

Presenting de Groot duality of stably compact spaces by entailment relations

Tatsuji Kawai

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

TACL 2019

19 June 2019

**Presenting de Groot duality of stably compact
spaces by entailment relations**
(Logical approach to de Groot duality)

Tatsuji Kawai

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

TACL 2019

19 June 2019

De Groot duality of stably compact spaces

A topological space is **stably compact** if it is sober, locally compact, and finite intersections of compact saturated subsets are compact.

- ▶ Compact Hausdorff spaces.
- ▶ Scott topologies of continuous domains.
- ▶ Spectral spaces

De Groot dual X^d of a stably compact space X is a set X with the topology generated from complements of compact saturated subsets. The space X^d is stably compact and $(X^d)^d = X$.

De Groot duality of stably compact spaces

A topological space is **stably compact** if it is sober, locally compact, and finite intersections of compact saturated subsets are compact.

- ▶ Compact Hausdorff spaces.
- ▶ Scott topologies of continuous domains.
- ▶ Spectral spaces

De Groot dual X^d of a stably compact space X is a set X with the topology generated from complements of compact saturated subsets. The space X^d is stably compact and $(X^d)^d = X$.

Example

1. **(Lower vs. Upper)** Lower and upper Dedekind cuts of $[0, 1]$.
2. **(Open vs. Closed)** Scott topology $\Sigma(X)$ and Lower powerdomain $P_L(X)$: $\Sigma(X)^d \cong P_L(X)$.
3. **(Closed vs. Compact)** Lower powerdomain $P_L(X)$ and Upper powerdomain $P_U(X)$: $P_L(X^d) \cong P_U(X)^d$.

Give a pointfree account of de Groot duality for stably compact locales.

“How to **present** de Groot duality”

Definition

A locale X is **spectral** if it is the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Proposition

A locale is stably compact if and only if it is a retract of a spectral locale.

Definition

A locale X is **spectral** if it is the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Proposition

A locale is stably compact if and only if it is a retract of a spectral locale.

Corollary

*The category of stably compact locales is equivalent to the splitting of idempotents **Split(Spec)** of the category **Spec** of spectral locales.*

- ▶ An object of **Split(Spec)** is an idempotent (i.e. $f: X \rightarrow X$ s.t. $f \circ f = f$) in **Spec**.
- ▶ A morphism $g: (f: X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (f': X' \rightarrow X')$ in **Split(Spec)** is a continuous map $g: X \rightarrow X'$ in **Spec** such that $f' \circ g = g = g \circ f$.

A relation $r \subseteq D \times D'$ between distributive lattices D and D' is **approximable** if

1. $ra \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D' \mid a r b\}$ is a filter,
2. $r^{-}b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in D \mid a r b\}$ is an ideal,
3. $a r 0' \implies a = 0$,
4. $a r b \vee' c \implies (\exists b', c' \in D) a \leq b' \vee c' \ \& \ b' r b \ \& \ c' r c$.

Distributive lattices and approximable relations form a category \mathbf{DL}_{AP} .

Proposition

The category \mathbf{DL}_{AP} is equivalent to the category of spectral locales.

Strong proximity lattices (Jung & Sünderhauf 1996)

A **strong proximity lattice** is an object of $\mathbf{Split}(\mathbf{DL}_{\mathbf{AP}})$, i.e. a distributive lattice D equipped with an idempotent relation $\prec \subseteq S \times S$ such that

1. $\downarrow a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D \mid b \prec a\}$ is an ideal,
2. $a \prec 0 \implies a = 0$,
3. $a \prec b \vee c \implies (\exists b' \prec b) (\exists c' \prec c) a \leq b' \vee c'$,
4. $\uparrow a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D \mid b \succ a\}$ is a filter,

Remark The stably compact locale represented by a proximity lattice (D, \prec) is the collection of **rounded ideals** of (D, \prec) , where an ideal $I \subseteq D$ is **rounded** if

$$a \in I \iff (\exists b \succ a) b \in I.$$

Strong proximity lattices (Jung & Sünderhauf 1996)

A **strong proximity lattice** is an object of $\mathbf{Split}(\mathbf{DL}_{\mathbf{AP}})$, i.e. a distributive lattice D equipped with an idempotent relation $\prec \subseteq S \times S$ such that

1. $\downarrow a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D \mid b \prec a\}$ is an ideal,
2. $a \prec 0 \implies a = 0$,
3. $a \prec b \vee c \implies (\exists b' \prec b) (\exists c' \prec c) a \leq b' \vee c'$,
4. $\uparrow a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D \mid b \succ a\}$ is a filter,
5. $1 \prec a \implies a = 1$,
6. $a \wedge b \prec c \implies (\exists a' \succ a) (\exists b' \succ b) a' \wedge b' \leq c$.

