [8] shows results similar to these of [13] and [17] but by different approach. Similarly to our strategy, he does not treat the eigenvalues first. Otherwise his approach is quite different. He considers the eigenspaces defined on the complement of the discriminant locus, denoted $D_A$, and constructs an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{F}_A$ with the following property. If $\mathcal{F}_A$ is principal then the eigenspaces extend to $D_A$. The construction of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{F}_A$ is quite involved, we refer the reader to [8] for details.

1.1. Notation and conventions. For a commutative ring $R$ and positive integers $p$ and $q$, we denote by $\text{Mat}_{p,q}(R)$ the set of matrices with entries in $R$ with $p$ rows and $q$ columns. When $p$ and $q$ are equal to a same integer $d$, we denote this set by $\text{Mat}_d(R)$.

Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ represent a $n$-tuple of indeterminates. These indeterminates will be replaced by real variables in some cases.

We say that $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ is a monomial times unit if $f = X^\alpha a(X) = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} a(X)$ with $a(0) \neq 0$. 

For a matrix $A = A(X) \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$, we denote by $A^*$ its adjoint, i.e. if the entries of $A(X)$ are the series $a_{i,j}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{i,j,\alpha} X^\alpha$ then $A^*(X)$ is the matrix whose entries are the $b_{i,j}(X)$ defined by $b_{i,j}(X) = a_{j,i}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{j,i,\alpha} X^\alpha$.

A matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ is called normal if $AA^* = A^*A$ and unitary if $AA^* = A^*A = I_d$. The set of unitary matrices is denoted by $U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$.

For a matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$, we denote by $P_A(Z) = Z^d + c_1(X)Z^{d-1} + \cdots + c_d(X)$ its characteristic polynomial and by $\Delta_A \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ the first nonzero generalized discriminant of $P_A(Z)$. Let us recall that $\Delta_A$ equals $\sum_{r_1 < \cdots < r_i \leq j} \prod_{i<j} (\xi_i - \xi_k)^2$ where the $\xi_i$ are the roots of $P_A(Z)$ in an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}((X))$ and $l$ is the number of such distinct roots. Since $\Delta_A$ is symmetric in the $\xi_i$ it is a polynomial in the $c_k$. Let us notice that

$$\Delta_A = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \Delta'_A$$

where the $\mu_i$ are the multiplicities of the distinct roots of $P_A$ and $\Delta'_A$ is the discriminant of the reduced (i.e. square-free) form $(P_A)_{\text{red}}$ of its characteristic polynomial. One can look at [25, Appendix IV] or [15, Appendix B] for more properties of these generalized discriminants, and to [23] for an effective way of computing them.

2. Reduction of normal matrices

2.1. Complex normal matrices.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $A(X) = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\ldots,d} \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ be normal and suppose that $\Delta_A = X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_n^{a_n} g(X)$ with $g(0) \neq 0$. Then there is a unitary matrix $U \in U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ such that

$$U(X)^{-1} A(X) U(X) = D(X),$$

where $D(X)$ is a diagonal matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$.

If, moreover, the last nonzero coefficient of $P_A$ is a monomial times a unit, then the nonzero entries of $D(X)$ are also of the form a monomial times a unit $X^\alpha a(X)$ and their exponents $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ are well ordered.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We prove Theorem 2.1 by induction on $d$. Thus we suppose that the theorem holds for matrices of order less than $d$. Our proof follows closely the proof of Abhyankar-Jung Theorem given in [16], that is algorithmic and based on Theorem 1.1 of [16]. The analog of this theorem for our set-up is Proposition 2.3. For its proof we will need the following easy generalization of Theorem 1.1 of [16] to the case of matrices with a not necessarily reduced characteristic polynomial.
Proposition 2.2. Let $P(Z) = Z^d + c_2(X)Z^{d-2} + \cdots + c_d(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]][Z]$ and suppose that there is $a_i \neq 0$. If the discriminant $\Delta$ of $(P)_{\text{red}}$ equals a monomial times a unit, then the ideal $(c_i^{d_j/j}(X))_{i=2,\ldots,d} \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ is principal and generated by a monomial.

