

Mass Transportation on the Earth


Ludovic Rifford

Université Nice - Sophia Antipolis
&
Institut Universitaire de France

University of Sciences and Technology of China
(Hefei, June 13th, 2013)

The framework


Let M be a **smooth connected compact surface** in \mathbb{R}^n . For any $x, y \in M$, we define the geodesic distance between x and y , denoted by $d(x, y)$, as the minimum of the lengths of the curves (drawn on M) joining x to y .



Transport maps

Let μ_0 and μ_1 be **probability measures** on M . We call **transport map** from μ_0 to μ_1 any measurable map $T : M \rightarrow M$ such that $T_{\#}\mu_0 = \mu_1$, that is

$$\mu_1(B) = \mu_0(T^{-1}(B)), \quad \forall B \text{ measurable } \subset M.$$



Quadratic Monge's Problem

Given two probabilities measures μ_0, μ_1 sur M , find a transport map $T : M \rightarrow M$ from μ_0 to μ_1 which minimizes the quadratic cost ($c = d^2/2$)

$$\int_M c(x, T(x)) d\mu_0(x).$$

Quadratic Monge's Problem

Given two probabilities measures μ_0, μ_1 sur M , find a transport map $T : M \rightarrow M$ from μ_0 to μ_1 which minimizes the quadratic cost ($c = d^2/2$)

$$\int_M c(x, T(x)) d\mu_0(x).$$

Existence ?

Uniqueness ?

Regularity ?

The Brenier Theorem

Quadratic Monge's Problem in \mathbb{R}^n : Given two probability measures μ_0, μ_1 with compact supports in \mathbb{R}^n , we are concerned with transport maps $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ pushing forward μ_0 to μ_1 which minimize the transport cost

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T(x) - x|^2 d\mu_0(x).$$

The Brenier Theorem

Quadratic Monge's Problem in \mathbb{R}^n : Given two probability measures μ_0, μ_1 with compact supports in \mathbb{R}^n , we are concerned with transport maps $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ pushing forward μ_0 to μ_1 which minimize the transport cost


$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T(x) - x|^2 d\mu_0(x).$$

Theorem (Brenier '91)


*If μ_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, there exists a unique optimal transport map with respect to the quadratic cost. In fact, there is a **convex** function $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$T(x) = \nabla\psi(x) \quad \mu_0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$


Obvious counterexample




The convexity of the target is necessary




The convexity of the target is necessary



The convexity of the target is necessary




The convexity of the target is necessary



T gradient of a convex function

The convexity of the target is necessary



T gradient of a convex function $\implies \langle y-x, T(y)-T(x) \rangle \geq 0!!!$

Caffarelli's Regularity Theory

If μ_0 and μ_1 are supported associated with densities f_0, f_1 w.r.t. Lebesgue, then

$$T_{\#}\mu_0 = \mu_1 \iff \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(T(x)) f_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(y) f_1(y) dy \quad \forall \zeta.$$

$\rightsquigarrow \psi$ weak solution of the **Monge-Ampère equation** :

$$\det(\nabla^2 \psi(x)) = \frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(\nabla \psi(x))}.$$

Theorem (Caffarelli '90s)

Let Ω_0, Ω_1 be connected and bounded open sets in \mathbb{R}^n and f_0, f_1 be probability densities resp. on Ω_0 and Ω_1 such that $f_0, f_1, 1/f_0, 1/f_1$ are essentially bounded. Assume that μ_0 and μ_1 have respectively densities f_0 and f_1 w.r.t. Lebesgue and that Ω_1 is convex. Then the quadratic optimal transport map from μ_0 to μ_1 is continuous.