Remark The stably compact locale represented by a proximity lattice (D, \prec) is the collection of **rounded ideals** of (D, \prec) , where an ideal $I \subseteq D$ is **rounded** if

$$a \in I \iff (\exists b \succ a) b \in I.$$

Continuous entailment relations (cf. Coquand & Zhang 2003)

An **entailment relation** on a set S is a binary relation \vdash on the finite subsets of S such that

$$\frac{a \in S}{a \vdash a} \qquad \frac{A \vdash B}{A', A \vdash B, B'}$$
$$\frac{A \vdash B, a \quad a, A \vdash B}{A \vdash B}$$

where a denotes $\{a\}$ and “ A, B ” denotes $A \cup B$.

Remark Every entailment relation (S, \vdash) presents a distributive lattice with generators S and relations $\bigwedge A \leq \bigvee B$ for each $A \vdash B$.

An entailment relation (S, \vdash) is **continuous** if it is equipped with an idempotent relation \prec on S such that

$$(\exists C \in \text{Fin}(S)) A \prec_U C \vdash B \iff (\exists D \in \text{Fin}(S)) A \vdash D \prec_L B$$

where

$$A \prec_U B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (\forall b \in B) (\exists a \in A) a \prec b$$
$$A \prec_L B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (\forall a \in A) (\exists b \in B) a \prec b.$$

Continuous entailment relations

Proposition

The category of continuous entailment relations is equivalent to the category of strong proximity lattices.

Proof.

- ▶ If (D, \prec) is a strong proximity lattice, then (D, \vdash_D) defined by

$$A \vdash_D B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \bigwedge A \leq_D \bigvee B$$

together with \prec is a continuous entailment relation.

- ▶ If (S, \vdash, \prec) is a continuous entailment relation, then the lattice D_S generated by (S, \vdash) together with the relation \ll on D_S defined by

$$\bigvee_{i < N} \bigwedge A_i \ll \bigwedge_{j < M} \bigvee B_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall i < N \forall j < M \exists C [A_i \prec_U C \vdash B_j]$$

is a strong proximity lattice. □

Presentation by axioms

Let R be a set of pairs of finite subsets of a set S (R : set of **axioms**).

An entailment relation (S, \vdash) is **generated** by R if it is the smallest entailment relation on S that contains R , i.e. \vdash is generated by

$$\frac{(A, B) \in R}{A \vdash B} \quad \frac{a \in S}{a \vdash a} \quad \frac{A \vdash B}{A', A \vdash B, B'} \quad \frac{A \vdash B, a \quad a, A \vdash B}{A \vdash B}$$

Proposition

Let (S, \vdash) be the entailment relation generated by a set R of axioms, and let \prec be an idempotent relation on S . Then (S, \vdash, \prec) is continuous if and only if

1. $A \prec_U C \ \& \ (C, D) \in R \implies (\exists E \in \text{Fin}(S)) A \vdash E \prec_L D$
2. $(C, D) \in R \ \& \ D \prec_L B \implies (\exists E \in \text{Fin}(S)) C \prec_U E \vdash B$

Presentation by axioms

A **model** of a continuous entailment relation (S, \vdash, \prec) is a subset $\alpha \subseteq S$ such that

1. $A \vdash B \ \& \ A \subseteq \alpha \implies (\exists b \in B) b \in \alpha$;
2. $a \in \alpha \iff (\exists b \prec a) b \in \alpha$.

Example

If X is a locale presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) , the **Scott topology** $\Sigma(X)$ can be presented by a continuous entailment relation

$$(D, \vdash_{\Sigma}, \succ),$$

where \vdash_{Σ} is generated by the axioms:

$$\vdash_{\Sigma} 0 \qquad a, b \vdash_{\Sigma} a \vee b \qquad a \vdash_{\Sigma} b \quad (a \geq b)$$

The models of $(D, \vdash_{\Sigma}, \succ)$ are rounded ideals of (D, \prec) .