Proof. By the Abhyankar-Jung Theorem, see e.g. [16], there is $q \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q_i \geq 1$ for all $i$, such that the roots of $P_{\text{red}}$ are in $\mathbb{C}[X^{1/q}]$ and moreover their differences are fractional monomials. The set of these roots (without multiplicities) coincides with the set of roots of $P_A$. Then we argue as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [16]. □

We note that the exponents make the $c_i^{d_j/j}(X)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, d$ homogeneous of the same degree as functions of the roots of $P$. In the case of the characteristic polynomial of a matrix, these coefficients will become homogeneous of the same degree in terms of the entries of the matrix.

Proposition 2.2 implies easily its analog for normal matrices.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and that, moreover, $A$ is nonzero and $\text{Tr}(A(X)) = 0$. Then the ideal $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\ldots,d} \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ is principal and generated by a monomial.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 and (1), the ideal $(c_i^{d_j/j}(X))_{i=2,\ldots,d}$ is principal and generated by a monomial. This is still the case if we divide $A$ by the maximal monomial that divides all entries of $A$. Thus we may assume that no monomial (that is not constant) divides $A$. If $A(0) = 0$ then there is $j$ such that all the coefficients $c_i(X)$ of $P_A$ are divisible $X_j$. Therefore, for normal matrices, by Lemma 2.4, $A|_{X_j=0} = 0$, that means that all entries of $A$ are divisible by $X_j$, a contradiction. Thus $A(0) \neq 0$ that ends the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. Let $A(X) \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ be normal. If every coefficient of $P_A$ is zero: $c_i(X) = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, then $A = 0$.

Proof. Induction on the number of variables $n$. The case $n = 0$ is obvious. Suppose $c_i(X) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Consider $A_1 = A|_{X_1=0}$. By the inductive assumption $A_1 \equiv 0$, that is every entry of $A$ is divisible by $X_1$. If $A \neq 0$ then we divide it by the maximal power $X_1^{m_1}$ that divides all coefficients of $A$. The resulting matrix, that we denote by $\hat{A}$, is normal and the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial $P_{\hat{A}} = \hat{c}_i(X) = X_1^{-m_1}c_i(X) = 0$. This is impossible because then $P_{A_1} = 0$ and $\hat{A}_1 \neq 0$, that contradicts the inductive assumption. □

We suppose further that $A$ is nonzero and make a sequence of reductions simplifying the form of $A(X)$. First we note that we may assume $\text{Tr}(A(X)) = 0$. Indeed, we may replace $A(X)$ by $\hat{A}(X) = A - \text{Tr}(A(X))\text{Id}$. Then we may apply Proposition 2.3 and hence, after dividing $A$ by the maximal monomial that divides all entries of $A$, assume that $A(0) \neq 0$.

Thus suppose $A(0) \neq 0$ and $\text{Tr}(A(X)) = 0$. Denote by $\hat{P}(Z)$ the characteristic polynomial of $A(0)$. Since $A(0)$ is normal, nonzero, of trace zero, it has at least two distinct eigenvalues. Therefore, after a unitary change of coordinates, we may assume that $A(0)$ is bloc diagonal

(2) $A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{B}_2 \end{pmatrix}$,

with $\hat{B}_1 \in \text{Mat}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C})$, $d = d_1 + d_2$, and with the resultant of the characteristic polynomials of $\hat{B}_1$ and $\hat{B}_2$ nonzero.
Lemma 2.5. Under the above assumptions there is a unitary matrix $U \in U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$, $U(0) = I_d$, such that

$$U^{-1} A U = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $B_i(0) = \hat{B}_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Consider

$$\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4) : U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]]) \times Mat_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}[[X]]) \times Mat_{d_2}(\mathbb{C}[[X]]) \times Mat_{d_2,d_1}(\mathbb{C}[[X]]) \rightarrow Mat_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]]),$$

defined by

$$U(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) \rightarrow U \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_1 + Y_1 \\ 0 \\ \hat{B}_2 + Y_2 \end{pmatrix} U^* = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_4 \\ T_3 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Recall that a tangent vector at $I_d$ to $U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ is a matrix $u$ that is skew-hermitian $u = -u^*$. We shall write it as

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & x \\ -x^* & z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The differential of $\Psi$ at $(I_d, 0, 0, 0)$ on the vector $(u, y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is given by

$$d\Psi_1(u, y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1 + z_i \hat{B}_i - \hat{B}_i z_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$d\Psi_3(u, y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_3 - x^* \hat{B}_1 + \hat{B}_2 x^*,$$

$$d\Psi_4(u, y_1, y_2, y_3) = x \hat{B}_2 - \hat{B}_1 x.$$  

This differential is a linear epimorphism thanks to the following lemma due to Cohn [5], see also [24]. We present its proof for reader’s convenience.