The McCann Theorem

Given two probability measures μ_0, μ_1 on M , we are concerned with transport maps $T : M \rightarrow M$ ($T_{\#}\mu_0 = \mu_1$) which minimize the quadratic transport cost ($c = d^2/2$)

$$\int_M c(x, T(x)) d\mu_0(x).$$

The McCann Theorem

Given two probability measures μ_0, μ_1 on M , we are concerned with transport maps $T : M \rightarrow M$ ($T_{\#}\mu_0 = \mu_1$) which minimize the quadratic transport cost ($c = d^2/2$)

$$\int_M c(x, T(x)) d\mu_0(x).$$

Theorem (McCann '01)

*If μ_0 is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue, there exists a unique optimal transport map from μ_0 to μ_1 . In fact, there is a **c-convex** function $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$T(x) = \exp_x(\nabla\varphi(x)) \quad \mu_0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, for a.e. $x \in M$, $\nabla\varphi(x)$ belongs to the injectivity at x .

Exponential mapping and injectivity domains

Let $x \in M$ be fixed.

- For every $v \in T_x M$, we define the **exponential** of v by

$$\exp_x(v) = \gamma_{x,v}(1),$$

where $\gamma_{x,v} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is the unique geodesic starting at x with speed v .

Exponential mapping and injectivity domains

Let $x \in M$ be fixed.

- For every $v \in T_x M$, we define the **exponential** of v by

$$\exp_x(v) = \gamma_{x,v}(1),$$

where $\gamma_{x,v} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is the unique geodesic starting at x with speed v .

- We call **injectivity domain** at x , the subset of $T_x M$ defined by


$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \left\{ v \in T_x M \mid \exists t > 1 \text{ s.t. } \gamma_{tv} \text{ is the unique minim. geod. between } x \text{ and } \exp_x(tv) \right\}$$

It is a star-shaped (w.r.t. $0 \in T_x M$) bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary.

The TCP property

We say that the surface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the **Transport Continuity Property (TCP)** if the following property is satisfied:

The TCP property



For any pair of probability measures μ_0, μ_1 associated locally with **continuous positive densities** ρ_0, ρ_1 , that is

$$\mu_0 = \rho_0 \text{vol}_g, \quad \mu_1 = \rho_1 \text{vol}_g,$$

the optimal transport map from μ_0 to μ_1 is **continuous**.

Characterization of **TCP** on surfaces

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Let M be a smooth compact surface in \mathbb{R}^n . It satisfies **TCP** if and only if the following properties hold:

- all the injectivity domains are convex,
- the cost $c = \frac{1}{2}d^2$ is regular, that is for any $x, x' \in M$, the function

$$F_{x,x'} : v \in \mathcal{I}(x) \longmapsto c(x, \exp_x(v)) - c(x', \exp_x(v))$$

is **quasiconvex** (its sublevel sets are always convex).

An easy lemma

Lemma

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open convex set and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^2 . Assume that for every $v \in U$ and every $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ the following property holds :

$$\langle \nabla_v F, w \rangle = 0 \implies \langle \nabla_v^2 F w, w \rangle > 0.$$

Then F is quasiconvex.

Proof of the easy lemma

Proof.

Let $v_0, v_1 \in U$ be fixed. Set $v_t := (1 - t)v_0 + tv_1$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Define $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(t) := F(v_t) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

If $h \not\leq \max\{h(0), h(1)\}$, there is $\tau \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$h(\tau) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} h(t) > \max\{h(0), h(1)\}.$$

Proof of the easy lemma

Proof.

Let $v_0, v_1 \in U$ be fixed. Set $v_t := (1 - t)v_0 + tv_1$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Define $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(t) := F(v_t) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

If $h \not\leq \max\{h(0), h(1)\}$, there is $\tau \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$h(\tau) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} h(t) > \max\{h(0), h(1)\}.$$

There holds

$$\dot{h}(\tau) = \langle \nabla_{v_\tau} F, \dot{v}_\tau \rangle \quad \text{et} \quad \ddot{h}(\tau) = \langle \nabla_{v_\tau}^2 F \dot{v}_\tau, \dot{v}_\tau \rangle.$$

Since τ is a local maximum, one has $\dot{h}(\tau) = 0$.

Contradiction !!



Exercises

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open convex set and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^2 .

False Lemma

Assume that for every $v \in U$ and every $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following property holds:

$$\langle \nabla_v F, w \rangle = 0 \implies \langle \nabla_v^2 F w, w \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then F is quasiconvex.