- ▶ Strong proximity lattice $(D, 0, \vee, 1, \wedge, \prec)$:

1. $\downarrow a = \{b \in D \mid b \prec a\}$ is an ideal,

2. $a \prec 0 \implies a = 0$,

3. $a \prec b \vee c \implies (\exists b' \prec b) (\exists c' \prec c) a \leq b' \vee c'$,

4–6. The dual properties for \vee and \wedge .

The **dual** $(D, 1, \wedge, 0, \vee, \succ)$ of (D, \prec) is a strong proximity lattice.

- ▶ Continuous entailment relation (S, \vdash, \prec) :

$$\left(\frac{(A, B) \in R}{A \vdash B} \right) \quad \frac{a \in S}{a \vdash a} \quad \frac{A \vdash B}{A', A \vdash B, B'} \quad \frac{A \vdash B, a \quad a, A \vdash B}{A \vdash B}$$

$$(\exists C \in \text{Fin}(S)) A \prec_U C \vdash B \iff (\exists D \in \text{Fin}(S)) A \vdash D \prec_L B$$

The **dual** (S, \dashv, \succ) of (S, \vdash, \prec) is a continuous entailment relation.

Proposition

The equivalence between continuous entailment relations and strong proximity lattices commutes with the dualities.

Proposition

The equivalence between continuous entailment relations and strong proximity lattices commutes with the dualities.

Question

If X is the stably compact locale presented by (D, \prec) , does (D^d, \succ) present the de Groot dual of X ?

Definition (Escardó 2000)

The de Groot dual X^d of a stably compact locale X is the collection of Scott open filters on X .

Definition (Escardó 2000)

The de Groot dual X^d of a stably compact locale X is the collection of Scott open filters on X .

Note. Scott open filters on $X \cong$ models of $P_U(X)$
where $P_U(X)$ is the **upper powerlocale** of X .

Lemma

If X and Y are stably compact locales, then

$$X^d \cong Y \iff \text{models of } P_U(X) \cong \text{models of } \Sigma(Y).$$

Definition (Escardó 2000)

The de Groot dual X^d of a stably compact locale X is the collection of Scott open filters on X .

Note. Scott open filters on $X \cong$ models of $P_U(X)$
where $P_U(X)$ is the **upper powerlocale** of X .

Lemma

If X and Y are stably compact locales, then

$$X^d \cong Y \iff P_U(X) \cong \Sigma(Y).$$

Definition (Escardó 2000)

The de Groot dual X^d of a stably compact locale X is the collection of Scott open filters on X .

Note. Scott open filters on $X \cong$ models of $P_U(X)$ where $P_U(X)$ is the **upper powerlocale** of X .

Lemma

If X and Y are stably compact locales, then

$$X^d \cong Y \iff P_U(X) \cong \Sigma(Y).$$

Theorem

Let (D, \prec) be a strong proximity lattice, and X and Y be stably compact locales presented by (D, \prec) and (D^d, \succ) respectively. Then

$$P_U(X) \cong \Sigma(Y).$$

De Groot duality in strong proximity lattices

Theorem

Let X and Y be stably compact locales presented by strong proximity lattices (D, \prec) and (D^d, \succ) respectively. Then $P_U(X) \cong \Sigma(Y)$.

Proof.

- ▶ The upper powerlocale $P_U(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on D generated by

$$\vdash 1 \qquad a, b \vdash a \wedge b \qquad a \vdash b \quad (a \leq b)$$

with an idempotent relation \prec .

- ▶ The Scott topology $\Sigma(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on D generated by

$$\vdash 0 \qquad a, b \vdash a \vee b \qquad a \vdash b \quad (a \geq b)$$

with an idempotent relation \succ .

Theorem

Let X and Y be stably compact locales presented by strong proximity lattices (D, \prec) and (D^d, \succ) respectively. Then $P_U(X) \cong \Sigma(Y)$.

Proof.

- ▶ The upper powerlocale $P_U(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on D generated by

$$\vdash 1 \qquad a, b \vdash a \wedge b \qquad a \vdash b \quad (a \leq b)$$

with an idempotent relation \prec .