Lemma 2.6. [5, Lemma 2.3] Let $R$ be an unitary commutative ring, $A \in Mat_p(R), B \in Mat_q(R), C \in Mat_{p,q}(R)$, such that $P_A$ and $P_B$ are coprime, i.e. there exist polynomials $U$ and $V$ such that $UP_A + VP_B = 1$. Then there is a matrix $M \in Mat_{p,q}(R)$ such that $AM - MB = C$.

Proof. By assumption there exist polynomials $U$ and $V$ such that $UP_A + VP_B = 1$. Set $Q = VP_B$. Then $Q(A) = I_p$ and $Q(B) = 0$. Let us write $Q(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r q_i T_i$ and set $M = \sum_{i=1}^r q_i \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} A^k CB^{i-k-1}$. Then

$$AM - MB = A \sum_{i=1}^r q_i \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} A^k CB^{i-k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^r q_i \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} A^k CB^{i-k-1}B =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^r q_i A^i C - C \sum_{i=0}^r q_i B^i = Q(A)C - CQ(B) = C.$$  

□
We continue the proof of Lemma 2.5. By the Implicit Function Theorem, valid over the ring of formal power series, there are matrices $B_1, B_2, B_3$ such that
\begin{equation}
U^{-1}AU = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ B_3 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}
The matrix on the right-hand side is normal and block triangular. Therefore it is block diagonal. This ends the proof of lemma. \hfill \Box

Note that the matrices $B_i$ satisfying the formula (3) have to be normal. Moreover, $P_{U^{-1}AU} = P_A = P_{B_1}P_{B_2}$. This shows that the discriminants of $(P_{B_1})_{\text{red}}$ and $(P_{B_2})_{\text{red}}$ divide the $\Delta_A$ and hence we may apply to $B_1$ and $B_2$ the inductive assumption.

For the last claim we note that the extra assumption implies that each nonzero eigenvalue of $A$ is a monomial times a unit. Moreover the assumption on the discriminant implies the same for all nonzero differences of the eigenvalues. Therefore by [1, Lemma 4.7], the exponents of these monomials are well ordered. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now complete. \hfill \Box

2.2. Real normal matrices. This is the real counterpart of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $A(X) \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{R}[X])$ be normal and suppose that $\Delta_A = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} g(X)$ with $g(0) \neq 0$. Then there exists an orthogonal matrix $O \in \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{R}[X])$ such that
\begin{equation}
O(X)^{-1} \cdot A(X) \cdot O(X) = \begin{bmatrix}
C_1(X) & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & C_d(X)
\end{bmatrix},
\end{equation}
where $s \geq 0$, $\lambda_{2s+1}(X), \ldots, \lambda_d(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ and the $C_i(X)$ are $(2 \times 2)$-matrices of the form
\begin{equation}
\begin{bmatrix}
a(X) & b(X) \\
-b(X) & a(X)
\end{bmatrix}
\end{equation}
for some $a(X), b(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$. If $A(X)$ is symmetric we may assume that $s = 0$, i.e. $O(X)^{-1} \cdot A(X) \cdot O(X)$ is diagonal.

If, moreover, the last nonzero coefficient of $P_A$ is a monomial times a unit, then the nonzero entries of $O(X)^{-1} \cdot A(X) \cdot O(X)$ are of the form a monomial times a unit $X^{\alpha} a(X)$ and their exponents $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ are well ordered.

**Proof.** This corollary follows from Theorem 2.1 by a classical argument.

By Theorem 2.1 there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of $A(X)$ in $\mathbb{C}[X]^d$ such that the corresponding eigenvalues are
\begin{equation}
\lambda_1(X), \overline{\lambda}_1(X), \ldots, \lambda_s(X), \overline{\lambda}_s(X), \lambda_{2s+1}(X), \ldots, \lambda_d(X),
\end{equation}
where $\lambda_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus \mathbb{R}[X]$ for $i \leq s$, $\lambda_i(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ for $i \geq 2s + 1$ and $\overline{\lambda}(X)$ denotes the power series whose coefficients are the conjugates of $a(X)$.

