Collective Motion and Nonequilibrium Cluster Formation in Colonies of Gliding Bacteria
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We characterize cell motion in experiments and show that the transition to collective motion in colonies of gliding bacterial cells confined to a monolayer appears through the organization of cells into larger moving clusters. Collective motion by nonequilibrium cluster formation is detected for a critical cell packing fraction around 17%. This transition is characterized by a scale-free power-law cluster-size distribution, with an exponent 0.88 ± 0.07, and the appearance of giant number fluctuations. Our findings are in quantitative agreement with simulations of self-propelled rods. This suggests that the interplay of self-propulsion and the rod shape of bacteria is sufficient to induce collective motion.

In many microorganisms, the transition from single cell to multicellular behavior involves the onset of collective motion that is characterized by the formation of large cell clusters that move in a coordinated manner. An open question is by which mechanisms such cellular organization is achieved. There are several examples of coordinated cell motion resulting from intercellular signaling systems. In the developmental cycle of Dictyostelium discoideum, a diffusive chemoattractant guides cell motion and leads to complex pattern formation [1,2], while in Myxococcus xanthus a signaling system, that requires cell-to-cell communication, emerges. Experiments with granular particles, i.e., artificial self-propelled rods, confirmed that such a physical mechanism is indeed enough to produce a variety of collective motion patterns [9]. Since many bacteria are self-propelled and have rod cell shape, simple steric interactions may be sufficient to induce collective motion even without the additional impact of biochemical signals. The aim of this study was to test this idea in experiments using M. xanthus as model system.

M. xanthus is a gliding bacterium that has been repeatedly used to study pattern formation [10], social behavior [11], and motility [12]. Locomotion of M. xanthus involves two different motility systems: the S-motility system, which depends on type IV pili and requires cell-to-cell contact [13] and the A-motility system that allows individual cells to move [14]. Force generation by the A system has been suggested to rely on either slime secretion from the lagging pole [15], or on focal adhesion complexes [16]. Cells occasionally reverse their gliding direction and the reversal frequency is controlled by the frz chemosensory system [17,18].

Here, we report on the bacterial self-organization in experiments with a M. xanthus mutant (SA2407) which only moves by means of the A-motility system and is virtually unable to reverse. Complex interactions like social motility mediated by pili and cellular reversal are absent in this mutant. Hence, the experiments are suitable to test the theoretical hypothesis that collective motion can emerge from the combination of active motion of the cells and steric interactions due to volume exclusion [7,8].

Experiments consisted in spotting a drop of the desired cell density on an agar surface, and subsequently the bacterial colony evolution was monitored by taking images of cell arrangements every 30 min for a total of 8 hr. To follow the detailed dynamics of cell arrangements, we also made time-lapse recordings of about 30 min, with successive frames taken every 30 sec. Control experiments showed that SA2407 cells do not reverse for the time scale of our experiments, whereas the isogenic frz strain reversed with a mean reversal period of 10 min. We
found that individual cells glide at an average speed of $v = 3.10 \pm 0.35 \mu m/min$, and exhibit an average width of about $W = 0.7 \mu m$ and an average length of $L = 6.3 \mu m$, resulting in a mean aspect ratio of $\kappa = L/W = 8.9 \pm 1.95$ [Fig. 1(a)] and a cell covering an average area $A = 4.4 \mu m^2$. Experiments were confined to packing fractions smaller than 0.26, where the cell dynamics is restricted to a monolayer—at larger packing fractions percolation of clusters as well as formation of multilayers are observed.

The packing fraction $\eta$ is defined as $\eta = \rho a$, with $\rho$ the (two-dimensional) cell density and $a$ the average covering area of a bacterium given above.