True Lemma

Assume that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle \nabla_v^2 F w, w \rangle \geq -C |\langle \nabla_v F, w \rangle| |w| \quad \forall v \in U, \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then F is quasiconvex.

Back to our problem

Assume that all the injectivity domains are convex and fix $x, x' \in M$. Recall that

$$F_{x,x'}(v) = F(v) = c(x, \exp_x(v)) - c(x', \exp_x(v)).$$

Back to our problem

Assume that all the injectivity domains are convex and fix $x, x' \in M$. Recall that

$$F_{x,x'}(v) = F(v) = c(x, \exp_x(v)) - c(x', \exp_x(v)).$$

- F is not smooth.

Back to our problem

Assume that all the injectivity domains are convex and fix $x, x' \in M$. Recall that

$$F_{x,x'}(v) = F(v) = c(x, \exp_x(v)) - c(x', \exp_x(v)).$$

- F is not smooth.
- For generic segments, $t \mapsto F(v_t)$ is smooth outside a finite set of "convex" times.

Back to our problem

Assume that all the injectivity domains are convex and fix $x, x' \in M$. Recall that

$$F_{x,x'}(v) = F(v) = c(x, \exp_x(v)) - c(x', \exp_x(v)).$$

- F is not smooth.
- For generic segments, $t \mapsto F(v_t)$ is smooth outside a finite set of "convex" times.
- If it is smooth at v , then $\nabla_v^2 F$ has the form

$$\nabla_v^2 F(h, h) = - \int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{\partial^4 c}{\partial^2 x \partial^2 y} (*) (*) dt$$

The Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor

The **MTW** tensor \mathfrak{S} is defined as

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} c(\exp_x(t\xi), \exp_x(v + s\eta)),$$

for every $x \in M$, $v \in \mathcal{I}(x)$, and $\xi, \eta \in T_x M$.

The Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor

The **MTW** tensor \mathfrak{S} is defined as

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} c(\exp_x(t\xi), \exp_x(v + s\eta)),$$

for every $x \in M$, $v \in \mathcal{I}(x)$, and $\xi, \eta \in T_x M$.

Proposition (Villani '09, Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Let M be a surface all of whose injectivity domains are convex. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- *The cost $c = d^2/2$ is regular.*
- *The **MTW** tensor is $\succeq 0$, that is for any $x \in M$, $v \in \mathcal{I}(x)$, and $\xi, \eta \in T_x M$,*

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_x = 0 \implies \mathfrak{S}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \eta) \geq 0.$$

Characterization of **TCP** on surfaces

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

*Let M be a surface in \mathbb{R}^n . It satisfies **TCP** if and only if the two following properties holds:*

- *all the injectivity domains are convex,*
- $\mathcal{G} \succeq 0$.

Characterization of **TCP** on surfaces

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Let M be a surface in \mathbb{R}^n . It satisfies **TCP** if and only if the two following properties holds:

- all the injectivity domains are convex,
- $\mathfrak{G} \succeq 0$.

Loeper noticed that for every $x \in M$ and for any pair of unit orthogonal tangent vectors $\xi, \eta \in T_x M$, there holds

$$\mathfrak{G}_{(x,0)}(\xi, \eta) = \sigma_x,$$

where σ_x denote the gaussian curvature of M at x . As a consequence,

$$\mathbf{TCP} \implies \sigma \geq 0.$$

Characterization of **TCP** on surfaces

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Let M be a surface in \mathbb{R}^n . It satisfies **TCP** if and only if the two following properties holds:

- all the injectivity domains are convex,
- $\mathfrak{G} \succeq 0$.

Loeper noticed that for every $x \in M$ and for any pair of unit orthogonal tangent vectors $\xi, \eta \in T_x M$, there holds

$$\mathfrak{G}_{(x,0)}(\xi, \eta) = \sigma_x,$$

where σ_x denote the gaussian curvature of M at x . As a consequence,

$$\mathbf{TCP} \implies \sigma \geq 0.$$

Therefore, if $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies **TCP**, then it is **convex**.