If $v_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^d$ is an eigenvector associated to $\lambda_i(X) \notin \mathbb{R}[X]$ then $\overline{v}_i(X)$ is an eigenvector associated to $\overline{\lambda}_i(X)$. So we can assume that $A(X)$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors...
of the form \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_d \) where \( v_{2s+1}, \ldots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}[X]^d \). Now let us define
\[
u_1 = \frac{v_1 + \overline{v}_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{v_1 - \overline{v}_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \ldots, \quad \nu_{2s-1} = \frac{v_s + \overline{v}_s}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \nu_{2s} = \frac{v_s - \overline{v}_s}{\sqrt{2}}
\]
and
\[
u_{2s+1} = v_{2s+1}, \ldots, \nu_d = v_d.
\]

The vectors \( \nu_i \) are real and form an orthonormal basis. We have that
\[
A(X) \nu_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_k \nu_k + \overline{\lambda}_k \overline{\nu}_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \lambda_k \nu_k - i \overline{\lambda}_k \overline{\nu}_k \right)
\]
and
\[
A(X) \nu_{2k} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \lambda_k - \overline{\lambda}_k \right) \nu_{2k-1} + \frac{\lambda_k + \overline{\lambda}_k}{\sqrt{2}} \nu_{2k}.
\]

Therefore in the basis \( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_d \) the matrix has the form (10).

If \( A(X) \) is symmetric then the matrix (10) is also symmetric and hence the matrices \( C_i(X) \) are symmetric. Therefore we may assume that \( s = 0 \). \(\square\)

3. Singular value decomposition

Let \( A \in \text{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathbb{C}) \). It is well known (cf. [7]) that
\[
A = UDV^{-1},
\]
for some unitary matrices \( V \in U_m(\mathbb{C}), U \in U_d(\mathbb{C}), \) and (rectangular) diagonal matrix \( D \) with real nonnegative coefficients. The diagonal elements of \( D \) are the nonnegative square roots of the eigenvalues of \( A^*A \); they are called singular values of \( A \). If \( A \) is real then \( V \) and \( U \) can be chosen orthogonal. The decomposition (12) is called the singular value decomposition (SVD) of \( A \).

Let \( A \in \text{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \). Note that
\[
\text{if } A^*Au = \lambda u \text{ then } (AA^*)Au = \lambda Au.
\]
Similarly, if \( AA^*v = \lambda v \) then \( (A^*A)v = \lambda A^*v \). Therefore the matrices \( A^*A \) and \( AA^* \) over the field \( \mathbb{C}[[X]] \) have the same nonzero eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. In what follows we suppose \( m \leq d \). Then \( P_{A^*A} = X^{d-m}P_{AA^*} \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( A = A(X) \in \text{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathbb{C}[X]), m \leq d, \) and suppose that \( \Delta_{A^*A} = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}g(X) \) with \( g(0) \neq 0 \). Then there are unitary matrices \( V \in U_m(\mathbb{C}[X]), U \in U_d(\mathbb{C}[X]) \) such that
\[
D = V(X)^{-1}A(X)U(X)
\]
is (rectangular) diagonal.

If \( A = A(X) \in \text{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathbb{R}[X]) \) then \( U \) and \( V \) can be chosen real (that is orthogonal) so that \( V(X)^{-1}A(X)U(X) \) is block diagonal as in (10).
Proof. We apply Theorem 2.1 to $A^*A$ and $AA^*$. Thus there are $U_1 \in U_d(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$, $U_2 \in U_m(\mathbb{C}[[X]])$ such that $D_1 = U_1^{-1}A^*AU_1$ and $D_2 = U_2^{-1}AA^*U_2$ are diagonal. If $A(X)$ is real then $A^*A$ and $AA^*$ are symmetric so we may assume by Theorem 2.7 that $U_1$ and $U_2$ are orthogonal.