We found that under these conditions over time cells organized into moving clusters. Time-lapse recordings showed that collision of cells leads to alignment [Fig. 1(b)]. When the interaction is such that cells end up parallel to each other and move in the same direction, they migrate together for a long time (typically $>15$ min) [19]. Eventually, successive collisions allow a small initial cluster to grow in size. In the individual clusters, cells are aligned in parallel to each other and arranged in a head-to-tail manner, as previously described [20]. In a cluster, cells move in the same direction. Cluster-cluster collision typically leads to cluster fusion [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], whereas splitting and breakup of clusters rarely occur. On the other hand, individual cells on the border of a cluster often spontaneously escape from the cluster. These two effects, cluster growth due to cluster-cluster collision and cluster shrinkage, mainly due to cells escaping from the cluster boundary, compete and give rise to a nonequilibrium cluster-size distribution (CSD). Typical snapshots of cell arrangements for various packing fractions $\eta$ at the steady state are shown in Figs. 1(e)–1(h) and reveal a strong increase of the cluster size for increasing packing fraction $\eta$.

The CSD—$p(m, t)$—indicates the probability of a bacterium to be in a cluster of size $m$ at time $t$. Note that along the text, the term CSD always refers to this definition. The cluster-size distribution can be alternatively defined as the number $n_m(t)$ of clusters of size $m$ at time $t$. There is a simple relation between these two definitions: $p_m(t) \propto mn_m(t)$. In experiments we have observed that the CSD mainly depends on the packing fraction $\eta$. Hence, for all snapshots first the packing fraction was determined. Then, images with similar packing fraction $\eta$ were compared and the CSD was reconstructed by determining the CSD for all images within a finite interval of the packing fraction. The CSD $p(m, t)$ reaches a stationary $p(m)$ after some transient time. The duration of this transient depends on the packing fraction $\eta$ and is below 120 min for all $\eta < 0.2$, see [21].

We point out that the characteristic time for cell division is around 6 hours. This implies that the relaxation dynamics of the CSD is much faster than the proliferation time. Consequently, we can safely neglect cell proliferation and consider $p(m)$ as a quasi steady-state CSD which depends only on $\eta$ (see below). The clustering process evolves towards a dynamical equilibrium, where the process of formation of cell clusters of a given size is balanced by events in which clusters of this size disappear by either fusing with other clusters or by loosing individual cells from their boundary. The described cluster dynamics is in sharp contrast with cell cluster formation driven by differential cell adhesion and/or cell proliferation as observed, for instance, in cancer cell experiments [22], where the CSD never reaches a steady state.

The steady-state CSD $p(m)$ strongly depends on the packing fraction $\eta$, with more and more cells moving in

FIG. 1 (color online). Clustering of SA2407 cells. (a) Statistics of cell length-to-width aspect ratio and dispersion of the data in the length-width plane (inset). (b) Cells align their orientations upon collision. (c),(d) Myxobacterial cells form moving clusters. Arrows indicate the direction of motion of the moving clusters; the time interval between snapshots is 15 min ($\eta = 0.11$). (e)–(h) The dynamical clustering process reaches a steady state that strongly depends on cell density. Typical snapshots corresponding to packing fractions $\eta = 0.06$ in (e) 0.1 in (f), 0.16 in (g), and 0.24 in (h).
larger clusters for increasing packing fraction $\eta$. This is evident in Fig. 2, where we observe that at small values of $\eta$, $p(m)$ exhibits a monotonic decay with $m$, while at large $\eta$ values, $p(m)$ is nonmonotonic, with an additional peak at large cluster sizes. The CSD here was determined at a fixed time (450 min) after the beginning of each experiment; control measurements at other times (360 min, 540 min) revealed practically identical behavior. We interpret the presence of a peak at large values of $m$, observed for large $\eta$ values, as the emergence of collective motion resulting in formation of large clusters of bacteria moving in a coordinated fashion. This phase is characterized by the existence of large clusters that are reflected by the emergence of a local maximum in the CSD; see Fig. 2. The transition is evident by the functional change displayed by $p(m)$, monotonically decreasing with $m$ for small values of $\eta$, while exhibiting a local maximum at large $\eta$ values. At a critical value $\eta_c = 0.17 \pm 0.02$ that separates different regimes of behavior, the CSD can be approximated by $p(m) \approx m^{-\gamma_0}$, with $\gamma_0 = 0.88 \pm 0.07$. In summary, for $\eta \leq \eta_c$, the scaling of $p(m)$ takes the form