Examples and Counterexamples

Examples:

- Flat tori (Cordero-Erausquin, '99).
- Round spheres (Loeper, '06).
- Quotients of the above objects.

Examples and Counterexamples

Examples:

- Flat tori (Cordero-Erausquin, '99).
- Round spheres (Loeper, '06).
- Quotients of the above objects.

Counterexamples:




Examples and Counterexamples

Examples:

- Flat tori (Cordero-Erausquin, '99).
- Round spheres (Loeper, '06).
- Quotients of the above objects.

Counterexamples:



Spheres

Theorem (Loeper '06)

The **MTW** tensor on the round (unit) sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfies $\mathfrak{G} \succeq 1$, that is for any $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $v \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ and $\xi, \eta \in T_x \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_x = 0 \implies \mathfrak{G}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \eta) \geq |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2.$$

In particular, the round sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfies **TCP**.

Spheres

Theorem (Loeper '06)

The **MTW** tensor on the round (unit) sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfies $\mathfrak{G} \succeq 1$, that is for any $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $v \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ and $\xi, \eta \in T_x \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_x = 0 \implies \mathfrak{G}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \eta) \geq |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2.$$

In particular, the round sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfies **TCP**.

Is this result stable ?



Two issues

- Stability of the (uniform) convexity of injectivity domains.

Two issues

- Stability of the (uniform) convexity of injectivity domains.
- Stability of properties of the form $\mathfrak{G} \succeq K$ with $K > 0$.

Two issues

- Stability of the (uniform) convexity of injectivity domains.
- Stability of properties of the form $\mathfrak{G} \succeq K$ with $K > 0$.

On \mathbb{S}^2 , the **MTW** is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_{(x,v)}(\xi, \xi^\perp) &= 3 \left[\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\cos(r)}{r \sin(r)} \right] \xi_1^4 + 3 \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2(r)} - \frac{r \cos(r)}{\sin^3(r)} \right] \xi_2^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} \left[-\frac{6}{r^2} + \frac{\cos(r)}{r \sin(r)} + \frac{5}{\sin^2(r)} \right] \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$x \in \mathbb{S}^2, v \in \mathcal{I}(x), r := |v|, \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2), \xi^\perp = (-\xi_2, \xi_1).$$

Sketch of proof

Sketch of proof

- We extend the Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor beyond boundaries of injectivity domains.

Sketch of proof

- We extend the Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor beyond boundaries of injectivity domains.
- The uniform convexity of the nonfocal domains $\mathcal{NF}(x)$ is stable.

Sketch of proof

- We extend the Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor beyond boundaries of injectivity domains.
- The uniform convexity of the nonfocal domains $\mathcal{NF}(x)$ is stable.
- The positivity of the extended tensor $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$ is stable.

Sketch of proof

- We extend the Ma-Trudinger-Wang tensor beyond boundaries of injectivity domains.
- The uniform convexity of the nonfocal domains $\mathcal{NF}(x)$ is stable.
- The positivity of the extended tensor $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$ is stable.
- $\overline{\mathfrak{G}} \succ 0 + (\text{uniform})$ convexity of the $\mathcal{NF}(x)$'s
 $\implies \mathfrak{G} \succ 0 + (\text{uniform})$ convexity of the $\mathcal{I}(x)$'s.

Mass Transportation on the Earth

Theorem (Figalli-R '09)

*Any small deformation of \mathbb{S}^2 in C^5 topology satisfies $\overline{\mathfrak{G}} \succeq 1/2$, has convex injectivity domains and satisfies **TCP**.*



Thank you for your attention !!

Greater dimensions

Let (M, g) be a smooth connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$.

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Assume that (M, g) satisfies **(TCP)**. Then

- all its injectivity domains are convex,
- the **MTW** tensor is $\succeq 0$.

Theorem (Figalli-R-Villani '10)

Assume that (M, g) satisfies the two following properties:

- all its injectivity domains are strictly convex,
- the **MTW** tensor is $\succ 0$,

Then, it satisfies **TCP**.