Set $\hat{A} = U_2^{-1}AU_1$. Then
\[ \hat{A}^*\hat{A} = (U_2^{-1}AU_1)^*U_2^{-1}AU_1 = U_1^{-1}A^*AU_1 = D_1 \]
\[ \hat{A}\hat{A}^* = V_2^{-1}AU_1(V_2^{-1}AU_1)^* = V_2^{-1}AA^*V_2 = D_2. \]
Thus by replacing $A$ by $\hat{A}$ we may assume that both $A^*A$ and $AA^*$ are diagonal and we denote them by $D_1$ and $D_2$ respectively.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the nonzero entries of $D_1$ and $D_2$, that is the eigenvalues of $A^*A$ and $AA^*$. Let us order these eigenvalues (arbitrarily)
\[ \lambda_1(X), \ldots, \lambda_r(X). \]
By permuting the canonical bases of $\mathbb{C}[[X]]^m$ and $\mathbb{C}[[X]]^d$ we may assume that the entries on the diagonals of $A^*A$ and $AA^*$ appear in the order of (14) (with the multiplicities), completed by zeros.

Since $A$ sends the eigenspace of $\lambda$ of $A^*A$ to the eigenspace of $\lambda$ of $AA^*$, $A$ is block (rectangular) diagonal in these new bases, with square matrices $A_\lambda$ on the diagonal corresponding to each $\lambda \neq 0$. By symmetry $A^*$ is also block diagonal in these new bases with the square matrices $A_\lambda^*$ for each $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $A_\lambda^*A_\lambda = A_\lambda A_\lambda^*$, the matrix $A_\lambda$ is normal. Thus Theorem 2.1 shows that there exist unitary matrices $U'$ and $V'$ such that $V'^{-1}AU'$ is diagonal. Similarly, by Theorem 2.7 we conclude the real case.

Example 3.2. Consider square matrices of order 1, that is $d = m = 1$, and identify such a matrix with its entry $a(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$. Then the assumption on the discriminant is always satisfied. Let us write
\[ a(X) = a_1(X) + ia_2(X), \quad a_1(X), a_2(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]. \]
A unitary $1 \times 1$-matrix corresponds to a series $u(X) = u_1(X) + iu_2(X)$ with $u_1(X), u_2(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ such that $u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1$. It is not possible in general to find unitary $u$ and $v$ such that $v(X)a(X)u(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ and hence in Theorem 3.1 we cannot assume that the entries of $D$ are real power series. Indeed, since all matrices of order 1 commute it is sufficient to consider the condition $a(X)u(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ that is equivalent to
\[ a_1u_2 + a_2u_1 = 0. \]
But if $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$, for instance $a_1(X) = X_1, a_2(X) = X_2$, then $X_1|u_1$ and $X_2|u_2$ and hence we see that $u(0) = 0$ that contradicts $u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1$.

A similar example in the real case, with $A$ being a block of the form (11) and $a(X) = X_1, b(X) = X_2$, shows that we cannot require $D$ to be diagonal in the real case. Indeed, in this case the (double) eigenvalue of $A^*A$ is $a^2(X) + b^2(X)$ and it is not the square of an element of $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose in addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.1 that the last nonzero coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of $\Delta_{A^*A}$ is of the form $X_{\beta}^{\beta_1} \cdots X_{\beta_n}^{\beta_n} h(X)$ with $h(0) \neq 0$. Then, in the conclusion of Theorem 3.1, both in the real and the complex case, we
may require that $V(X)^{-1}A(X)U(X)$ is (rectangular) diagonal with the entries on the diagonal in $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$.

Moreover the nonzero entries of $V(X)^{-1}A(X)U(X)$ are of the form a monomial times a unit $X^\alpha a(X)$ (we may additionally require that $a(0) > 0$) and their exponents $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ are well ordered.

Proof. By the extra assumption each nonzero eigenvalue of $A^*A$ is a monomial times a unit. The assumption on the discriminant implies the same for all nonzero differences of the eigenvalues. Therefore by [1, Lemma 4.7], the exponents of these monomials are well ordered.

In the complex case by Theorem 3.1 we may assume $A$ diagonal. Thus it suffices to consider $A$ of order 1 with the entry $a(X)$. Write $a(X) = a_1(X) + ia_2(X)$ with $a_i(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$. By assumption, $|a|^2 = \lambda = X^\beta h(X)$, $h(0) \neq 0$, where $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A^*A$. If $a_1^2(X) + a_2^2(X)$ is a monomial times a unit, then the ideal $(a_1(X), a_2(X))$ is generated by a monomial, $(a_1(X), a_2(X)) = X^\gamma(\tilde{a}_1(X), \tilde{a}_2(X))$, $2\gamma = \beta$ and $\tilde{a}_1^2(0) + \tilde{a}_2^2(0) \neq 0$. Thus

$$a(X)a(X) = X^\gamma(\tilde{a}_1^2 + \tilde{a}_2^2)^{1/2}$$

with $u(X) = \frac{\tilde{a}_1 - i\tilde{a}_2}{(\tilde{a}_1^2 + \tilde{a}_2^2)^{1/2}}$.