- ▶ The Scott topology $\Sigma(Y)$ is presented by an entailment relation on D generated by

$$\vdash 1 \qquad a, b \vdash a \wedge b \qquad a \vdash b \quad (a \leq b)$$

with an idempotent relation \prec .



Proposition

If X is stably compact then $P_V(X)^d \cong P_V(X^d)$.

Vietoris powerlocales $P_V(X)$

Proposition

If X is stably compact then $P_V(X)^d \cong P_V(X^d)$.

Proof.

Let X be a stably compact locale presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) .

The Vietoris powerlocale $P_V(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on $\{\diamond a \mid a \in D\} \cup \{\square a \mid a \in D\}$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \diamond 0 \vdash & \diamond(a \vee b) \vdash \diamond a, \diamond b & \diamond a \vdash \diamond b \quad (a \leq b) \\ \vdash \square 1 & \square a, \square b \vdash \square(a \wedge b) & \square a \vdash \square b \quad (a \leq b) \\ & \square a, \diamond b \vdash \diamond(a \wedge b) & \\ & \square(a \vee b) \vdash \square a, \diamond b & \end{array}$$

The idempotent relation associated with $P_V(X)$ is

$$\diamond a \prec \diamond b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \prec b, \quad \square a \prec \square b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \prec b.$$

Vietoris powerlocales $P_V(X)$

Proposition

If X is stably compact then $P_V(X)^d \cong P_V(X^d)$.

Proof.

Let X be a stably compact locale presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) .

The Vietoris powerlocale $P_V(X)^d$ is presented by an entailment relation on $\{\diamond a \mid a \in D\} \cup \{\square a \mid a \in D\}$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \vdash \diamond 0 & \diamond a, \diamond b \vdash \diamond(a \vee b) & \diamond b \vdash \diamond a \quad (a \leq b) \\ \square 1 \vdash & \square(a \wedge b) \vdash \square a, \square b & \square b \vdash \square a \quad (a \leq b) \\ & \diamond(a \wedge b) \vdash \square a, \diamond b & \\ & \square a, \diamond b \vdash \square(a \vee b) & \end{array}$$

The idempotent relation associated with $P_V(X)^d$ is

$$\diamond a \prec \diamond b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \succ b, \quad \square a \prec \square b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \succ b.$$

Proposition

If X is stably compact then $P_V(X)^d \cong P_V(X^d)$.

Proof.

Let X be a stably compact locale presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) .

The Vietoris powerlocale $P_V(X^d)$ is presented by an entailment relation on $\{\diamond a \mid a \in D\} \cup \{\square a \mid a \in D\}$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \vdash \square 0 & \square a, \square b \vdash \square(a \vee b) & \square b \vdash \square a \quad (a \leq b) \\
 \diamond 1 \vdash & \diamond(a \wedge b) \vdash \diamond a, \diamond b & \diamond b \vdash \diamond a \quad (a \leq b) \\
 & \square(a \wedge b) \vdash \diamond a, \square b & \\
 & \diamond a, \square b \vdash \diamond(a \vee b) &
 \end{array}$$

The idempotent relation associated with $P_V(X^d)$ is

$$\diamond a \prec \diamond b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \succ b, \quad \square a \prec \square b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a \succ b. \quad \square$$

Definition

A **probabilistic valuation** on a locale X is a Scott continuous map $\mu: \Omega(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}$ to the lower reals $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfying $\mu(0) = 0$, $\mu(1) = 1$, and the modular law: $\mu(x) + \mu(y) = \mu(x \wedge y) + \mu(x \vee y)$.

A **covaluation** on X is a Scott continuous map $\nu: \Omega(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathcal{U}}$ to the upper reals $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{U}}$ satisfying $\nu(1) = 0$, $\nu(0) = 1$, and the modular law.

- ▶ The **space of valuations** $\mathfrak{V}(X)$ is a locale whose models are valuations on X .
- ▶ The **space of covaluations** $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ is a locale whose models are covaluations on X .