$$p(m) \approx m^{-\gamma_0} \exp(-m/m_0),$$

while for $\eta > \eta_c$, the scaling is

$$p(m) \approx m^{-\gamma_1} \exp(-m/m_1) + C m^{-\gamma_2} \exp(-m/m_2),$$

with $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$, $m_1$, $m_2$, and $C$ constants that depend on $\eta$. In Eq. (1), $m_0$ is a function of $\eta$ and increases as $\eta_c$ is approached from below. Thus, at the critical packing fraction $\eta_c$, $p(m)$ can be approximated by a power-law as long as $m$ is much smaller than the total number of cells $N$ in the system. Equations (1) and (2) were obtained in self-propelled rod simulations [7,23] and used here to fit the data in Fig. 2. Control experiments with nonmotile cells do not exhibit power-law behavior in the CSD for larger packing fractions [21]. Hence, we conclude that without active motion of cells no comparable transition to collective motion occurs. In other words, active motion is required for the dynamical self-assembly of cells.

It is interesting to observe that simulations with self-propelled rods [7,23] exhibit a similar behavior of $p(m)$; see inset in Fig. 2. Moreover, the exponent $\gamma_0$ takes on similar values as in the experiment. Data in [7,23] give $\gamma_0 = 0.95 \pm 0.05$, and Yang et al. [8] report on similar simulations, obtaining values for $\gamma_0$ in the range from 0.95 to 1.35. On the other hand, the mean-field theory for the cluster-size distribution introduced in [7] gives an exponent of 1.3, which is much larger than the experimental value measured here. This theory can be extended to account for elongated rather than circular cluster shapes. In the extended theory, predictions for the exponent $\gamma_0$ depend on the functional form of the coagulation and fragmentation kernel. Upon a series of assumptions, the theory predicts, for elongated clusters, an exponent of 0.85 [24].

We have also characterized the number fluctuations of SA2407 cells: $\langle \Delta n(l) \rangle = \sqrt{n(l)^2 - \langle n(l) \rangle^2}$, where $n(l)$ denotes the number of cells in a box of linear size $l$. It can be shown that in general

$$\langle \Delta n(l) \rangle \propto \langle n(l) \rangle^\beta,$$

with $\langle n(l) \rangle = \rho l^2$. Thus, the quantity $\Delta n$ is a measure of the distribution of cells in space. Normal fluctuations correspond to $\beta = 1/2$, while for giant fluctuations $\beta > 1/2$. It has been argued that systems of self-propelled particles exhibit in their (orientational) ordered phase giant number fluctuations, which are often considered a signature of nonequilibrium [25]. It has been shown recently that self-propelled particles with apolar alignment effectively exhibit such fluctuations [26]. Figure 3 shows that $\langle \Delta n \rangle$ is a function of the density $\rho$, respectively, $\eta$. At low values of $\eta$, number fluctuations are consistent with normal fluctuations. We observe, nevertheless, that for small $\eta$, $\langle \Delta n \rangle$ exhibits a crossover from a regime characterized by an exponent close to 0.8 for small $\langle n \rangle$ to an asymptotic regime for large $\langle n \rangle$ characterized by an exponent 0.5 as expected for normal number fluctuations. As $\eta$ is increased towards $\eta_c$, cells exhibit giant number fluctuations though there is a lack of global orientational order. Moreover, for $\eta \geq \eta_c$ number fluctuations are characterized by the same exponent $\beta = 0.8 \pm 0.05$ (Fig. 3). Interestingly, this value coincides with the exponent reported in [26]. These findings suggest that in the experiments giant number fluctuations