Let us now show the real case. It suffices to consider $A$ of the form given by (11). By assumption, $a(X)^2 + b(X)^2$ is a monomial times a unit and this is possible only if the ideal $(a(X), b(X))$ is generated by a monomial, $(a(X), b(X)) = X^\gamma(a_0(X), b_0(X))$ and $a_0^2(0) + b_0^2(0) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_0^2 + b_0^2)^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & -b_0 \\ b_0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} = X^{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} (a_0^2 + b_0^2)^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & (a_0^2 + b_0^2)^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

\[ \square \]

4. The case of a Henselian local ring

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We will consider subrings $\mathbb{K}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ that satisfy the following properties

(i) $\mathbb{K}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ contains $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$,

(ii) $\mathbb{K}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is a Henselian local ring with maximal ideal generated by $X_1, \ldots, X_n$,

(iii) $\mathbb{K}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} \cap (X_i)\mathbb{K}\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} = (X_i)\mathbb{K}\{X\}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let us stress the fact that $\mathbb{K}\{X\}$ is not necessarily Noetherian.

The ring of algebraic $\mathbb{K}\langle X \rangle$ or convergent power series $\mathbb{K}\{X\}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ satisfy Definition 4.1. The ring of germs of quasianalytic $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions over $\mathbb{R}$ also satisfies Definition 4.1 [3]. Since the only tools we use for the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.7, 3.1 is the fact that the ring of formal power series is stable by division by coordinates and the Implicit Function Theorem (via Lemma 2.5 which is equivalent to the Henselian property) we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.2. Theorems 2.1 (for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$), 2.7 (for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$), and 3.1 remain valid if we replace $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ by a ring $\mathbb{K}\{X\}$ satisfying Definition 4.2.
5. Rectilinearization of the discriminant

Often the discriminant $\Delta_A$ does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.1, that is it is not a monomial times a unit. Then, in general, it is not possible to describe the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A$ as (even fractional) power series of $X$. But this property can be recovered by making the discriminant $\Delta_A$ normal crossings by means of blowings-up. This involves a change of the indeterminates $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ understood now as variables or local coordinates. In the complex case, such a change of local coordinates may affect the other assumption of Theorem 2.1, $A$ being normal. Consider, for instance, the following simple example.

**Example 5.1.** ([13] Example 6.1.) The eigenvalues of the real symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X_1^2 & X_1X_2 \\ X_1X_2 & X_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are 0 and $X_1^2 + X_2^2$ but the eigenvectors of $A$ cannot be chosen as power series in $X_1, X_2$. The discriminant $\Delta_A = (X_1^2 + X_2^2)^2$ does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.1.

Nevertheless, after a complex change of variables $Y_1 = X_1 + iX_2, Y_2 = X_1 - iX_2$ the discriminant $\Delta_A$ becomes a monomial $Y_2^2Y_2^2$. But in these new variables the matrix $A$ is no longer normal, since this change of variables does not commute with the complex conjugation.

The above phenomenon does not appear if the change of local coordinates is real. Therefore, in the rest of this section, and in the following one, we work in the real geometric case.

Let $M$ a real manifold belonging to one of the following categories: real analytic, real Nash, or defined in a given quasianalytic class. Recall that the Nash functions are real analytic functions satisfying locally algebraic equations, see [4]. Thus $f : (\mathbb{K}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{K}$ is the germ of a Nash function if and only if its Taylor series is an algebraic power series. By a quasianalytic class we mean a class of germs of functions satisfying (3.1) - (3.6) of [3].

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_M$ the sheaf of complex-valued regular (in the given category) functions on $M$. Let $p \in M$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$. We say that $f$ is normal crossings at $p$ if there is a system of local coordinates at $p$ such that $f$ is equal, in these coordinates, to a monomial times a unit.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $M$ be a manifold defined in one of the following categories:

(i) real analytic;
(ii) real Nash;
(iii) defined in a given quasianalytic class (i.e. satisfying (3.1) - (3.6) of [3]).