The space of valuations

If X is a locale presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) , then the space of valuations $\mathfrak{V}(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on

$$S = \{\langle p, a \rangle \mid p \in \mathbb{Q}, a \in D\}$$

generated by the axioms

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 0 \rangle \quad (p < 0) \qquad \langle p, 0 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (0 < p)$$

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 1 \rangle \quad (p < 1) \qquad \langle p, 1 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (1 < p)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle \vdash \langle q, b \rangle \qquad (p \geq q \ \& \ a \leq b)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle, \langle q, b \rangle \dashv\vdash \langle r, a \wedge b \rangle, \langle s, a \vee b \rangle \quad (p + q = r + s)$$

with an idempotent relation $\langle p, a \rangle \prec_{\mathfrak{V}} \langle q, b \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} p > q \ \& \ a \prec b$.

Note. A model $m \subseteq S$ of $(S, \vdash, \prec_{\mathfrak{V}})$ corresponds to a valuation μ on the ideals of (D, \prec) given by

$$\mu(I) = \sup_{a \in I} \sup_{\langle p, a \rangle \in m} p.$$

so that $\langle p, a \rangle \in m \iff p < \mu(a)$.

The space of valuations

Proposition

If X is a stably compact locale, then $\mathfrak{V}(X)^d \cong \mathfrak{C}(X^d)$.

Proof.

Suppose X is presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) . Then $\mathfrak{V}(X)$ is presented by an entailment relation on

$$S = \{ \langle p, a \rangle \mid p \in \mathbb{Q}, a \in D \}$$

generated by the axioms

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 0 \rangle \quad (p < 0) \qquad \langle p, 0 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (0 < p)$$

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 1 \rangle \quad (p < 1) \qquad \langle p, 1 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (1 < p)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle \vdash \langle q, b \rangle \qquad (p \geq q \ \& \ a \leq b)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle, \langle q, b \rangle \dashv\vdash \langle r, a \wedge b \rangle, \langle s, a \vee b \rangle \quad (p + q = r + s)$$

and an idempotent relation $\langle p, a \rangle \prec_{\mathfrak{V}} \langle q, b \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} p > q \ \& \ a \prec b$.



The space of valuations

Proposition

If X is a stably compact locale, then $\mathfrak{V}(X)^d \cong \mathfrak{C}(X^d)$.

Proof.

Suppose X is presented by a strong proximity lattice (D, \prec) . Then $\mathfrak{V}(X)^d$ is presented by an entailment relation on

$$S = \{ \langle p, a \rangle \mid p \in \mathbb{Q}, a \in D \}$$

generated by the axioms

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 0 \rangle \quad (0 < p) \qquad \langle p, 0 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (p < 0)$$

$$\emptyset \vdash \langle p, 1 \rangle \quad (1 < p) \qquad \langle p, 1 \rangle \vdash \emptyset \quad (p < 1)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle \vdash \langle q, b \rangle \qquad (p \leq q \ \& \ a \geq b)$$

$$\langle p, a \rangle, \langle q, b \rangle \dashv\vdash \langle r, a \wedge b \rangle, \langle s, a \vee b \rangle \quad (p + q = r + s)$$

and an idempotent relation $\langle p, a \rangle \prec_{\mathfrak{V}^d} \langle q, b \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} p < q \ \& \ a \succ b$.



Summary

By representing a stably compact locale by a continuous entailment relation, one may have some insight on what its de Groot dual is.

Summary

By representing a stably compact locale by a continuous entailment relation, one may have some insight on what its de Groot dual is.



T. Coquand and G.-Q. Zhang.

A representation of stably compact spaces, and patch topology.
Theoret. Comput. Sci., 305(1-3):77–84, 2003.



A. Jung and P. Sünderhauf.

On the duality of compact vs. open.
Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 806(1):214–230, 1996.



T. Kawai.

Presenting de Groot duality of stably compact spaces.
[arXiv:1812.06480](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06480)

By representing a stably compact locale by a continuous entailment relation, one may have some insight on what its de Groot dual is.



T. Coquand and G.-Q. Zhang.

A representation of stably compact spaces, and patch topology.
Theoret. Comput. Sci., 305(1-3):77–84, 2003.



A. Jung and P. Sünderhauf.

On the duality of compact vs. open.
Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 806(1):214–230, 1996.



T. Kawai.

Presenting de Groot duality of stably compact spaces.
[arXiv:1812.06480](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06480)

Thank you!