FIG. 2 (color online). Cluster statistics of SA2407 cells. The figure shows the steady-state cluster-size distribution (CSD) $p(m)$ for various packing fractions $\eta$. Notice the qualitative functional change exhibited by the CSD $p(m)$. At the critical point $\eta_c \approx 0.17$, $p(m) \sim m^{-\gamma_0}$ with $\gamma_0 = 0.88$. We define this transition point as the onset of collective motion. A similar transition was found in earlier simulations with a small number of self-propelled rods [7], as shown in the inset for particles with $\kappa = 8$. See [7] for details. Similar cluster-size distributions and values of the scaling exponent were obtained in simulations with many self-propelled particles in related models [8,23].
are connected to the transition observed in the cluster-size 

statistics.

We have reported on the bacterial cell self-organization 
in experiments with a M. xanthus mutant which only 
moves by means of the A-motility system and is virtually 
unable to reverse. We found that these bacteria exhibit a 
transition at a critical packing fraction of $\eta = 0.17$ charac-
terized by the emergence of a power-law cluster-size 
distribution, with an exponent $\gamma_0 = 0.88 \pm 0.07$, and giant 
number fluctuations, with exponent $\beta = 0.8 \pm 0.05$, in the 
absence of global orientational order. The observed change 
in the spatial organization of cells with increasing packing 
fracton resembles that obtained in simulations with ex-
cluded volume interactions among self-propelled rods [7]. 
Moreover, we observe that there is a surprising similarity 
between the obtained statistics for the gliding M. xan-
thus mutant studied here and the recently reported results for 
the swimming bacterium B. subtilis [27]. These observations 
raise the questions whether (i) the spatial organization 
at the onset of collective motion may have universal features 
and (ii) if such features may indeed be linked to the simple 
physical paradigm of self-propelled rods. The latter spec-
ulation finds additional tentative support by very recent 
experimental measurements on the nature and range of 
cell-cell interactions in suspensions of E. coli, where it 
was found that the emergence of large-scale patterns in 
bacterial suspensions is likely to be dominated by simple 
physical collisions among bacteria rather than by hydro-
dynamically induced long-range dipole-forces [28]. 
Ultimately, only further experimental studies of bacterial 
colones and biofilms can reveal whether universal features 
are actually present at the onset of collective motion in a 
wide-range of cellular systems.

In summary, we have shown that the cluster-size 
distribution exhibits a qualitative (nonequilibrium) transition 
(reminiscent to a gelation transition [29]) from an expo-
ential decaying shape at small $\eta$ to a power-law shape 
with an additional peak at large $\eta$. We have suggested to 
use this characteristic transition point in the CSD as defi-
nition for the onset of collective motion. According to this 
definition, collective motion implies the formation of large 
moving clusters, with cells sharing the same moving di-
rection inside the clusters. Since in the experiments clus-
ters do not exhibit a moving directional preference, 
myxobacteria exhibit collective motion without global ori-
entational ordering. The reported transition to collective 
motion (via clustering) is hence qualitatively different from 
the transition to global orientational order reported in 
the Vicsek model and its variants [26,30,31,33]. It would 
be interesting to explore the relation between both transi-
tions, i.e., via clustering and global orientational order, in 
such minimal models where recently nonequilibrium clus-
ter formation similar to the one observed here for colonies 
of gliding bacteria or for simulations of hard rods has been 
reported [23,32]. Moreover, we have found that the number 
fluctuations in the experiments are normal in the limit of 
large numbers ($n$) for densities below the onset of collect-
ive motion. In contrast, above the transition giant number 
fluctuations are found. The cluster-size statistics as well as 
the number fluctuation show both a distinct qualitative 
change at the onset of collective motion. Consequently, 
both measures are suitable to describe the onset of collect-
ive motion in large groups of microorganisms.

Finally, the agreement between the cluster statistics 
observed in the experiments and in earlier simulations of 
self-propelled hard rods [7,8], suggests that the interplay of 
active motion and volume exclusion is sufficient to explain 
the collective behavior of the bacteria considered here.
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