Let $U \subset M$ be an open set and $K$ be a compact subset of $U$. Let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathcal{O}_M(U))$. Then there exist a neighborhood $\Omega$ of $K$ and a finite covering $\pi_k : U_k \to \Omega$, each of the $\pi_k$ being locally a sequence of blowings-up with smooth centers, such that

(a) If $A$ is a complex normal matrix, then $A \circ \pi_k$ locally satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.1;
(b) If $A$ is a real normal matrix, then $A \circ \pi_k$ locally satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.7;
(c) If $A$ is a non necessarily square matrix, then $A \circ \pi_k$ locally satisfies the conclusion of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3.
Proof. In the cases (a) and (b) let us set \( f := \Delta_A \), and in the case (c) \( f := \Delta_{A^* A} \Delta_{A^* A} \). By resolution of singularities (see [11] in the Nash case, [1] in the analytic case, [3] in the quasianalytic case) there exist a neighborhood \( \Omega_0 \) of \( K \) and a finitely many compositions of finite sequences of blowings-up \( \pi_i : W_i \to \Omega_0 \) covering \( \Omega_0 \) such that \( f \) is normal crossing at any point of \( W_i \). Therefore, locally on \( W_i \), \( A \circ \pi_i \) has the desired form by Theorem 4.2. Let \( \Omega \) be an open relatively compact neighborhood of \( K \) in \( \Omega_0 \) whose closure \( K' \) is contained in \( \Omega_0 \). Then \( \pi_i^{-1}(K') \) is compact since \( \pi_i \) is proper and therefore there exists a finite covering \( \{ W_{i,k} \} \) of \( \pi_i^{-1}(K') \) such that on each of the open sets \( W_{i,k} \) of the covering \( A \circ \pi_i \) has the desired form. Now we set \( U_{i,k} = W_{i,k} \cap \pi_i^{-1}(\Omega) \) and the result is proven with the covering \[ \{ \pi_i : U_{i,k} \to \Omega \} \].

**Remark 5.3.** In the analytic and Nash cases, if \( A \in \text{Mat}_{m,d}(\mathcal{O}_M) \) then there exists a globally defined, locally finite composition of blowings-up with nonsingular centers \( \pi : \tilde{M} \to M \), such that (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied. Indeed this follows from [11] and [2, Section 13].

### 6. The global affine case

Let \( U \) be an open set of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We denote by \( \mathcal{O}(U) \) the ring of complex valued Nash functions on \( U \), i.e. the ring real-analytic functions on \( U \) that are algebraic over \( \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \). For every point \( x \in U \), we denote by \( \mathcal{O}(U)_x \) the localization of \( \mathcal{O}(U) \) at the maximal ideal defining \( x \), i.e. the ideal \( m_x := (X_1 - x_1, \ldots, X_n - x_n) \). The completion of \( \mathcal{O}(U)_x \), denoted by \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \), depends only on \( x \) and not on \( U \) and is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C}[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( U \) be a non-empty simply connected semialgebraic open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let the matrix \( A \in \text{Mat}_{d}(\mathcal{O}(U)) \) be normal and suppose that \( \Delta_A \) is normal crossings on \( U \). Then:

i) the eigenvalues of \( A \) are in \( \mathcal{O}(U) \). Let us denote by \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \) these distinct eigenvalues;

ii) there are the Nash vector sub-bundles \( M_i \) of \( \mathcal{O}(U)^d \) such that \( \mathcal{O}(U)^d = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s \);

iii) for every \( u \in M_i \), \( Au = \lambda_i u \).

**Proof.** We have that \( P_A \in \mathcal{O}(U)[Z] \). For every \( x \in U \) and \( Q(Z) \in \mathcal{O}(U)[Z] \) let us denote by \( Q_x \) the image of \( Q \) in \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x[Z] \). By assumption \( \Delta_{A_x} \) is normal crossings for every \( x \in U \).

By Theorem 4.2, locally at every point of \( U \), the eigenvalues of \( A \) can be represented by Nash functions, and therefore, since \( U \) is simply connected, they are well-defined global functions of \( \mathcal{O}(U) \). Let us denote these distinct eigenvalues by \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \) for \( s \leq d \). We set \( M_i = \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I_d - A) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, s \)

where \( \lambda_i I_d - A \) is seen as a morphism defined on \( \mathcal{O}(U)^d \). Thus the \( M_i \) are sub-\( \mathcal{O}(U) \)-modules of \( \mathcal{O}(U)^d \).

