Free Kleene algebras with domain

Brett McLean

DuaLL project Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, CNRS, Université Côte d'Azur

brett.mclean@unice.fr

18 June 2019

Definitions

Definition

A binary relation on a set X is a subset f of $X \times X$.

There are various 'concrete' operations on binary relations (composition, union...)

Definition

An algebra of binary relations of the signature σ is:

an algebra ${\mathfrak A}$ of the signature σ for which. . .

- \ldots there is some set X such that \ldots
 - every element of $\mathfrak A$ is a binary relation on X
 - the symbols of σ are interpreted as the *intended* operations

Definition

Let ${\mathfrak A}$ be an algebra of the signature $\sigma.$

A representation of ${\mathfrak A}$ is a isomorphism from ${\mathfrak A}$ to an algebra of binary relations

Operations

Composition R; $S = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}$

Union

$$R + S = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (x, y) \in R \text{ or } (x, y) \in S\}$$

Reflexive transitive closure

$$R^* := \{ (x, y) \in X^2 \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists x_0 \dots x_n : \\ (x_0 = x) \land (x_n = y) \land (x_0, x_1) \in R \land \dots \land (x_{n-1}, x_n) \in R \}$$

Zero
$$0 = \emptyset$$

Identity $1 = \{(x, x) \in X^2\}$
—the Kleene algebra signature $\{;, +, *, 0, 1\}$
Domain

$$\mathsf{D}(R) = \{(x,x) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x,y) \in R\}$$

The class Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1)

Let Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1) denote the isomorphic closure of the class of all algebras of binary relations of the signature $\{;, +, *, 0, 1\}$.

- Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1) is not a first-order axiomatisable class (not closed under ultrapowers).
- The variety *HSP* Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1) has no finite equational axiomatisation (Redko 1964).
- **But** Kozen defined *Kleene algebras* using a finite number of quasiequations, and

 $\mathsf{Rel}(;,+,*,0,1) \subseteq \mathsf{Kleene} \ \mathsf{algebras} \subseteq HSP \, \mathsf{Rel}(;,+,*,0,1)$

• In this variety, the free algebra over a finite set Σ is the set of regular languages over $\Sigma.$

Free algebra \equiv regular languages

$$L_s = L_t \implies \operatorname{Rel}(;,+,*,0,1) \models s = t$$

In an algebra of relations (together with assigment to variables) the term t holds holds on a pair (x, y) \iff

there is a path from x to y labelled with an string from L_t

$$\operatorname{Rel}(;,+,*,0,1) \models s = t \implies L_s = L_t$$

Free algebras for the class Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1, D)

... finite labelled rooted trees...

Definition

Given a set Σ of labels, a **labelled rooted tree** is defined recursively as a set of pairs (a, T), where $a \in \Sigma$ and T is a labelled rooted tree.



Figure: The labelled rooted trees encoded as \emptyset , {(a, \emptyset)}, and {(a, \emptyset), (a, {(b, \emptyset)})}, respectively (with roots at the top)

Free algebras for the class Rel(;,+,*,0,1,D)

Definition

A pointed tree is a tree with a distinguished vertex called the point.

Definition

The preorder \leq on (possibly pointed) labelled rooted trees is defined recursively as follows. For trees T_1 and T_2 with roots r_1 and r_2 respectively, $T_1 \leq T_2$ if and only if

- r_2 is not the point vertex,
- 2) for each child v_2 of r_2 , there is a child v_1 of r_1 such that

• the labels of the edges r_1v_1 and r_2v_2 are equal,

• $T_{v_1} \preceq T_{v_2}$, where T_{v_1} and T_{v_2} are the v_1 -rooted and v_2 -rooted subtrees respectively.

 $T_1 \preceq T_2 \iff$ there exists a homomorphim $\theta \colon T_2 \to T_1$.

Definition

Let T be a labelled tree with root r. The **reduced form** of T is the tree formed recursively as follows.

- For each child *v* of *r*, replace the *v*-rooted subtree with its reduced form.
- ② Remove all but the <u>≺</u>-minimal child subtrees of the tree obtained after the first step.

Example



Free algebras for the class Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1, D)

Definition

Let Σ be a set and let T and S be reduced pointed Σ -labelled rooted trees.

- The pointed tree concatenation T; S of T and S is the tree formed by
 - identifying the *point* of T and the *root* of S (the root is now the root of T and the point is the point of S),
 - educing the resulting tree to its reduced form.
- The **domain** D(T) of T is the tree formed by
 - reassigning the point of T to the current root of T,
 - 2 reducing the resulting tree to its reduced form.

For +, *, and 0, lift to sets of reduced pointed Σ -labelled rooted trees, but only retain \preceq -maximal elements.

Free algebra is in Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1)

Two steps

- **Q** Represent the free algebra for the signature (;, 1) (the free monoid).
- 2 Include +, *, and 0 by lifting to the powerset.

 $w^{ heta} \subseteq \Sigma^* imes \Sigma^*$ $w^{ heta} = \{(w', w'w) \mid w' \in \Sigma\}$

$$L^{\theta} = \bigcup_{w \in L} w^{\theta}$$

Free algebra is in Rel(;, +, *, 0, 1, D)

$$\mathcal{T}^{ heta} = \{(S ext{ ; } \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{T}), S ext{ ; } \mathcal{T}) \mid S ext{ a reduced tree}\}?$$

 $T^{\theta} = \{(S, S;_{\phi} T) \mid S \text{ a labelled rooted tree}\}$

Closure properties

The regular sets of trees are closed under the following 'intersection' operation.

$$L_1 \cdot L_2 := \mathsf{maximal}(\downarrow L_1 \cap \downarrow L_2)$$

Problem

Are the regular sets of reduced trees closed under the following residuation operations?

$$\begin{split} L_1 \setminus L_2 &:= \mathsf{maximal} \{ T \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma} \mid \forall S \in \downarrow L_1, \ S \ ; \ T \in \downarrow L_2 \} \\ L_1 \mid L_2 &:= \mathsf{maximal} \{ T \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma} \mid \forall S \in \downarrow L_2, \ T \ ; \ S \in \downarrow L_1 \} \end{split}$$

- Jules Desharnais, Bernhard Möller, and Georg Struth, *Kleene algebra with domain*, ACM Transactions on Computational Logic **7** (2006), no. 4, 798–833.
- Jules Desharnais and Georg Struth, *Internal axioms for domain semirings*, Science of Computer Programming **76** (2011), no. 3, 181–203.
- Walter D. Munn, *Free inverse semigroups*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **3** (1974), no. 3, 385–404.
- John Fountain, Gracinda M. S. Gomes, and Victoria Gould, *The free ample monoid*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation **19** (2009), no. 04, 527–554.