For an \( \mathcal{O}(U) \)-module \( M \), let us denote by \( M_x \) the \( \mathcal{O}(U)_x \)-module \( \mathcal{O}(U)_x M \), and by \( \hat{M}_x \) the \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \)-module \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x M \). By flatness of \( \mathcal{O}(U) \to \mathcal{O}(U)_x \) and \( \mathcal{O}(U)_x \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}(U)_x \), we have that
$M_{i,x}$ is the kernel of $\lambda_i I_d - A$ seen as a morphism defined on $O(U)^d_{\bar{x}}$, and $\tilde{M}_{i,x}$ is the kernel of $\lambda_i I_d - A$ seen as a morphism defined on $\tilde{O}^d_x$ (see [14, Theorem 7.6]).

By Theorem 2.1, for every $x \in U$, we have that
\[ \tilde{M}_{1,x} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{M}_{s,x} = \tilde{O}^d_x. \]

Now let us set
\[ N = O(U)^d / (M_1 + \cdots + M_s). \]

By assumption for every $x \in U$, we have that $\mathcal{N}_x = 0$. Because $O(U)$ is Noetherian (see [22, Théorème 2.1]), $O(U)_x$ is Noetherian. So since $N$ is finitely generated the morphism $N_x \to \mathcal{N}_x$ is injective (see [14, Théorème 8.11]). Therefore $N_x = 0$ for every $x \in U$.

Thus for every $x \in U$, $\text{Ann}(N) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_x$ where
\[ \text{Ann}(N) = \{ f \in O(U) \mid fN = 0 \} \]

is the annihilator ideal of $N$. Since the maximal ideals of $O(U)$ are exactly the ideals $\mathfrak{m}_x$ for $x \in U$ (see [4, Lemma 8.6.3]), $\text{Ann}(N)$ is not a proper ideal of $O(U)$, i.e. $\text{Ann}(N) = O(U)$, and $O(U)^d = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s$.

For every $x$, we have that $M_{i,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x M_{i,x}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of dimension $n_{i,x}$ that may depend on $x$ (this vector space is included in the eigenspace of $A(x)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i(x)$ - this inclusion may be strict since there may be another $\lambda_j$ such that $\lambda_j(x) = \lambda_i(x)$).

So by Nakayama’s Lemma every set of $n_{i,x}$ elements of $M_i$ whose images form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $M_{i,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x M_{i,x}$ is a minimal set of generators of $M_{i,x}$. Therefore they are also a minimal set of generators of the Frac($O(U)$)-vector space Ker($\lambda_i I_d - A$) where $\lambda_i I_d - A$ is seen as a morphism defined on (Frac($O(U)$))$^d$. In particular $n_{i,x}$ is the dimension of the Frac($O(U)$)-vector space Ker($\lambda_i I_d - A$) and it is independent of $x$.

Now let $u_1, \ldots, u_{n_i} \in M_i$ be vectors whose images in $M_{i,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x M_{i,x}$ form a basis of $M_{i,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x M_{i,x}$. We can write
\[ u_j = (u_{j,1}, \ldots, u_{j,d}) \]
where the $u_{j,k}$ are Nash functions on $U$. So there is a $n_i \times n_i$ minor $\delta$ of the matrix $(u_{j,k})$ that does not vanish at $x$. So there is a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ in $U$ such that for every $\tilde{x} \in V$, $\delta(\tilde{x}) \neq 0$ and the images of $u_1, \ldots, u_{n_i}$ form a basis of $M_{i,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x M_{i,x}$. The morphism of $O(V)$-modules
\[ \Phi : O(V)^d \to M_i(V) \]
defined by $\Phi(a_1, \ldots, a_d) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_j u_j$. Since the $u_j$ generate the stalks $M_{i,x}$ for every $x \in V$, $\Phi_x : O(V)^d_x \to M_{i,x}$ is an isomorphism for every $x \in V$ so $\Phi$ is an isomorphism by [10, Proposition II.1.1]. Hence $M_i$ is a Nash sub-bundle of dimension $n_i$. 

\[ \square \]

\textbf{References}


E-mail address: adam.parusinski@unice.fr

Université Côte d’Azur, Université Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, LJAD, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice Cedex 02, France

E-mail address: guillaume.rond@univ-amu.fr

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, Marseille, France